LPA SCOPE OF SERVICES FORM

A. Project Identification	
County-Route-Section (Project Name): HOL CF	? 19 5.84
Project Sponsor / Maintenance Responsibility:	Holmes County
☑ Local Let	DVD 404740
□ ODOT Let	PID (ODOT assigned): 121710
Scope Field Review: April 9, 2024	Scope Meeting: April 9, 2024
Proposed Sale Date: April/May 2027	State Fiscal Year: 2028
Highway Functional Classification: <u>06 – Mir</u>	nor Collector
Federal Aid System (ODOT assigned): Yes (Bri	dge Off System Eligible)
B. Design Standard	
ODOT Location and Design Manuals; Gui	delines for Geometric Design of Low Volume Roads
C. Project Description	
Complete replacement of Bridge No. HOL Doughty Creek in Mechanic Township of H	CR 19 0584 (SFN 383713), which carries CR 19 over Holmes County.
Prior studies / plan (identify):	
CEAO Funding Application (2023)	
Estimate Project Length:	
(begin pavement to end pavement including bridge) 250'	-/-
Work Length: (including project length & approach work) 450'	+/-
Alignment: Existing	
Profile: Relocated (explain) Adjust Adjust	t profile as needed for proposed structure; tie into existing
	t profile as needed for proposed structure; tie into existing yay profile as soon as possible
Logical Termini: (w/explanation) Approximately 100' from	the end of the bridge/termini of the guardrail.

υ.	Typical Sections								
	Pavement Width:					curb to curb	,	Graded Shoulder: 2' +/-	
50		-/-		D	dedge to edg	ge	Treated Shoulder: N/A		
Existing	R/W Width:	60'							
Ex	Bridge Width:	60'							
		24'+	-/-		X	f/f of rails, □	t/t c	of curbs, or □t/t of parapets	
	Existing Ye	s No	Com	ment / Tyj	<u>oe</u>				
	Median								
	Curbs								
	Curb ramps								
	Sidewalks		Wid	th:					
	Guardrail 🗵		Тур	e 5					
			т.			T. 10			
	Additional Things To Note About Existing Typical Section:								
					Ü	7 1			
	Pavement Width:	22'				eurb to curb	G	Graded Shoulder: 7'	
pesq							G	Graded Shoulder: 7' Created Shoulder: 2'	
roposed	Pavement Width: R/W Width:	22' 60'				eurb to curb	G		
Proposed		60'			□ c ⊠ e	eurb to curb	G	reated Shoulder: 2'	
Proposed	R/W Width:				□ c ⊠ e	eurb to curb	G		
Proposed	R/W Width:	60'	<u>No</u>	Commen	□ c ⊠ e	eurb to curb edge to edge of rails, □t/t o	G	reated Shoulder: 2'	
Proposed	R/W Width: Bridge Width:	60' 30'	<u>No</u> ⊠	Commen	□ c ⊠ e	eurb to curb edge to edge of rails, □t/t o	G	reated Shoulder: 2'	
Proposed	R/W Width: Bridge Width: Proposed	60' 30'		Commen	□ c ⊠ e	eurb to curb edge to edge of rails, □t/t o	G	reated Shoulder: 2'	
Proposed	R/W Width: Bridge Width: Proposed Median	60' 30' <u>Yes</u> □	×	Commen	□ c ⊠ e	eurb to curb edge to edge of rails, □t/t o	G	reated Shoulder: 2'	
Proposed	R/W Width: Bridge Width: Proposed Median Curbs	60' 30' Yes □	\boxtimes	Commen Width:	□ c ⊠ e	eurb to curb edge to edge of rails, □t/t o	G	reated Shoulder: 2'	

Note (*) – Curb ramps must be updated to current ADA standards.

Additional Things To Note About **Proposed** Typical Section:

For proposed pavement buildup, ODOT's Pavement Design Manual Appendix C can be used.

ADT	329	Design ADT	370
OHV	44	Certified Traffic	N/A
Γ24	3% (assumed)	Legal Speed	55 MPH
Design Speed	55 MPH	<u> </u>	
Comments: Information per ADT from ODC	r attached Bridge Project L	Design Designation Works	heet (Excel File); Exist

E. Right-of-Way	
	Yes No Remarks
Right-of-Way Plan:	
Approximate Number of Parcels:	
Known Relocations:	
Railroad Involvement:	
Railroad Name:	
Encroachments:	
Airway Highway Clearance:	
Airport Name:	
Comments: Consultant is to	verify existing ROW and display existing ROW lines on const. plans

Note: Provide a footprint of proposed and existing right of way limits as soon as available to the District Environmental Coordinator and District Real Estate Administrator.

