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Rev. 07/2011

**APPROPRIATION ATTACHMENT**

**TO THE NEGOTIATOR’S NOTES**

| Project: | 110412 |
| --- | --- |
| Parcel: | 001-SH1, SH2, T |
| Owner: | Eichhorn Limited Partnership |
|  |  |
|  |
|  |
| **State the reason the parcel is being recommended for appropriation:** |
| Counter offer received is unsupported nor reasonable. First counter offer is $175,000.00 via e-mail from Attorney Kenter dated 03/30/2021.Per 04/06/21 email from Atty Kenter, the money requested is $167,500 without support. Atty Kenter asked if ODOT would pay Eichhorn Limited Partnership's cost of an appraisal. He stated in order to support their offer, "At this time, my client cannot obtain an appraisal because, if ODOT modifies or abandons this project, there is no mechanism to recover the appraisal fees. If ODOT will agree to reimburse my client for his appraisal fees in the event that the project is abandoned or modified, then my client would be willing to obtain an appraisal. Is ODOT willing to do this? If not, then we will wait for the petition to be filed. " Atty Kenter challenging FMVE based on change to HBU, Damages, and Structure Value. Without supporting appraisal for amount of counter offer, there is no avenue to move forward with negotiations. My last attempt on 6/8/2021 was received and rejected. Atty Kenter stated once the case was filed, they would then contract an appraisal and provide us the calculations bringing their counter offer to $167,500.00. |
|  |
| **State the name, address and telephone number of the owner’s attorney, if applicable:** |
| Aaron KenterGoldman Braunstein Stahler Kenter LLP500 S. Front StreetSuite 1200Columbus, OH 43215614-229-4566 |
|  |
|  |
| **Date the county engineer received the construction plans from the District**: |
| 7/22/2021 |
| **Date the initial “Notice of Intent to Acquire and Good Faith Offer was made to the owner:** |
| 3/4/2021 |
| **State if the “Notice of Intent to Acquire and Good Faith Offer” was provided to the owner by certified mail or was delivered personally:** |
| Delivered personally |
| **Date and Amount of the last revised offer was made to the owner:** |
| 4/5/2021 | $25,000.00 |
| **State if the owner sent a letter to the Governor and Director objecting to the value of this acquisition (include date letter was sent):** |
| No letter was sent to the Governor or Director. |
|  |
|  |
| **State if the parcel is a relocation parcel, describe the type of relocation (residential business, tenant, et cetera) and describe the status of the relocation:** |
| There is no relocation benefits necessary for this parcel. |
| **State if the relocation parcel is eligible the reimbursement for good will and/or economic loss:** |
| N/A |
|  |
| **State if the county auditor has placed the property in the “CAUV” program:** |
| Yes |
| **State if property is used for agricultural purposes as defined in Sections 303.01 or 519.01 of the Ohio Revised Code:**  |
| As of 4/7/2021, there is no evidence of agricultural use. However, there is a ten year lease with Dually Farms, LLC for $23,550 annually for 157 acres which subject parcel of 113.854 acres is Tract 2 in the recorded lease documents. |
|  |
|  |
| **State if there is a structure in the take area:**  |
| Yes |
| **State if the property being acquired is subject to the Garage Law (ORC 163.05 (G)):**  |
|  No |
|  |
|  |
| **Summarize any information which you feel would be of advantage in reaching a settlement:** |
| Documentation from Eichhorn Limited Partnership supporting the counter offer of $167,500.00, change of highest and best use, identification of damages. Coupled with the State’s appraisal and current market in this area, there are no available comparable properties to support the amount of compensation requested in the counter offer. The property owner purchased the land after the former owners combined all the parcels into one large parcel. At the time of the sale to Eichhorn Limited Partnership, the front 2.0 acres zoning B3 (Intensive and Motorist Services Business Commercial) but was requested to be rezoned to Agricultural. Eichhorn Limited Partnership requested the entire property be entered into the CAUV program, and currently has a 10 yr lease from a farming entity. Clearly the Highest and Best Use is Agricultural. Current zoning in Walnut Township reflects Agricultural and in talks with a Township Trustee no future changes are anticipated with current residents.Mr. Eichhorn stated Kroger was interested, however, the PCL 002 owner stated Kroger was not interested in either PCL 001 or PCL 002 properties due to the amount of flooding (pooling) and serious drainage issues. Costs to mitigate this situation are prohibitive per PCL 002 owner. Utilities are another hurdle. At the corner, where the dilapidated structure stands, The Village of Thurston removed all utilities from the property as did South Central Power due to the dilapidated state of the building back in 2016. Per a Village discussion, Mr. Eichhorn was told by his insurance company to remove the roof, windows and doors due to liability from transients who had been using the structure for illicit activities. The Village gave him a figure to re-hook up the water and to join the sewer system in an amount Mr. Eichhorn was not willing to pay.Through research in D5 permit records, the property where the dilapidated structure is erected at the SE corner of SR 37 and SR 256 intersection has no legal access for egress and ingress. Highest and best use without access would be agricultural at best. To be a good neighbor, D5 designed a field drive onto this part of the property off SR 37.Access to this entire 113.854 acre parcel is at the address of the property 7640 Lancaster-Newark Road NE, Lancaster, OH. |



7/27/2021

|  |
| --- |
|  |
|  |  |  |
| Signature of negotiator: Date |
|  |
| Kimber L. Heim |  |
| Typed name of negotiator: |
|  |
|  |
| Comments from the District Real Estate Administrator: |
|  |
|  |
|  |  |  |
| Signature of District Real Estate Administrator Date: |