



# JEF - 7 | Best-Value Offeror Recommendation

Progressive Design Build | PID 110873 | Project# 3002(24)

Jefferson County SR7 – 25.67 Mine Subsidence

Technical Proposal Evaluation Team’s (TET)

Recommendations to Executive Level Evaluation Team (ELET)

### Executive Level Evaluation Team Signatures

Printed Name	Signature
Joshua Bowman Deputy Director – Div of Construction Mgmt.	
Thomas Corey Deputy Director – District 11	
Lori Duguid Deputy Director – Div. of Engineering	
Ronald Garczewski FHWA Ohio Div. - Project Delivery Team Leader (Approval as to Process only)	

Submitted to Executive Level Evaluation Team: May 8<sup>th</sup>, 2024

**Technical Proposal Evaluation Team Signatures**

<b>Printed Name</b>	<b>Signature</b>
Waseem Khalifa District 11	
Jerred Giauque District 11	
Christopher Notz District 11	
Zachary Evick District 11	
Cody Rouse District 11	
Christopher Merklin Central Office	
Jamie Fink Central Office	
Chase Wells Central Office	

**CONTENTS**

BACKGROUND:..... 3  
 PROCUREMENT SCHEDULE: .....4  
 SCORING PROCESS: ..... 5  
 SCORING SUMMARY ..... 5

**BACKGROUND:**

The Project work includes mine remediation by grouting portions of “The Little Giant Mine” by the Toronto Fire Clay Co. The extent of the remediation exists from sta. 1379+00 to sta. 1382+50 along S.R. 7 and from the ditch line to the toe of embankment. All mine voids shall be grouted. Additional voids may need to be grouted and the limits of those voids will be determined during Phase 1 of the Project. In addition to the mine void grouting, the soft overburden encountered in B-001-1-19 shall be improved to reduce any future settlement. Work also includes pavement resurfacing for all areas of the roadway within the project footprint and all applicable maintenance of traffic work. The Substantial Completion Deadline for the Project is May 31, 2026.

The Goals of the Project are:

- To Stabilize S.R.7;
- To Keep one lane of S.R. 7 open in each direction for the duration of the Project;
- To Achieve effective project delivery; and
- To Minimize physical intrusion and impact on the environment.

The ODOT is using a single step with interview procurement process to select a Design Build Team (DBT) to deliver the Project. All Offerors who submitted a responsive proposal to the requirements of the RFP participated in an interview.

The RFP Evaluation Manual describes the detailed plan for evaluating Proposals and determining the apparent best value Offeror. The purpose of RFP Evaluation Manual is to ensure the consistent, impartial, equal, and comprehensive evaluation of each Offeror’s Proposal, in accordance with the RFP.

Proposals were received from (3) Offerors on 04/12/2024 by the required deadline from the following:

OFFEROR	DESIGN BUILD CONTRACTOR	DESIGN BUILD DESIGNER
Howard Concrete Pumping Co., Inc.	Howard Concrete Pumping / Shelly & Sands Inc.	S&ME, Inc. / Resource International Inc. / Menard, USA
The Beaver Excavating Company	The Beaver Excavating Company	Michael Baker International, Inc.
C.J. Mahan Construction Company, LLC	C.J. Mahan Construction Company, LLC	Brierley Associates Corporation / E.L Robinson Engineering

**PROCUREMENT SCHEDULE:**

ACTIVITY	DATE (all times Eastern)
Proposal Due Date / Submittals Received	April 12, 2024 @ 10:00AM
<b>Administrative Review</b>	
Alternative Delivery Project Manager performs responsiveness & completeness review	April 12, 2024
Alternative Delivery Project Manager makes responsiveness and completeness recommendations with respect to each Proposal	April 15, 2024
<b>Qualitative Review</b>	
Proposal Evaluation Team members individually review Proposals	April 15 – April 28, 2024
Proposal Evaluation Team members collectively meet and form consensus recommendations	April 29, 2024
<b>Interview Review</b>	
Interviews with Offerors	May 2, 2024
Proposal Evaluation Team members collectively meet and form consensus recommendations	May 2, 2024
<b>Recommendation Concurrence</b>	
Presentation to Executive Management Team	May 8, 2024
<b>Apparent Best Value Selection Announcement</b>	
Announce Best Value Offeror	May 10, 2024
<b>Project Award</b>	
Project Award	June 5, 2024

