LPA SCOPE OF SERVICES FORM

A. Project Identification

| County | PER | | | Route | MR19 | 99/MR218/MR | Section | 0.49/0.14/0.30 |
|---|-----------|-------|---------|----------|-----------------|----------------|----------|----------------|
| Project sponsor / Maintenance responsibility: | | | | | | Village of New | Lexingto | on |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Local Let | Local Let | | | | | ODOT Let | | X |
| Scope fie | ld rev | iew: | 6/17/20 | 25 | | Scope meeting | : | 6/17/2025 |
| Highway Functional Classification Major Co | | | | Major Co | llector & Local | | | |
| PID | | 12405 | 55 | | | | | |
| Fiscal Ye | ar | 2027 | 27 | | | Proposed Sale | Date | Q3/Q4 FY27 |

B. Design Standard

| AASHTO/ODOT | | | | |
|-------------|--|--|--|--|
|-------------|--|--|--|--|

C. Project Description

| Description of Proposed Improvements: | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Intersection Improvements at Brown St/State St and Water St/State St. involving pavement | | | | | | | |
| removal, sidewalk, curb and drainage improvements, signage and pavement markings. Both | | | | | | | |
| intersections will be converted to All-Way Stop Control. | | | | | | | |

| Prior studies / plan (identify): ODOT HSIP Abbreviated Safety Application | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | |
| Estimated Project Length: (beg | Estimated Project Length: (begin pavement to end pavement including bridge) 1600' | | | | | | | |
| Work Length: (including project length & approach work) 800' | | | | | | | | |

| Alignment: | Existing | X | Relocated | |
|------------|----------|---|-----------|--|
| Profile: | Existing | X | New | |

| Logical Termini: (w/explanation) | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| | |

D. Typical Sections

Existing:

| L'Aisting. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|-----|-----------------|---|--|----|-----------------|------|----|----|--|-------------|--------|--|
| Width: | Pa | veme | nt | | | Graded Shoulder | | | • | | Treated Sho | oulder | |
| R/W | W | Within existing | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bridge: | fac | ce to f | face of rails or toe to toe of parapets | | | | | | | | | | |
| Curbs | | | Yes X | | | | No | | | | | | |
| Curb ran | nps | | Yes | | | | No | X | | | | | |
| Sidewalk | ζS | Yes | X | | No | | Com | me | nt | | | | |
| Guardrai | 1 | Yes | | | No | | Туре | | | | | | |

Proposed:

| Width: | Pa | vemei | nt | | | | Graded Shoulder | | | er | Treated Sl | noulder | |
|----------|------|-------|----|---|---|----|-----------------|--|-------|-----|------------|---------|--|
| Bridge | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Median: | | Yes | | | N | 0 | | | Type | | | | |
| Curbs: | | Yes | 7 | X | N | 0 | | | Type | | | | |
| Curb ram | ıps: | | Ye | s | X | 1 | No | | | | | | |
| Sidewalk | S | Yes | 7 | X | | No | | | Commo | ent | | | |
| Guardrai | 1 | Yes | Σ | X | | No | | | Туре | | | | |

Supplemental Information

| ADT | State = 1602 vpd Brown = 1927 vpd | Design ADT | |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|--------|
| DHV | | Certified Traffic | |
| T24 | | | |
| Design Speed | 35 mph | Legal Speed | 25 mph |
| Comments: | | | |

E. Right-of-Way

| Right-of-Way Plan: | Yes | | No | X |
|--------------------|---------|--------|----|---|
| Approximate Numbe | r of Pa | rcels: | | |
| Known relocations: | Yes | | No | X |

| Railroad Involvement: Yes | | | TBD | No | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----|--------|--|----|----|---|---------|--|--|--|
| Railroad Name: Kanawha F | | wha Ri | ver | | | | | | | |
| Encroachments: | | : | Some possible, would need permitted by Village | | | | | | | |
| Airway Highway Clearance: | , , | | | | No | X | Remarks | | | |
| Airport Name | | | | | | | | | | |
| Comments: | | | | | | | | | | |

Note: Provide a footprint of proposed and existing right of way limits as soon as available to District Env. Coordinator and District Real Estate Administrator.

Caution: Environmental needs to be clear prior to the beginning of right of way acquisition. A Local, utilizing their own monies, assumes many risks by proceeding with acquisition prior to environmental being cleared. These risks include purchasing r/w that may never be used for the project and purchasing a site that contains the need for a hazardous waste cleanup.

