### **MLK DRIVE CORRIDOR**

The MLK Drive corridor experiences congestion during the AM and PM peak periods. **Appendix E** contains the detailed analyses and summary for the No Build condition. Locations with LOS E or LOS F are considered capacity deficient for the purposes of this evaluation. Note that the design period for MLK Drive corridor is the AM peak. All level of service results are for the AM peak hour, unless stated otherwise.

- MLK Drive at I-90 WB ramp intersection: LOS F on northbound and southbound approaches. Although the WB ramp approach shows acceptable level of service (LOS B), the high volumes result in a queue length of 1,539 feet. The length of the queue can extend onto mainline I-90 due in part to the capacity constraints of the single lane approach at MLK Drive.
- > <u>MLK Drive at N. Marginal Road intersection</u>: LOS E on the eastbound approach of N. Marginal Road.
- > <u>MLK Drive at I-90 EB ramp intersection</u>: LOS F on eastbound approach of the EB exit ramp.

The primary capacity constraint on the MLK Drive corridor is the southbound merge at the railroad bridge. Queues that form on both exit ramps are attributed in part to the capacity of the single southbound lane on MLK Drive south of the I-90 interchange. Two countermeasures are proposed to mitigate existing capacity constraints of MLK Drive. The metrics used to evaluate the various improvement alternatives are a combination of intersection LOS and 95<sup>th</sup> percentile queue lengths derived by SimTraffic software.

## > Alternative 1: Provide two southbound lanes on MLK Drive south of the interchange and widen WB exit ramp to two lanes

The first Build alternative includes continuation of two southbound lanes on MLK Drive south of the railroad overpass. Presently, the southbound lanes of MLK Drive merge to a single lane just north of the railroad overpass, resulting in a capacity constraint. Additionally, a second lane on the WB exit ramp is included in this alternative such that two lanes from the ramp can feed into two receiving lanes on MLK Drive and extend south of the RR overpass.

The northbound left turn movement at the Broad Street intersection is to be prohibited during peak hours (7-9 AM and 3-7PM).

### > Alternative 2: Alternative 1 plus traffic signal at the EB ramp intersection

The second Build alternative includes improvements from Alternative 1 plus a traffic signal at the EB ramp intersection. This alternative was evaluated separately to discern the additional benefits of assigning right of way at the EB ramp intersection with a traffic signal. The addition of a traffic signal at the EB ramp intersection is expected to improve operations from LOS F to LOS B. Analyses included additional volumes resulting from modifications to the E.  $72^{nd}$  Street interchange.

The 95<sup>th</sup> percentile queue lengths for No Build (black), Alternative 1 (red), and Alternative 2 (blue) are graphically shown in **Figure 9.** Results show queues on the WB exit ramp are reduced-- 1,535 feet in the No Build condition to less than 100 feet with Alternative 1. Alternative 2 reduces queues on the EB exit ramp while still maintaining short queue lengths on southbound MLK Drive. The addition of a protected/permissive left turn phase may be considered in the AM peak period to minimize queue lengths of the SB left turn movement.



An interim improvement of only signalizing the EB I-90 ramp intersection without increasing southbound capacity on MLK Drive will increase delays to traffic exiting I-90 WB. In addition to the re-allocation of approach delays, the capacity of the traffic signal is expected to be adversely affected by the queues extending from the railroad bridge unless the second lane is extended on MLK Drive.



### FIGURE 9: QUEUE LENGTH COMPARISON, AM PEAK HOUR



### > Alternative 3: Signalize WB exit ramp

The WB I-90 exit ramp on MLK Drive also was evaluated with traffic signal control. The intersection configuration matches the existing condition, with the WB exit ramp forming the east leg of the signalized intersection. The N. Marginal Road approach is to be equipped with

vehicle detection to force the traffic signal at the WB I-90 exit ramp to cycle and create gaps in the traffic flow during peak periods. With traffic signal control and lane geometry described below and shown in **Figure 10**, the intersection is expected to operate at LOS C or better during the AM and PM peak hours.

