



WOOD - 23 | Best-Value Offeror Recommendation

Progressive Design Build | PID 117545 | Project# 3001(24)

Wood County US23 – 17.88 Roundabout

Technical Proposal Evaluation Team's (TET)

Recommendations to Executive Level Evaluation Team (ELET)

Executive Level Evaluation Team Signatures

Printed Name	Signature
Joshua Bowman Deputy Director – Div of Construction Mgmt.	
Patrick Mccolley Deputy Director – District 2	
Lori Duguid Deputy Director – Div. of Engineering	

Submitted to Executive Level Evaluation Team: March 6, 2024

Technical Proposal Evaluation Team Signatures

Printed Name	Signature
Kacey Young District 2	
Phil Senn District 2	
John Erford District 2	
Tyler Vaughan District 2	
Brian French District 2	
Kyle Ince Central Office	
Matt Eiben Central Office	
Jamie Fink Central Office	
Eric Kahlig Central Office	
Chase Wells Central Office	

CONTENTS

BACKGROUND:.....	3
PROCUREMENT SCHEDULE:	4
SCORING PROCESS:	5
SCORING SUMMARY	6

BACKGROUND:

The Project includes the construction of a single-lane roundabout at the intersection of US 23 and SR 105 in Wood County and Sandusky County. Special consideration shall be given to accommodation of large vehicles (Farm Equipment, Construction Equipment, WB-67 Semis) in the design of the roundabout. The Project will require Building Information Modeling (BIM) capabilities and experience on behalf of the selected Offeror. The Substantial Completion Deadline for the Project is October 15, 2025.

The Goals of the Project are:

- Improve traffic flow;
- Improve safety;
- Utilization and understanding of BIM technology and how it can improve productivity and quality throughout the design, construction, and maintenance operations of the Project and its assets;
- Advance the Department’s implementation of BIM technology for potential future asset management including design, construction, and maintenance operations;
- Maximize the Project scope within the programmed funding amounts through innovation, design optimization and effective risk mitigation;
- Achieve effective project delivery; and
- Minimize physical intrusion and impact on the environment.

The ODOT is using a single step with interview procurement process to select a Design Build Team (DBT) to deliver the Project. All Offerors who submitted a responsive proposal to the requirements of the RFP participated in an interview.

The RFP Evaluation Manual describes the detailed plan for evaluating Proposals and determining the apparent best value Offeror. The purpose of RFP Evaluation Manual is to ensure the consistent, impartial, equal, and comprehensive evaluation of each Offeror’s Proposal, in accordance with the RFP.

Proposals were received from (5) Offerors on 02/16/2024 by the required deadline from the following:

Best-Value Offeror Scoring Summary
 Technical Proposal Evaluation Team Recommendations

OFFEROR	DESIGN BUILD CONTRACTOR	DESIGN BUILD DESIGNER
E.S. Wagner Company	E.S. Wagner Company	Colliers Engineering & Design, Inc.
Kokosing Construction Company, Inc.	Kokosing Construction Company, Inc.	Michael Baker International, Inc.
Geddis Paving & Excavating, Inc.	Geddis Paving & Excavating, Inc.	HDR Engineering, Inc.
The Miller Brothers Company, Inc.	The Miller Brothers Company, Inc.	Tetra Tech, Inc.
The Ruhlin Company	The Ruhlin Company	ms consultants

Two Offeror Teams (Ruhlin/ms consultants and ES Wagner/Colliers) submitted Proposals that did not meet the Pass/Fail Requirements. Neither Offeror Team properly completed the required forms. These Offeror Teams were made aware of the situation on February 22, 2024, and with the nature of the active Procurement, the reason for excluding their Proposals was not disclosed.