**SCORING PROCESS:**

<i>Proposal Section</i>	<i>Criteria</i>
Administrative Proposal	Pass/Fail
Technical Proposal	40 Points
Offeror Organization and Key Personnel	10 Points
Offeror Team Capabilities and Experience	8 Points
Project Understanding and Approach	22 Points
Interview	20 Points
Competitive Bidding Element	40 Points
<b>Total</b>	<b>100 Points</b>

After all independent reviews of each Offeror’s proposal were completed, the Alternative Delivery Project Manager (Chase Wells), conducted a consensus meeting in ODOT District 11 where the Proposal Evaluation Team discussed and documented each proposal’s strengths and weaknesses, formed consensus ratings, and determined the proposal scoring.

After the proposals were scored, interviews were conducted. After each interview, the individual evaluators shared and discussed the strengths and weaknesses perceived. The Alternative Delivery Project Manager documented the consensus rating for the interview.

To complete the procurement, the Alternative Delivery Project Manager will calculate the Competitive Bidding Element Score utilizing the following formula on May 10, 2024, when bids are opened:

$$40 \text{ points} \times (\text{Lowest Offeror Phase 2 Mark-Up} / \text{Offeror Phase 2 Mark-Up})$$

The Alternative Delivery Project Manager will perform the following Best Value calculation to determine the Offeror’s Final Score and the Best Value ranking based on the following formula.

***Offeror’s Final Score = Competitive Bidding Element Score + Technical Proposal Score + Interview Score***

The Alternative Delivery Project Manager calls for each member of the Executive Level Evaluation Team to electronically sign this Best Value Offeror Recommendation document indicating approval of the Technical Proposal Evaluation Team’s methodology and scoring results.

**SCORING SUMMARY:**

Based on the evaluation group’s comprehensive review and discussion of the proposals and interviews, the Technical Evaluation Team provides the following scoring to the Executive Level Evaluation Team. Please note that proposal scores were normalized so that an Offeror would receive maximum points for the proposal score, interview score, and competitive bidding element.

<b>JEF-7 Mine Subsidence PID 110873</b>				
<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Proposal Score</b>	<b>Interview Score</b>	<b>Competitive Bidding Element</b>	<b>Total Score</b>
<b>Howard Concrete Pumping/ Shelly &amp; Sands</b>	<b>25.95</b>	<b>15.43</b>	TBD	TBD
<b>Beaver Excavating</b>	<b>26.80</b>	<b>13.50</b>	TBD	TBD
<b>C.J. Mahan</b>	<b>21.55</b>	<b>11.10</b>	TBD	TBD

\*TBD – To Be Determined

Executive Level Evaluation Team concurrence is required prior to advancing to the next stage in procurement.

As stated in the “ODOT 24-3002 JEF-7 Mine Subsidence Progressive Design Build - Executive Concurrence for Proposal Evaluation” Microsoft Teams call on May 8, 2024, all signing members acknowledge the following documents from the Project SharePoint Site as records of the overall evaluation: [ProgressiveDB D2-D11 Development - Evaluation Manual - All Documents \(state.oh.us\)](#)

- JEF-7\_243002ProgressiveDesignBuild\_RFP (Addendum 1)
- JEF-7 Procurement Overview
- JEF-7 Proposal Summary Sheet
- JEF-7\_Evaluation\_Spreadsheet
- JEF-7 Evaluation Spreadsheet Scoring