F. Utilities

Aerial:

| Phone | Yes | No | X | Name of Company | |
|-------------|-----|----|---|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Cablevision | Yes | No | X | Name of Company | |
| Power | Yes | No | X | Name of Company | Should be able to avoid AEP |

Buried:

| Phone | Υe | es | | N | o | X | | N | Name of Company | | | |
|--------------|----|------|---|---|----|---|---|-----------------|-----------------|---------|--------------------------|---|
| Cablevision | Ye | es 2 | K | N | 0 | | | Name of Company | | AT&T ma | nhole at Brown | |
| Power | Ye | es | | N | 0 | X | | N | ame of Cor | npany | any | |
| Gas | Ye | es Z | K | N | 0 | | | N | ame of Cor | npany | Coordinate with Columbia | |
| Pipelines: | Ye | es | | N | O | X | | N | ame of Cor | npany | | |
| Water | | Yes | | | No |) | X | Private | | Public | X | |
| Sanitary Sew | er | Yes | | | No |) | X | | Private | | Public | X |

| Storm Sewer | Yes | X | No | | Private | | Public | X |
|-------------|---|-----------|----------|---------|---------|--|--------|---|
| Other | Should be able to avoid Water & Sanitary impacts. | | | | | | | |
| Comments | Village v | vill do u | tility c | oordina | ation. | | | |

G. Structure Requirements

Existing Structure information:

| Structure type: | | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|--------|-----|
| Sufficiency Rating: | General | Appraisal | Bridge | No. |
| Structure File No. | | Crossing | | |
| Bridge length: | | | | |
| Number of Spans | | | | |
| Eligible for the Nation | onal Historical Register | Yes | No | |

Proposed Structure:

| New Structure: | Ye | es | | No | | | | |
|-----------------|--------|-------|---------|--------|-------|----------------|-------|---------|
| Rehabilitate Ex | By: | | | | | | | |
| Structure width | : | | | | | Structure typ | e: | |
| Number of spar | ıs: | | | | | | | |
| Beam Type: | Conc | rete | Box | | | Steel | | |
| Other Design C | onside | erati | ons / I | Explan | ation | of Change in I | Line/ | /Grade: |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Guardrail Type | : T | BD | | | | | | |

H. Design Exception(s) required

| | | 1 | 1 | |
|-----|----|---|---------|------------------|
| Yes | No | | Explain | None anticipated |

I. Traffic Control

| Signing: | Yes | X | No | | Remarks | Will convert both intersections to AWSC |
|-----------|-----|---|----|---|---------|---|
| Striping: | Yes | X | No | | Remarks | Stop lines, Xwalks and R/R Xing |
| Lighting: | Yes | | No | X | Remarks | |
| Signals: | Yes | | No | X | Remarks | |
| RPM's: | Yes | | No | X | Remarks | |

J. Geotechnical

Is geotechnical design necessary (Y/N)? If so, fully utilize historic geotechnical information; perform subsurface exploration in accordance with the Specifications for Geotechnical Explorations; and perform geotechnical design in accordance with the Geotechnical Design Manual.

K. Maintenance of Traffic

| Detour | Part Width | X |
|----------|------------|---|
| Remarks: | | |

L. Driveways

| Yes | TBD | No | | Type | Various if impacted |
|-----|-----|----|--|------|---------------------|
|-----|-----|----|--|------|---------------------|

M. Project Funding

| Project Cost Estimate \$208,700 | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Quantity splits needed in plans to differentiate funding participation: Yes No X | | | | | | | | |
| Comments: | 100% Sta | 100% State funds, No local funding match required | | | | | | |
| Coordination | Coordination with Concurrent Projects Required: Yes No X | | | | | | | |
| Comments: | | | | | | | | |

Cost Estimates:

| | Total Federal Funds/Percent Split | Total Local Funds/Percent Split |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| PE | | |
| RIGHT OF WAY | | |

| evised 12/4/2020 | | | |
|--|-------------|--------------|---|
| UTILITIES | | | |
| CONSTRUCTION | | | |
| CONST ENGINEERING | | | |
| TOTAL | | | |
| | | | |
| Cost Recovery | | | |
| Does the LPA intend to recover any Direct Labor Costs associated with this project? | Yes | No | X |
| Does the LPA intend to recover any Fringe and Overhead Costs associated with this project? | Yes | No | X |
| What Cost Recovery method does the LPA intend to utilize? 1. No cost recovery of LPA's project direct labor, fringe benefits, or ov 2. Direct Labor plus indirect costs determined using the Federal De M | | | |
| 3. Direct Labor plus Approved Fringe Benefit Costs (fringe benefits or calculated using the Federal 10% De Minimis Indirect Cost Rate. 4. Direct labor, plus fringe benefits costs calculated using the LPA's CRate, plus indirect costs calculated using the LPA's ODOT approved Indirect. | DOT approve | ed Fringe Be | |
| 3. Direct Labor plus Approved Fringe Benefit Costs (fringe benefits or calculated using the Federal 10% De Minimis Indirect Cost Rate. 4. Direct labor, plus fringe benefits costs calculated using the LPA's Costs | DOT approve | ed Fringe Be | |