- 2 WB approach lanes on the WB exit ramp (L, LR)
- 1 EB approach lanes on N. Marginal (LR)
- 1 NB approach lane on MLK Drive (T)
- 1 SB approach lane on Lakeshore Blvd (T)

While levels of service are expected to be good, the queue length on the WB ramp approach is expected to be 325 feet. A signal warrant analysis must be conducted prior to recommending a traffic signal at this intersection.

# FIGURE 10: ALTERNATIVE 3 - MLK CORRIDOR







	4	•	Ť	۲	1	Ļ		
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT		
Lane Configurations	<b>N</b>		•			•		
Volume (vph)	1230	100	120	0	0	100		
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1500	1500	1900	1900	1900	1900		
Total Lost time (s)	5.0		5.0			5.0		
Lane Util. Factor	0.97		1.00			1.00		
Frt	0.99		1.00			1.00		
Flt Protected	0.96		1.00			1.00		
Satd. Flow (prot)	2696		1863			1863		
Flt Permitted	0.96		1.00			1.00		
Satd. Flow (perm)	2696		1863			1863		
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92		
Adj. Flow (vph)	1337	109	130	0	0	109		
RTOR Reduction (vph)	7	0	0	0	0	0		
Lane Group Flow (vph)	1439	0	130	0	0	109		
Turn Type	Prot		NA			NA		
Protected Phases	8		4			6		
Permitted Phases	•		•			•		
Actuated Green, G (s)	56.4		9.5			9.1		
Effective Green, g (s)	56.4		9.5			9.1		
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.63		0.11			0.10		
Clearance Time (s)	5.0		5.0			5.0		
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0		3.0			3.0		
Lane Grn Can (vnh)	1689		196			188		
v/s Ratio Prot	c0 53		c0 07			c0.06		
v/s Ratio Perm	00.00		00.01			00.00		
v/c Ratio	0.85		0.66			0.58		
Uniform Delay d1	13.5		38.7			38.6		
Progression Factor	1 00		1 12			1 00		
Incremental Delay d2	57		81			43		
Delay (s)	19.1		51.6			42.9		
Level of Service	B		D			D		
Approach Delay (s)	19.1		51.6			42.9		
Approach LOS	В		D			D		
Intersection Summary								
HCM 2000 Control Delav			23.2	H	CM 2000	Level of Service	С	
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	city ratio		0.79					
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			90.0	Si	um of lost	time (s)	15.0	
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		63.1%	IC	U Level o	of Service	В	
Analysis Period (min)			15					
c Critical Lane Group								

## 2: MLK Jr Dr & N Marginal Rd 2034 AM Build - 2 SB Lanes, 2 WB Exit Lanes, +EB & WB Signal

	٦	$\mathbf{F}$	1	1	Ŧ	<			
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR			
Lane Configurations	¥		5	**	<b>41</b>				
Volume (vph)	20	80	20	470	1180	150			
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900			
Total Lost time (s)	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0				
Lane Util. Factor	1.00		1.00	0.95	0.95				
Frt	0.89		1.00	1.00	0.98				
Flt Protected	0.99		0.95	1.00	1.00				
Satd. Flow (prot)	1645		1770	3539	3479				
Flt Permitted	0.99		0.10	1.00	1.00				
Satd. Flow (perm)	1645		178	3539	3479				
Peak-hour factor. PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92			
Adj. Flow (vph)	22	87	22	511	1283	163			
RTOR Reduction (vph)	78	0	0	0	10	0			
Lane Group Flow (vph)	31	0	22	511	1436	0			
Turn Type	Prot		Perm	NA	NA				
Protected Phases	4			2	8				
Permitted Phases			2						
Actuated Green, G (s)	9.5		70.5	70.5	56.4				
Effective Green, g (s)	9.5		70.5	70.5	56.4				
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.11		0.78	0.78	0.63				
Clearance Time (s)	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0				
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0				
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	173		139	2772	2180				
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.02			c0.14	c0.41				
v/s Ratio Perm			0.12						
v/c Ratio	0.18		0.16	0.18	0.66				
Uniform Delay, d1	36.7		2.4	2.5	10.7				
Progression Factor	1.00		0.62	0.79	0.33				
Incremental Delay, d2	0.5		2.1	0.1	1.0				
Delay (s)	37.2		3.6	2.1	4.5				
Level of Service	D		А	А	А				
Approach Delay (s)	37.2			2.1	4.5				
Approach LOS	D			А	А				
Intersection Summary									
HCM 2000 Control Delay			5.6	H	CM 2000	Level of Service	)	A	
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	acity ratio		0.55						
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			90.0	S	um of lost	t time (s)		15.0	
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		51.8%	IC	U Level o	of Service		А	
Analysis Period (min)			15						
c Critical Lane Group									

