Design Exception Request

D05-CUL-FY2026(A)

PID: 112154; Request 04

Letting Type: ODOT-Let

Design Designation						
SR 60; 13.44-13.44 Coshocton County						
Current ADT (2026)	800	Td	88			
Design Year ADT (2046)	900	Design Speed	55			
Design Hourly Volume (2046)	100	Legal Speed	55			
Directional Distribution	.57	Design Functional Class	5 - Major Collector Roads			
Trucks (24hr B&C)	.11	Functional Class Area Type	Rural			
		NHS Project	No			



Submitted By:	
Brian Richard Harlow	
(Engineer of Record)	
Approved by:	Engineer of Record Seal

Adam Koenig Approval Date: 2/11/2025

Design Exception Request

D05-CUL-FY2026(A)

PID: 112154; Request 04

	Controllir	ng Criteria Identification				
Section: SR 60; 13.44-13.44						
Controlling Criteria	Standard	Existing (a.)	Proposed			
Lane Width						
Shoulder Width						
Horizontal Curve Radius						
Maximum Grade						
SSD (Horizontal & Crest Vertical)						
Pavement Cross Slope						
Superelevation Rate	.075 (Low-volume manual refers to L&D figure 202-7)	Varies LT: -0.90% to -1.11% (from crown) RT: -4.36% to -4.90% (from crown)	Putting the pavement back at the same slope and grade. LT: Varies from -0.90% to -1.11% (from crown) RT: Varies from -4.36% to -4.90% (from crown)			
Vertical Clearance						
Design Loading Structural						
Capacity						

(a.) "Existing" may be N/A (i.e. New alignment or new ramp)

Project Description

The intent of the proposed project is to replace deficient drainage structures. The roadway criteria is maintained (at a minimum) or slightly improved to achieve the scope of the project and to have minimal impacts to adjacent properties.

Section Description

COS-60-13.44: culvert replacement

As per the AASHTO Manual for Low-Volume Roads Table 4-1, a minimum roadway width of 25' must be provided. Our proposed design is providing 30'.

posed Mitigation
ne.
work for Dovintion (Bonefit cost D/M Fracionamental Constructability, Coordination with Other Desirate Bolationship between any week notices
port for Deviation (Benefit-cost, R/W, Environmental, Constructability, Coordination with Other Projects, Relationship between any crash patterns
proposed design exception, etc.):
e intent of the proposed project is to replace deficient drainage structures. The roadway criteria is maintained (at a minimum) or slightly improved to
ieve the scope of the project and to have minimal impacts to adjacent properties.

Does the requested Design Exception location fall within a Safety Integrated Project (SIP) Map Location?

Does the crash analysis (GCAT and CAM Tool) show any patterns that would be adversely impacted by the proposed Design Exception?

No