^a The De Minimis Indirect Cost Rate is 10 percent of modified total direct costs (MTDC) per 2 CFR §200.414. Regardless of whether the LPA prepares a CAP or uses the 10-percent de minimis rate, LPAs are required to maintain Federally-compliant time-tracking systems. Accordingly, LPAs are permitted to bill for labor costs and associated indirect costs only if such costs are accumulated, tracked, and allocated in accordance with such systems. Before an LPA is eligible to elect the de minimis rate on any project, the LPA's time-tracking system and methods for tracking other project costs must be reviewed and approved by the ODOT Office of External Audits. To obtain this approval, LPAs will be required to complete an Internal Control Questionnaire (ICQ), and LPAs with compliant time-tracking systems will be granted approval (be prequalified) to apply the de minimis rate.

b Annually, the LPA shall submit an updated rate for review and approval by the ODOT Office of External Audits.

Revised 12/4/2020

If different systems, how does the LPA reconcile project hours to payroll?

How often are payroll records prepared?

For employees working on multiple activities, does the LPA track daily time by activity/project on the time sheets?

O. Environmental

hours must be shown)

Scope of the Proposed Action /Involvement with Resources:

(only tracking hours worked on Federal projects is non-compliant. All activity

Does the LPA ensure that timecards are signed by the employee?

These are actions and/or items the District Environmental Staff deems necessary to address as part of the LPA project environmental documentation. This form is not all inclusive, and more items may be required upon initiation of agency coordination and field studies.

Yes

X

No

| | Not required | Required | Responsibility | Due Date |
|--|-----------------|----------|------------------------|------------|
| Tentative CE Level _C1 | 1 1 1 | X | ODOT on behalf Village | |
| Purpose and Need Statement | i ! | | ! | |
| Section 106 Scoping Request Form | ! ! | | 1 | - |
| Cultural Resource Phase 1 | 1 1 | | 1 | |
| Cultural Resource Phase II | i ! | | ! | |
| Cultural Resource Mitigation | | | ! ! | · ! |
| Cultural Resource Section 4(f) |] | | 1 | |
| Data Recover Plan-Documentation for Consultation | | | 1 1 1 | |
| Section 4(f)/6(f)-Park/Recreation | 1 ! | | 1 | |
| Recreational Boating | i I | | 1 | 1 |
| Level 1 Ecological Survey Report | 1 | | 1 | - |
| Level 2 Ecological Survey Report | 1 1 | | 1 | i |

| Revised 12/4/2020 | | | |
|---|---|--|--------------|
| Wetland Survey | | 1 | I I |
| Section 9/Section 10 Stream | | ; ; ; | |
| 404 NWP-Army Corps of Engineers | | | |
| 404 PCN-Army Corps of Engineers | | | |
| 404 Individual Permit-Army Corps of Engineers | | | |
| 401 OEPA Certification Application | | | ! ! ! |
| Coast Guard Coordination | | ! |] |
| ODNR Coastal Zone | | ; ! ! | |
| Scenic River | | | |
| Farmland Screening or FCIR | | | |
| Public Involvement | | ! ! | |
| Public Meeting | | | |
| RMR Screening | | |] |
| RMR Assessment/Investigation | | 1 | l I |
| Drinking Water Resources | | <u>;</u> | , |
| Flood Plain/Flood Way | X | ODOT to coordinate with County FP Coordinator | - - |
| Underserved Populations | | 1 | |
| Noise Study | | 1 | 1 |
| Air Quality Analysis | | | |

| Asbestos In | spection Required: | Yes | No | X |
|-------------|--------------------|-----|----|---|
| Comment: | | | | |
| | | | | |

Any Known Environmental Concerns (ex. historic properties on National Register, wetlands, underground storage tanks, stream relocation):

- Any tree clearing to take place before project by Village within allowable timeframe (October 1 March 31)
 - Any desired PI to be completed by Village (none required for project)
 - ODOT will need copy of PONLS from consultant team

| Revised 12/4/2020 | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| P. Roles / Responsibilities | |
| Construction plan development: | ODOT Prequalified Consultant |
| Proposal/Specification Development: | ODOT/LPA |
| LPA Agreement: | ODOT |
| Form and preliminary legislation: | ODOT |
| Advertising and award of contract: | LPA |
| Construction inspection: | ODOT |
| R/W plan development: | ODOT Prequalified Consultant |
| R/W acquisition / appraisals: | ODOT Prequalified Consultant |
| Utility Coordination / Relocation: | LPA |