## 3: MLK Jr Dr & IR-90 EB Off Ramp/IR-90 EB On Ramp 2034 AM Build - 2 SB Lanes, 2 WB Exit Lanes, +EB & WB Signal

	۶	-	$\rightarrow$	1	-	*	1	1	1	1	.↓	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4	1					<b>∱1</b> ≱		ሻ	<b>^</b>	
Volume (vph)	35	5	590	0	0	0	0	455	605	75	1180	0
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)		5.0	5.0					5.0		5.0	5.0	
Lane Util. Factor		0.95	0.95					0.95		1.00	0.95	
Frt		0.87	0.85					0.91		1.00	1.00	
Flt Protected		0.99	1.00					1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		1529	1504					3236		1770	3539	
Flt Permitted		0.99	1.00					1.00		0.20	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		1529	1504					3236		365	3539	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	38	5	641	0	0	0	0	495	658	82	1283	0
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	39	39	0	0	0	0	223	0	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	305	301	0	0	0	0	930	0	82	1283	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm					NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		4						2			6	
Permitted Phases	4		4							6		
Actuated Green, G (s)		22.7	22.7					57.3		57.3	57.3	
Effective Green, g (s)		22.7	22.7					57.3		57.3	57.3	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.25	0.25					0.64		0.64	0.64	
Clearance Time (s)		5.0	5.0					5.0		5.0	5.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0	3.0					3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		385	379					2060		232	2253	
v/s Ratio Prot								0.29			c0.36	
v/s Ratio Perm		0.20	c0.20							0.22		
v/c Ratio		0.79	0.79					0.45		0.35	0.57	
Uniform Delay, d1		31.4	31.5					8.3		7.7	9.3	
Progression Factor		1.00	1.00					1.00		0.89	1.01	
Incremental Delay, d2		10.7	10.9					0.7		3.2	0.8	
Delay (s)		42.1	42.4					9.1		10.0	10.2	
Level of Service		D	D					А		В	В	
Approach Delay (s)		42.3			0.0			9.1			10.2	
Approach LOS		D			А			А			В	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			16.6	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacit	y ratio		0.63									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			90.0	S	um of lost	t time (s)			10.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilizatio	n		65.3%	IC	CU Level of	of Service			С			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

