Presentation Brent Spence Bridge Replacement/Rehabilitation Project

PID No. 75119 HAM-71/75-0.00/0.22 KYTC Project Item No. 6-17



Welcome

Please Sign In - Verify Name, Address, Phone and E-Mail

Presentation Brent Spence Bridge Replacement/Rehabilitation Project PID No. 75119

PID No. 75119 HAM-71/75-0.00/0.22 KYTC Project Item No. 6-17

Project Aesthetics Committee Meeting #4 • January 29, 2010



Agenda

- Meeting Purpose / Goals
- Project Update
- Role of Project Aesthetic Committee (PAC)

- Bridge Type Selection Key Design Criteria
- Preliminary Bridge Concepts Presentation
- Preliminary Bridge Concepts Evaluation

Goals for Meeting



- Update on the Project
- Key Visual and Aesthetic Criteria

 Verification of New Bridge Key Criteria
 Additional Criteria
- Solicit Feedback on Bridge Concepts
 - Develop Pros and Cons for Evaluation of Bridge Concepts to aid in the Selection of Six Bridge Type Alternatives

Project Overview

Work being Performed

- Refine Design Plans for Preferred Alternatives
- Perform Environmental Field Studies and Refine Impacts based on refined engineering work

- Perform Main River Bridge Structure Type Study
- Draft Aesthetic Design Guidelines Document
- Assessment of Feasible Alternatives Report
 - Recommend Preferred Roadway Alternative
 - Selection of Three Bridge Alternatives
- NEPA Document
 - Environmental Elements
 - Finalize Environmental Document



Bridge Type Selection Process

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

CABINE

New River Bridge River Zone Context



Bridge Type Selection Key Design Criteria

- Construction Cost
- Constructability
- Maintenance and Durability
- Major Rehabilitation Feasibility
- Aesthetics



Bridge Type Selection Key Design Criteria

Criteria Bridge Type Major Construction Maintenance Constructability Rehabilitation Aesthetics and Durability Cost Feasibility Construction will Items included in Items included in Cost will be be complicated M&D will be: rehab will be: roughly the 1. Standard 1. Deck and slowed by the same as for an Inspections Replacement requirement to arch or cable-2. Overlay 2. Future Widening maintain river Truss Replacement 3. Member Repair/ stayed bridge. traffic. 3. Painting of Steel Replacement Construction will Items included in Items included in Cost will be be complicated M&D will be: rehab will be: roughly the 1. Standard 1. Deck and slowed by the same as for a Inspections Replacement requirement to 2. Overlay 2. Future Widening truss or cablemaintain river Arch Replacement 3. Hanger stayed bridge. traffic. 3. Painting of Steel Replacement Cantilever Items included in Items included in Cost will be M&D will he: rehah will he: construction of the roughly the 1. Deck 1. High-tech superstructure will same as for an Inspections Replacement minimize 2. Overlay arch or truss 2. Future Widening interference to Replacement 3. Stay Cable bridge. Cable-Stayed river traffic. 3. Painting of Steel Replacement Items included in Items included in Cost will be rehab will be: M&D will be: 1. Deck significantly III 1. High-tech Construction will Replacement higher than for Inspections be complicated. 2. Future Widening 2. Overlay other bridge 3. Suspension Cable Replacement Suspension types. and Hanger 3. Painting of Steel Replacement

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

Hill O



Key Visual and Aesthetic Criteria Other Criteria Views of Surrounding Context Distinctive Character/ Landmark Visible from Eastern Vantages Major Rehabilitation Feasibility Visually Attractive Construction Cost Constructability 5 Relates Visually to Existing BSB Maintenance ai Durability **Truss Concepts** 1 2 **Arch Concepts** 3 4 5 **Cable-Stayed Concepts** 6 7 8 9 10 11 12



Key Criteria:

- 1. The new bridge should be visually attractive.
- 2. The new bridge needs to be visible looking "through" the existing bridge (from the east).
- 3. As much as possible, crossing the new bridge should allow views of the surrounding context (unlike existing bridge).
- 4. The new bridge should have distinctive characteristics that identify it as a local landmark.
- 5. The new bridge should have a visual relationship with the existing bridge.

Additional Criteria:

- The new bridge colors, textures, landscaping, etc. need to be aesthetically pleasing.
- The existing bridge needs to be maintained/repainted to blend in with the new bridge.

Bridge Type Selection Aesthetic Elements - Fixed

Double Deck Bridge:

• Constructed on west side of existing Brent Spence Bridge.

Bridge Lighting:

- Necessary roadway and navigation channel lighting.
- Lighting will be provided on the lower deck.





Bridge Type:

• Truss, Arch, or Cable-Stayed

Bridge Treatments:

- Shape
- Pattern
- Color
- Texture
- Lighting
- Landscaping

Bridge Components:



























Un-Lighted

Lighted



Step 1: Development of Preliminary Bridge Concepts





Bridge Type Selection Concept 1

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

No. Helen









U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

Her.





Bridge Type Selection Concept 2

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

CABIN

and Haland







CABINE







Here





Bridge Type Selection Concept 3

Bridge Type Selection Concept 3

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

We we all the state









Here







Bridge Type Selection Concept 4

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

Willing .









William Child














CABIN

William Della















Her.







U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

Wind Black Black









Willing?





U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

Here





U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

CABI

Der Willer







Willing ?

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

CARIN







Here









U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

CABIN

Der Helmit

2 AND 1 1000 1.64



No. William





U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

Here O







1-1









ar wind





U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

Here





U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

Der Hillen







Helen D





U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

Here









-C 2. ĊĦ



William C

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

CABIN





U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

Here







Di Wind









William D





U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

Here





Key Visual and Aesthetic Criteria Other Criteria Views of Surrounding Context Distinctive Character/ Landmark Visible from Eastern Vantages Major Rehabilitation Feasibility Visually Attractive Construction Cost Constructability 5 Relates Visually to Existing BSB Maintenance ai Durability **Truss Concepts** 1 2 **Arch Concepts** 3 4 5 **Cable-Stayed Concepts** 6 7 8 9 10 11 12

Project Schedule





- First PAC BTS Meeting September 25, 2009
 - Identify Key Aesthetic Criteria for Development of 18
 Preliminary Bridge Concepts
- Second PAC BTS Meeting January 29, 2010
 - Input on Selection of 6 Bridge Type Alternatives
 - Feedback due by February 5, 2010
 - 6 Bridge Type Alternatives Selection February 19, 2010
- Third PAC BTS Meeting April 15, 2010
 - Input on Selection of Final 3 Bridge Alternatives
- Fourth PAC BTS Meeting November 2010
 - Presentation of Final 3 Bridge Alternatives

Feedback

Feedback Options

- Project Website
- Fax
- US Mail

• Feedback due by February 5, 2010

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

win of



Thank You