



11/27/2020

Project 213000 **Addendum No. 2**
PID No. 102329
SUM - SR 8/IR 76/IR 77 - 0.63/9.74/8.42
Major Reconstruction
Letting: March 4, 2021

Notice to all Bidders and Suppliers to please be advised of the attached Proposal Addendum.

The Department utilizes Bid Express (<http://www.bidx.com>) as the official medium for electronic bid submittal. All bidders must prepare bids and submit them online via Bid Express using AASHTOWare Project Bids software.

Addenda amendments must be acknowledged in the miscellaneous section of the Project Bids file and all amendments loaded in order for your bid to be considered for award of this project. Bid express will not accept bids that do not have amendments incorporated. Failure to incorporate changed quantities or items in your Project Bids submissions will result in the rejection of your bid.

**Proposal Addendum
For
SUM - IR 76/IR 77/SR 8-00.63/09.74/08.42; PID 102329
Project 21-3000**

Completion Date Change: No

Bid Item Changes, Additions or Deletions: Yes

Funding Splits Required: No

Revised Bid Items:

Ref. No.	Item No.	Total Quantity	Unit	Description	Section
0856	619E16021	60	MNTH	FIELD OFFICE, TYPE C, AS PER PLAN	0111

Please be advised of the following:

1. Delete Proposal Note 107 -- “10/19/2018 - Critical Path Method Progress Schedule For Multi-Season Project”
2. Replace “*Proposal Note 097*” with the revised version (In addition, a marked up version is included that shows the revisions to the document that have changed since the last version)
3. Replace “*Instructions To Offerors (ITO) For Request For Proposals*” with the revised version including section numbers (In addition, a marked up version is included that shows the revisions to the document that have changed since the last version)
4. Replace “*Design Build Scope of Services*” with the revised version (In addition, a marked up version is included that shows the revisions to the document that have changed since the last version)
5. Replace “*Attachment A—Design and Construction Requirements: Structures*” with the revised version (In addition, a marked up version is included that shows the revisions to the document that have changed since the last version)
6. Replace “*Attachment F—Required Plan Notes*” with the revised version (In addition, a marked up version is included that shows the revisions to the document that have changed since the last version)
7. Replace “*Attachment O—Maintenance of Traffic*” with the revised version (In addition, a marked up version is included that shows the revisions to the document that have changed since the last version)

Files referenced above are located on the ODOT FTP Site at
<ftp://ftp.dot.state.oh.us/pub/Districts/D04/102329/Addendums/02/>

Add the following Note: No

Replace/Add Plan sheets: No

Answers to Prebid Questions: No

(The inclusion of the question(s) in this addendum is provided for reference only and shall not be construed as a contract modification or change.)

Q12: We the Instructions to Offerors be amended to include section numbering?

A12: The ITO will be replaced in a future Addendum with one that includes section numbering

Q13: The proposal includes both PN 107 & PN 132 for CPM Scheduling. Which one should be used?

A13: PN132 is the correct note, PN107 will be deleted in a future Addendum

Q17: Bid Item 856 for the field office is setup for 120 months. Will the department verify that this is correct and explain why the extended duration is required?

A17: The qty shown in the Proposal for this Pay Item is incorrect. The correct qty is 60 months. It will be revised a future Addendum.

Q18: Bid Item 856 is setup for Field Office, Type C. However, RFP section 7 specifies Field Office, Type C As Per Plan. Please clarify if the bid item should be revised to Type C, APP.

A18: The Field Office will be As Per Plan. It will follow the requirements shown in the Scope of Services. It will be revised in a future Addendum

Q20: ITO sheet 41 lists prequalification requirements to be submitted with the Technical Proposal including Work Type 26 - Structural Steel Painting. Since we will not have final pricing and know which painting subcontractor will be used at time of Tech Proposal submission, is it acceptable to state that a "Prequalified Painting Subcontractor will be utilized"?