Q. Field Review

| Date: | 6/17/2025 |
|-------|-----------|

REPRESENTATIVES PRESENT:

| Name | Company | Phone | E-mail |
|--------------|-----------------------------|-------|--------|
| Eric Emmert | Village of New Lexington | | |
| Josh Otworth | ODOT D5 | | |
| Ben Boyer | ODOT D5 | | |
| Jake Ross | Village of New Lexington | | |

| R. COMMITMENT DATES ODOT-let | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------|--|
| ACTIVITY | DUE DATE | |
| Authorization to Proceed | 10/31/2025 | |
| Stage 1/ Stage 2 Review | 2/28/2026 | |
| R/W and Utility Clearance | N/A | |
| Environmental Clearance | 3/31/2026 | |
| Final Tracings | 5/31/2026 | |
| R/W Plans Approved/Not Required | N/A | |
| Bid document & tracings to District | 6/30/2026 | |
| Plan Package to C. O. | 7/31/2026 | |
| Award Date | 8/31/2026 | |

Other due dates of interest:

County to submit plans, proposal, estimate (PS&E) to the District

County certifies R/W and utility clearance to the District

County submits bid results to District

Schedule Explanation: Authorization to Proceed Start Date is the date that the District submits the programming package to Central Office. Finish Date for said activity is when a state job number has been established. Start Date for Environmental Clearance is normally the same as the date the project has been programmed. Start Date for Stage 2 Review is the date of submission to the District of the preliminary R/W plans. Finished date for said activity is when comments are returned to the LPA. Start Date for R/W Plan Approved is when the District has received final R/W plans and associated documents. Finish Date for said activity is when the District has approved said plans and associated documents. Start Date for R/W and Utility Clearance is the date that the LPA is authorized to begin acquisition. Finish date for said activity is when the District certifies clearance to FHWA. The LPA should certify R/W and Utility Clearance to the District one month before the R/W and Utility Clearance Finish Date. Start Date for Plan Package to C. O. is the date that the PS&E package leaves the District and the finish date is the day it is logged in at Central Office. One should allow forty-five days from Plan Package to C.O. for PS&E approval and project advertising before the Sale Date. Advertising needs to be three weeks minimum and cannot start until PS&E approval is obtained. Start date for the Award Date is the Sale Date of the project. And the Finish Date for the Award Date is the date the project was awarded. Summary of bid tabs and the identity of the awarded contractor shall be submitted to ODOT no later than one week after the award.

| Project Schedule Approval: | Signature | Date |
|----------------------------|-----------|------|
| Environmental Coordinator | | |
| Real Estate Admin. | | |
| Program Manager | | |
| Project Manager | | |

Scoping Meeting Notes - PID 124055

- Excess pavement will be removed in the southeast quadrants of both intersections to clarify traffic control/driver expectations and eliminate conflict points. Curb will be built at proposed edge of pavement and roadway drainage will need to be evaluated.
- Both intersections will be converted to All-Way Stop Control with the project. Dualled, oversized and LED-enhanced STOP signs will be evaluated. Placement of east leg STOP signs/lines will need to be evaluated to mitigate truck storage conflicts at the railroad crossing.
- No flexible delineators will be implemented.

• State Street/Water Street

- Reconstruct curb radius in northeast quadrant to improve turning radius and cleanup drainage/edge of pavement.
- Evaluate removing/replacing existing guardrail on culvert. Evaluate need to repair/replace concrete elements for GR post anchoring.
- o Relocate existing STOP sign in pavement with the pavement removal.
- Construct curb ramps on both sides of west leg where there is existing sidewalk. Mark a crosswalk.
- Replace catch basin within the intersection (near existing STOP sign) and its pipe outlet to the stream (this is within pavement removal area).
- Evaluate reestablishing curb reveal, drainage improvements and/or pavement milling coming down the hill on west leg.

• State Street /Brown Street

- Evaluate removing/replacing existing guardrail on culvert. This would likely include/require removing existing concrete parapet/wall on culvert.
- Evaluate integration of sidewalk along south side of Brown Street and west side of State Street. Curb ramps will be constructed at any existing or proposed sidewalk locations. Mark crosswalks. Village will begin railroad coordination.
- Evaluate roadway drainage to verify if any existing catch basins or curb inlets need replaced.
- Evaluate modifying/cleaning up the curb radius at Swigart St/State St immediately south of the intersection area. This would likely involve pavement removal and possibly involve curb construction and drainage improvements. (There are two existing catch basins off of the west edge of pavement.)







