		FRA-161-	15.80 Noise Walls				
STAGE 2 - REVIEW COMMENTS					RESPONSE I	LEGEND	
City of Columbus Project #:					JLLY, revised per comment		
						ARTLY, EXCEPTIONS NOTED	
ODOT PID #: 117607						E FULLY, REASONS NOTED	
						T HAS BEEN SUPERSEDED BY DESIGN DEVELOPMENT	
Date:	Note to consulta	nt: Please fill in	this cell with the date that all responses were cor	npleted.		N REQUIRED	
NO.	SHEET NO	COLOR	COMMENTS	COMMENT BY	ACTION REQ'D A,B,C, D,E,F	QUESTIONS/RESPONSE TO CITY	CURRENT STATUS (OPEN/CLOSED)
1	18	#N/A	CIRCUIT 848, LEG C FUTURE EX. LIGHTING IN EX. CONDUIT	sawolfe			
2	18	#N/A	Т	sawolfe			
3	18	#N/A	OF MEDIAN BARRIER REPLACED PER SCD HL-20.13)	sawolfe			
4	18	#N/A	FUTURE EX. LIGHT POLE 848-C6	sawolfe			
5	18	#N/A		sawolfe			
6	18	Yellow		sawolfe			
7	18	Yellow		sawolfe			
8	18	Red	COMMENT FOR ENTIRE PLAN. ANY LIGHTING (BE IT FUTRE EXISTING OR WHATEVER) THAT IS NOT BEING DISTURBED SHOULD HAVE A "DND" CALLOUT FOR CLARIFICATION OF DISPOSTION. ALL LOCATIONS AND CALLOUTS	sawolfe			
9	27	Red	THIS IS NOT AN OVERHEAD LINE SEE DETAIL FROM 161 PID 116322	sawolfe			
10	27	Red		sawolfe			
11	35	Red	LEG CALLOUT INCORRECT. REF PID 116322 FOR CORRECT CALLOUTS	sawolfe			
12	35	Yellow	FUTURE EX. LIGHTING CIRCUIT 849, LEG C	sawolfe			
13	35	Red		sawolfe			
14	10	Red		sawolfe			
15	10	Red	THESE ARE AVAILABLE ON THE INTERNETPROVIDE ACTUAL ADDRESS	sawolfe			
16	1	Red		sawolfe			
17	10	Red		klrhynehardt			
18	10	Red	OK	klrhynehardt			
19	10	Red	https://www.columbus.gov/WorkArea/DownloadAsset.aspx?id=214 7503200	kirhynehardt			
20	10	Red	The actual address to the new MIS-01 is shown	klrhynehardt			
21	1	Red		klrhynehardt			
22	28	#N/A	Please refer to comment made above.	dahill			
23	226	#N/A	Please show the Existing 16" Water Main & 30" Casing Pipe per the available Plan of Record. Also, please refer to the comments made on Sheet #28 and revise accordingly.	dahill			
24	42	#N/A	We appear to have sufficient horizontal clearance here. However, please follow the horizontal separation requirements stated on sheet 28 to a assure proper separation is maintained. Please revise accordingly.	dahill			
25	28	#N/A	Please provide a minimum of 3'-0" of Horizontal Separation (out-to- out) between the existing 30" casing pipe and any proposed 30" drilled shaft/foundation. You may fabricate a note to this requirement. Please revise accordingly.	dahill			

FRA-161-15.80 Noise Walls							
STAGE 2 - REVIEW COMMENTS					RESPONSE	LEGEND	
City of Columbus Project #:					JLLY, revised per comment		
	-					ARTLY, EXCEPTIONS NOTED	
						E FULLY, REASONS NOTED	
						T HAS BEEN SUPERSEDED BY DESIGN DEVELOPMENT N ONLY. ANSWER PROVIDED	
Date:	Note to consulta	ınt: Please fill in	this cell with the date that all responses were co	mpleted.		N ONLY. ANSWER PROVIDED	
NO.	SHEET NO	COLOR	COMMENTS	COMMENT BY	ACTION REQ'D A,B,C, D,E,F	QUESTIONS/RESPONSE TO CITY	CURRENT STATUS (OPEN/CLOSED)
26	195	#N/A	Please refer to comments made on Sheet 28 & 226 & revise if required.	dahill			
27	11	#N/A	Please add the following note: A minimum of 3 feet of horizontal clearance (out to out) shall be maintained between all existing water mains and foundations for poles, pull boxes, push button pedestals, and any other miscellaneous electrical structure.	dahill			
28	1	Red		dahill			
29	1	Yellow		rmvansickle			
30	1	Yellow		rmvansickle			
31	1	Yellow		rmvansickle			
32	1	Yellow		rmvansickle			
33	1	Yellow		rmvansickle			
34	3	#N/A		rmvansickle			
35	3	#N/A		rmvansickle			
36	3	#N/A		rmvansickle			
37	3	#N/A		rmvansickle			
38	3	#N/A		rmvansickle			
39	3	#N/A		rmvansickle			
40	3	#N/A		rmvansickle			
41	3	#N/A		rmvansickle			
42	3	#N/A		rmvansickle			
43	3	#N/A		rmvansickle			
44	3	Red Red		rmvansickle			
45	-	Red		rmvansickle			
<u>46</u> 47	3	#N/A	No such thing as the 100 year Electivey, It is just Electivey	rmvansickle			
47 48	3	#IN/A Red	No such thing as the 100-year Floodway. It is just Floodway.	rmvansickle rmvansickle			
48 49	3	#N/A		rmvansickle			
50	3	#N/A	-	rmvansickle			
51	3	#N/A		rmvansickle			
52	3	#N/A		rmvansickle			
53	3	Red		rmvansickle			
54	3	Red		rmvansickle			
55	3	Red		rmvansickle			
68	3	Red		rmvansickle			
69	3	Red		rmvansickle			
70	3	Red		rmvansickle			
71	3	#N/A		rmvansickle			
72	3	#N/A	,	rmvansickle			
73	3	#N/A	,	rmvansickle			
74	3	#N/A	-	rmvansickle			