A20: The Department will allow Offerors to submit "Prequalified Painting Subcontractor will be utilized" for Work Type 26 of Part G in the Technical Proposal. Work Type 26 will be removed from the table in Section 6.5.7.1 of the ITO/RFP in a future Addendum.

- Q23:** Scope Section 14.5.11.2 states that “All areas within the plans in Attachment C which are called out as resurfacing will be changed to Full Depth Pavement Replacement.” Please clarify if this also includes local road resurfacing such as the resurfacing shown on sheet 113 (Inman Street) and sheet 198 (Coventry/Kipling) of Attachment C.
- A23:** The Scope of Services will be clarified in a future Addendum. The requirement will not include the Local/City Roadways.
- Q24:** According to 14.5.11 Subgrade Compaction, Stabilization, and Proof Rolling in the scope of services the design build team (DBT) is to identify the areas where the bedrock elevation is less or equal to 24” and remove the existing rock in these areas according to plan note G121. The information provided in the bid documents (attachment P-P4) gives a wide range by station and offsets of the existing conditions. The proposal documents place the responsibility to identify the undercut areas on the DBT. It will be difficult to accurately identify these areas with the available information. Will the Department consider adding a contingent quantity, for excavation of subgrade and placement of granular material to pay of unforeseen subsurface conditions where global stabilization cannot be performed?
- A24:** Clarification on the subgrade will be made in a future Addendum
- Q25:** According to 14.5.11 of the Scope of Services “global stabilization is required to be performed on all locations where full depth pavement replaced is specified”. Does this requirement apply for the widening section of SB SR-8 from the Carrol Street on ramp to Beacon Street?
- A25:** Yes, that area is included, clarification will be made in a future addendum.
- Q27:** The Scope of Services' Table 1 - Lane/Ramp Closure Matrix included with Attachment O does not address the portion of SR-8 south of Beacon Street to north of Lafollette Street. This area is shown as "pavement planing and resurfacing" on the Central Interchange plans, however as per section 14.6.3 of the Scope of Services "all areas within the plan in Attachment C which are shown as resurfacing will be changed to Full Depth Pavement Replacement." The PLCC allowances requires 2 lanes of traffic to be maintained through this area during construction. In the provided bid documents, the work to be performed per the scope of services in this section cannot be performed under the restrictions of the PLCC. The roadway is not wide enough for the SOS phasing requirements. Full depth pavement reconstruction, drainage, etc. cannot be performed during nightly closures. Can the District please review the conflict and provide alternative means of maintaining traffic through this section of SR-8 in order to construct the scoped requirements?
- A27:** Clarification will be added in a future Addendum

Q26: Section 16.3.1.L of the Scope of services references note "Item 625, Power Service, As per Plan" from attachment F. The note was not included in Attachment F. Can the Department provide the note?

A26: As Per Plan Note will be added to Attachment F in a future Addendum

Q28: Section 14.7.2.1 Part 1 indicates that 'Drainage improvements are not required in areas of pavement resurfacing.' Our preliminary spread calculations for the inside shoulder of SR 8, north of the structure over Beacon St., indicate spread widths that encroach into the traveled lane. This violates ODOT L&D VOL. II, Section 1103.2 criteria which does not allow any spread on Freeway facilities. Can the District clarify the scope requirements for these resurfacing locations where the shoulder width is being reduced and ODOT drainage design criteria is not being met?

A28: Clarification will be added in a future Addendum

Q30: In Attachment A of the SOS, bridge locations 3 and 4 (SUM-8-0064 and SUM-8-0086) state that the bridge deck and approach slabs shall be sealed with a gravity fed resin (A.1) and shall also receive a Micro-Silica Concrete Overlay (B.2); is it ODOT's intent that both applications be provided -OR- is only the Micro-Silica Concrete Overlay required?

A30: The requirement for Gravity Fed Resin will be removed from these structures in a future addendum

Q32: Will the Department confirm Attachment O, Section 2.2, Item 6.a. is a typo and should be reference to Ramp U rather than Ramp D?

A32: Correct, it should be Ramp U, an Update to Attachment O will be made in a future addendum