Caution: Environmental needs to be clear prior to the beginning of right of way acquisition. A Local Public Agency, utilizing their own monies, assumes many risks by proceeding with acquisition prior to environmental being cleared. These risks include purchasing r/w that may never be used for the project and purchasing a site that contains the need for a hazardous waste cleanup.

F. Utilities

	1	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	Name of	f Company	
ı	Phone	×		Frontie	r Communications	
Aerial	Cablevision		×			
7	Power	×		Holmes	s-Wayne Electric Cooperative	
	Phone	×		Frontie	r Communications	
	Cablevision		×			
	Power					
punc	Gas			Knox E	nergy Cooperative	
Underground	Pipelines:		×			
Unc				Private	Public Name of Company	
	Water		×			
	Sanitary		×			
	Storm		×			
Othe	r:					
Com	ments: See	belov	v.			

A listing of all utility companies within the project limits shall be included in the Stage 1 submittal. This listing must include all underground, aerial, private and public (City or County owned) facilities. The Consultant can contact the District 11 Utilities Coordinator for the correct addresses, telephone numbers and company contacts.

Stage 2 plan submittals must include all underground utilities on the Plan/Profile and appropriate Cross Section Sheets. Preliminary cross sections must be included to show the effect of the proposed construction on all existing utilities. Aerial and underground utility relocations may require additional right of way beyond the existing right of way limits. These existing utilities, proposed utility relocations and approximate right of way needs must be reflected in the Conceptual Right of Way Plan.

The Local Agency (or its Consultant) will write the project utility note and submit to the Utility Coordinator for approval; they will also supply the Utility Coordinator with copies of approved utility company relocation plans. The Utility Note is part of the Right-of-Way Certification supplied by the Local Agency at the PS&E Submission.

The Consultant will follow procedures for all utility relocation as per ODOT's Utilities Manual and the Ohio Revised Code.

G. Structure Requirements

G. SI	ructure Requirem	ints	
	Structure type:	Steel stringer/multi-beam wi	th asphalt concrete wearing surface
ture 1:	Bridge No.:	HOL CR 19 0584	Structural File No.: 3830713
Existing Structure Information:	Sufficiency Rating	44.6	General Appraisal: 4
ng S orm	Crossing:	Doughty Creek	
xisti Inf	Bridge Length:	51' +/- overall length	Number of Spans: 1
Ξ	Eligible for the Na	tional Historical Register: ☐ Yes ☑ No	
	New Structure:	Yes No	
on:	Rehabilitate Existin	g Bridge by: <i>N/A (complete re</i>	placement)
mati	Structure Type:	TBD (simplified structure type s	tudy)
nfor	Beam Type:	☐ Concrete Box; ☐ Steel; ☐ n/a	To be determined
ıre I	Structure Width:	30' f/f rails	Number of Spans: 1
Proposed Structure Information:	S	be submitted at Stage 2 for ODO ve approved load rating on file	T Office of Structural Engineering approval
Propose	Other Design Consi Explanation of Cha		
	Adjust profile as n	eeded to accommodate propos	sed structure; tie into ex. profile asap.
	Guardrail Type: 7	ST-1-00: MGS Bridge Terminal	Assemblies Type 1

H. D	Design Ex	xcepti	ion(s)) Required
	⊠ Yes □ No	Exp	lain:	To be determined in preliminary engineering by Consultant.
I. Tı	raffic Co	ntrol		
Signi	ng:	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u> □	Remarks
Stripi	ing:	\boxtimes		
Light	ing:		\boxtimes	
Signa	ıls:		\boxtimes	
RPM	s:		\boxtimes	
J. M	laintena	nce of	f Tra	ffic
• •	of MOT		⊠ De	etour, Part Width, Daily Flagging
Will	Pedestria	ın Tra	ffic n	need to be maintained? No
Rema	arks/Desc	cribe:		
K. D	Priveway	'S		
		Тур	e: <i>Fi</i>	ield drives on south side of structure rear and forward (aggregate)