PROCUREMENT SCHEDULE:

ACTIVITY	DATE (all times Eastern)
Proposal Due Date / Submittals Received	February 16, 2024 @ 10AM
Distribute names/firms to identify COI	February 16, 2024
Return signed COI forms	February 19, 2024
Administrative Review	
Alternative Delivery Project Manager performs responsiveness & completeness review	February 17, 2024
Alternative Delivery Project Manager makes responsiveness and completeness recommendations with respect to each Proposal	February 18, 2024
Qualitative Review	
Proposal Evaluation Team members individually review Proposals	February 17-February 22, 2024
Proposal Evaluation Team members collectively meet and form consensus recommendations	February 22, 2024
Interview Review	
Interviews with Offerors	February 29, 2024
Proposal Evaluation Team members collectively meet and form consensus recommendations	February 29, 2024
Recommendation Concurrence	
Presentation to Executive Management Team	March 5, 2024
Apparent Best Value Selection Announcement	
Announce Best Value Offeror	March 8, 2024
Project Award	
Project Award	April 8, 2024

SCORING PROCESS:

<i>Proposal Section</i>	<i>Criteria</i>
Administrative Proposal	Pass/Fail
Technical Proposal	40 Points
Offeror Organization and Key Personnel	10 Points
Offeror Team Capabilities and Experience	8 Points
Project Understanding and Approach	22 Points
Interview	20 Points
Competitive Bidding Element	40 Points
Total	100 Points

After all independent reviews of each Offeror’s proposal were completed, the Alternative Delivery Project Manager (Chase Wells), conducted a consensus meeting in ODOT District 2 where the Proposal Evaluation Team discussed and documented each proposal’s strengths and weaknesses, formed consensus ratings, and determined the proposal scoring.

After the proposals were scored, interviews were conducted. After each interview, the individual evaluators shared and discussed the strengths and weaknesses perceived. The Alternative Delivery Project Manager documented the consensus rating for the interview.

Lastly, the Alternative Delivery Project Manager will calculate the Competitive Bidding Element Score utilizing the following formula on March 8, 2024, when bids are opened:

$$40 \text{ points} \times (\text{Lowest Offeror Phase 2 Mark-Up} / \text{Offeror Phase 2 Mark-Up})$$

The Alternative Delivery Project Manager will perform the following Best Value calculation to determine the Offeror’s Final Score and the Best Value ranking based on the following formula.

Offeror’s Final Score = Competitive Bidding Element Score + Technical Proposal Score + Interview Score

The Alternative Delivery Project Manager calls for each member of the Executive Level Evaluation Team to electronically sign this Best Value Offeror Recommendation document indicating approval of the Technical Proposal Evaluation Team’s methodology and scoring results.

SCORING SUMMARY:

Based on the evaluation group’s comprehensive review and discussion of the proposals and interviews, the Technical Evaluation Team provides the following scoring to the Executive Level Evaluation Team. Please note that proposal scores were normalized so that an Offeror would receive maximum points for the proposal score, interview score, and competitive bidding element.

WOO-23 Roundabout PID 117545				
Proposer	Proposal Score	Interview Score	Competitive Bidding Element	Total Score
Kokosing/Michael Baker	40.00	20.00	TBD	TBD
Miller Bros. Construction/Tetra Tech	31.16	17.74	TBD	TBD
Geddis/HDR	30.20	16.20	TBD	TBD

*TBD – To Be Determined

Executive Level Evaluation Team concurrence is required prior to advancing to the next stage in procurement.

As stated in the “WOOD-23 Roundabout Progressive Design Build - Executive Concurrence for Proposal Evaluation” Microsoft Teams call on March 5, 2024, all signing members acknowledge the following documents from the Project SharePoint Site as records of the overall evaluation:

[ProgressiveDB D2-D11 Development - Proposal Submission - All Documents \(state.oh.us\)](#)

- WOO-23 Evaluation Spreadsheet
- WOO-23 Proposal Summary Sheet
- WOO-23 Executive Summary
- WOO-23 Evaluation Manual

CONFIDENTIAL