FRA-161-15.80 Noise Walls STAGE 2 - REVIEW COMMENTS							
					RESPONSE	EGEND	
						JLLY, revised per comment	
City of Columbus Project #:	117607					ARTLY, EXCEPTIONS NOTED	
000T DID //						C: DISAGREE FULLY, REASONS NOTED	
ODOT PID #:						D: COMMENT HAS BEEN SUPERSEDED BY DESIGN DEVELOPMENT	
Date	Note to compute	this cell with the date that all responses were con	mulated		N ONLY. ANSWER PROVIDED		
Date:	Note to consult	this ceil with the dule that all responses were con	npieleu.	F: NO ACTION REQUIRED			
NO.	SHEET NO	COLOR	COMMENTS	COMMENT BY	ACTION REQ'D A,B,C, D,E,F	QUESTIONS/RESPONSE TO CITY	CURRENT STATUS (OPEN/CLOSED)
75	3	Yellow		rmvansickle			
76	3	Yellow		rmvansickle			
77	3	Yellow		rmvansickle			
78	3	Yellow		rmvansickle			
79	3	Yellow		rmvansickle			
80	3	#N/A		rmvansickle			
81	7	Bright Green	STAGE 1 comment refers to barriers blocking 100 yr flows into the LA-ROW. Cannot flood adjacent property.	TLStewart			
82	7	Bright Green	REPEAT STAGE 1 COMMENTS	TLStewart			
83	7	Red		TLStewart			
84	7	Bright Green	This is in City of Columbus and therefore project must show good faith effort to comply with COC Stormwater Drainage Manual.	TLStewart			
85	21	Red		TLStewart			
86	21	Bright Green	Where are the details or STD DWG for this outlet. (typical comment)	TLStewart			
87	33	Bright Green		TLStewart			
88	33	Bright Green		TLStewart			
89	33	Bright Green	237/2	TLStewart			
90	33	Bright Green	Stream Corridor Protection Zone	TLStewart			
91	33	Bright Green	SCPZ is always the larger of the formulas provided.	TLStewart			
92	33	Bright Green		TLStewart			
93	33	Bright Green	This is disturbance within the SCPZ & most be mitigated.	TLStewart			
94	33	Bright Green		TLStewart			
95	33	Bright Green		TLStewart			
96	33	Bright Green	237/2	TLStewart			
97	33	Bright Green	SCPZ	TLStewart			
98	33	Bright Green		TLStewart			
99	33	Bright Green		TLStewart			
100	1	Bright Green	Need to address NPDES requirements, SWPPP, table of SCP's and their owner's	TLStewart			
101	46	Bright Green		TLStewart			
102	46	Bright Green	Can this be made steeper. 1.0% preferrable	TLStewart			
103	210	Bright Green	REPEAT STAGE 1 COMMENTS	TLStewart			
104	210	Bright Green	There should be a maintenance plan or contingency within the design.	TLStewart			
105	210	Red		TLStewart			
106	20	Bright Green		TLStewart			
107	20	Red	250'-3"	TLStewart			
108	20	Bright Green		TLStewart			
109	20	Bright Green	SCPZ	TLStewart			
110	20	Bright Green		TLStewart			

FRA-161-15.80 Noise Walls STAGE 2 - REVIEW COMMENTS							
					RESPONSE	LEGEND	
City of Columbus Project #:				A: AGREE FULLY, revised per comment B: AGREE PARTLY, EXCEPTIONS NOTED			
ODOT PID #:	#: 117607					C: DISAGREE FULLY, REASONS NOTED D: COMMENT HAS BEEN SUPERSEDED BY DESIGN DEVELOPMENT	
Date:	Note to consultant: Please fill in this cell with the date that all responses were completed.					E: QUESTION ONLY. ANSWER PROVIDED F: NO ACTION REQUIRED	
NO.	SHEET NO	COLOR	COMMENTS	COMMENT BY	ACTION REQ'D A,B,C, D,E,F	QUESTIONS/RESPONSE TO CITY	CURRENT STATUS (OPEN/CLOSED)
111	20	Bright Green	Mitigate disturbance within SCPZ	TLStewart			
112	18	Bright Green		TLStewart			
113	18	Bright Green		TLStewart			
114	18	Bright Green	Mitigate disturbance within SCPZ. 1:1 native vegetation within SCPZ	TLStewart			
115	1	Red		TLStewart			
116	1	Red		TLStewart			
117	1	Bright Green	Will need a note/item for SS 832 if that is how SWPPP is handled	TLStewart			
118	10	Bright Green	& Stormwater	TLStewart			