L. Project Funding			
Project Cost Estimate: \$1,857,10	00 (Const	truction and Construction Engin	eering only)
Quantity splits needed in plans to differentiate funding participation:	☐ Yes ☒ No	Comments:	
Coordination with Concurrent Projects Required:	□ Yes ⊠ No	Comments:	
Funding Source: CEAO LBR (4B8)	7) Fed	eral Maximum: \$2,049,245	Funding Split: 95/5

Cost Estimates:

		Local Information		<u>St</u>	ate/Federal Information	<u>on</u>	
	SAC	Total Local Funds	Percent Split	<u>SAC</u>	Total Federal or State Funds	Percent Split	<u>Total</u>
Preliminary Engineering	LNTP	TBD	100				
Detailed Design	LNTP	TBD	100				
Construction	LNTP	\$86,780.50	5	4B87	\$1,648,829.50	95	\$1,735,610
Construction Engineering	LNTP	\$6,074.50	5	4B87	\$115,415.50	95	\$121,490
Totals:		\$92,855			\$1,764,245		\$1,857,100

Additional remarks about funding:

Environmental, Preliminary Engineering, and Detailed Design costs are TBD (pending consultant cost proposals, etc.). CEAO Environmental Task Order to be utilized. 95% utilizing 15% credit bridge.

		1
Does the LPA intend to recover any Direct Labor Costs associated with this project?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
Does the LPA intend to recover any Fringe and Overhead Costs associated with this project?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
If the LPA does intend to recover Fringe and Overhead Costs, by what meth recover those costs?	od do they in	ntend to
 1. Direct Labor only (no indirect cost recovery for fringe benefit or overhead co 2. Direct Labor plus indirect costs determined using the Federal De Minimis Inc 3. Direct Labor plus Approved Fringe Benefit Costs (fringe benefits only)² 4. Direct Labor plus indirect costs determined using the approved applicable Costs 	direct Cost Rate	
5. No cost recovery of any LPA direct labor, fringe benefits, or overhead costs.		
Does the LPA currently have a timekeeping system in place?	☐ Yes	□ No
If so, does that system track both payroll and project hours concurrently?	☐ Yes	□ No
If different systems, how does the LPA reconcile project hours to payroll?		
How often are payroll records prepared?		
For employees working on multiple activities, does the LPA track daily		
time by activity/project on the time sheets? (Tracking hours worked, without activities, on Federal projects is non-compliant. All	☐ Yes	□ No
activity hours must be shown)		

N. Environmental – see attached Environmental Scope of Services

O. Roles/Responsibilities

Note: Consultants used for development of Construction plans, R/W plans, R/W acquisition/appraisals, and Construction inspection must be pre-qualified by ODOT.

Construction Plan Development: ODOT Prequalified Consultant

Proposal/Specification Development: LPA/ODOT Prequalified Consultant

LPA Agreement: ODOT

Form and Preliminary Legislation: N/A (Local Let)

Environmental Tasks: CEAO Task Order Consultant (less Waterway Permits tasks)

Advertising and Award of Contract: LPA

Construction Inspection: ODOT Prequalified Consultant

R/W Plan Development: N/A

R/W Acquisition / Appraisals: N/A

Utility Relocation: Coordination/Utility Note by LPA/ODOT Prequalified Consultant

P. Field Review - held on April 9, 2024 with Holmes County Engineer and ODOT D11 personnel

Q. Commitment Dates Milestone 💠 Date **‡** Completed SFY (Qtr) Initial Project Scope Complete 10/07/2024 2025 (Q2) Stage 1 Plans - Submitted 5 05/30/2025 2025 (Q4) Stage 2 Plans - Submitted 01/30/2026 2026 (Q3) Environmental Document Approved 02/27/2026 2026 (Q3) Stage 3 Plans - Submitted 11/30/2026 2027 (Q2) Plan Package Submitted to District **9** 02/01/2027 2027 (Q3) Plan Package Submitted to C.O. 03/01/2027 2027 (Q3) Sale 04/30/2027 2027 (Q4) Award 9 07/01/2027 2028 (Q1) Begin Construction 9 08/01/2027 2028 (Q1) End Construction 11/30/2027 2028 (Q2)