Based on the evaluation group’s comprehensive review and discussion of the SOQs, we provide the following scoring to the Executive Level Evaluation Team:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ***Proposal Point Breakdown*** | Beaver | **Brayman** | Great Lakes | **Kokosing** | **Ruhlin-Trumbull** | Walsh |
| **Part B - Project Understanding and Approach (30 points max)** | 19 | **27** | 19 | **28** | **30** | 21 |
| **Part C - Design Build Project Team (35 points max)** | 31 | **34** | 33 | **34** | **35** | 34 |
| **Part D - Design Build Team Capabilities and Experience (35 points max)** | 24 | **31** | 24 | **31** | **33** | 35 |
| ***Totals*** | 74 | ***92*** | 76 | ***93*** | ***98*** | 90 |

**Summary of Submitted SOQ by Team**

**BEAVER |**WSP/Parsons Brinkerhoff

The offeror submitted a responsive SOQ. The lead contractor is a capable contractor with a history as a major earthwork contractor. The project understanding and approach lacked specifics to show comprehension of the major challenges related to this project.

**BRAYMAN |** HDR *\*SHORTLIST*

The offeror submitted a responsive SOQ and the firms and personnel proposed have a record of successful work on projects of similar scope and complexity. Overall the offeror showed strong qualifications and a clear understanding of the issues specific to this project.

**GREAT LAKES |** PRIME AE

The offeror submitted a responsive SOQ. The contractor is capable, but the lead designer firm lacks major design build experience but the key personnel of the design firm does have good DB experience. The approach to the project was very general and lacked detail to demonstrate a clear understanding of the challenges related to this project.

**KOKOSING |** EL Robinson *\*SHORTLIST*

The offeror submitted a responsive SOQ and the firms and personnel proposed have a record of successful work on projects of similar scope and complexity. Overall the offeror showed strong qualifications and a clear understanding of the issues specific to this project.

**RUHLIN-TRUMBULL |** ms Consultants *\*SHORTLIST*

The offeror submitted a responsive SOQ and the firms and personnel proposed have a record of successful work on projects of similar scope and complexity. Overall the offeror showed strong qualifications and a clear understanding of the issues specific to this project.

**WALSH |** American Structurepoint

The offeror submitted a responsive SOQ and the firms and personnel proposed have a very strong record of successful work on projects of similar scope and complexity. Overall the offeror showed strong qualifications, but the approach to the project lacked enough detail, especially the utility discussion, to demonstrate an understanding of the major issues facing the project.