	4	•	Ť	۲	1	Ļ		
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT		
Lane Configurations	ካዣ		•			•		
Volume (vph)	565	75	75	0	0	130		
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1500	1500	1900	1900	1900	1900		
Total Lost time (s)	5.0		5.0			5.0		
Lane Util. Factor	0.97		1.00			1.00		
Frt	0.98		1.00			1.00		
FIt Protected	0.96		1.00			1.00		
Satd. Flow (prot)	2684		1863			1863		
Flt Permitted	0.96		1.00			1.00		
Satd. Flow (perm)	2684		1863			1863		
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92		
Adj. Flow (vph)	614	82	82	0	0	141		
RTOR Reduction (vph)	11	0	0	0	0	0		
Lane Group Flow (vph)	685	0	82	0	0	141		
Turn Type	Prot		NA			NA		
Protected Phases	8		4			6		
Permitted Phases	-					-		
Actuated Green, G (s)	56.6		8.6			9.8		
Effective Green, a (s)	56.6		8.6			9.8		
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.63		0.10			0.11		
Clearance Time (s)	5.0		5.0			5.0		
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0		3.0			3.0		
Lane Grn Can (vnh)	1687		178			202		
v/s Ratio Prot	c0 26		c0 04			c0 08		
v/s Ratio Perm	00.20		00.01			00.00		
v/c Ratio	0.41		0.46			0.70		
Uniform Delay d1	8.3		38.5			38.7		
Progression Factor	1.00		0.93			1.00		
Incremental Delay, d2	0.7		1.9			10.0		
Delay (s)	9.0		37.5			48.7		
Level of Service	A		D			D		
Approach Delay (s)	9.0		37.5			48.7		
Approach LOS	A		D			D		
Intersection Summary								
HCM 2000 Control Delay			17.7	H	CM 2000	Level of Service	В	
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	acity ratio		0.45					
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			90.0	Si	um of lost	time (s)	15.0	
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		38.6%	IC	U Level d	of Service	A	
Analysis Period (min)			15					
c Critical Lane Group								

## 2: MLK Jr Dr & N Marginal Rd 2034 PM Build - 2 SB Lanes, 2 WB Exit Lanes, +EB & WB Signal

	٦	$\mathbf{F}$	1	1	Ŧ	1			
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR			
Lane Configurations	¥		ň	**	<b>A1</b>	-			
Volume (vph)	20	180	20	575	555	140			
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900			
Total Lost time (s)	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0				
Lane Util. Factor	1.00		1.00	0.95	0.95				
Frt	0.88		1.00	1.00	0.97				
Flt Protected	0.99		0.95	1.00	1.00				
Satd. Flow (prot)	1628		1770	3539	3432				
Flt Permitted	0.99		0.27	1.00	1.00				
Satd. Flow (perm)	1628		497	3539	3432				
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92			
Adj. Flow (vph)	22	196	22	625	603	152			
RTOR Reduction (vph)	177	0	0	0	24	0			
Lane Group Flow (vph)	41	Û	22	625	731	0			
Turn Type	Prot		Perm	NA	NA	-			
Protected Phases	4			2	8				
Permitted Phases	•		2	_					
Actuated Green, G (s)	8.6		71.4	71.4	56.6				
Effective Green, a (s)	8.6		71.4	71.4	56.6				
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.10		0.79	0.79	0.63				
Clearance Time (s)	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0				
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0				
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	155		394	2807	2158				
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.03			c0.18	c0.21				
v/s Ratio Perm			0.04						
v/c Ratio	0.26		0.06	0.22	0.34				
Uniform Delay, d1	37.8		2.0	2.3	7.9				
Progression Factor	1.00		0.69	0.61	0.38				
Incremental Delay, d2	0.9		0.1	0.1	0.4				
Delay (s)	38.7		1.5	1.5	3.4				
Level of Service	D		А	А	А				
Approach Delay (s)	38.7			1.5	3.4				
Approach LOS	D			А	А				
Intersection Summary									
HCM 2000 Control Delay			7.4	Н	CM 2000	Level of Service	)	Α	
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	acity ratio		0.32						
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			90.0	S	um of lost	time (s)		15.0	
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		40.4%	IC	U Level o	of Service		А	
Analysis Period (min)			15						
c Critical Lane Group									

### 3: MLK Jr Dr & IR-90 EB Off Ramp/IR-90 EB On Ramp 2034 PM Build - 2 SB Lanes, 2 WB Exit Lanes, +EB & WB Signal

	≯	-	$\rightarrow$	1	-	•	٩.	1	1	1	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4.	1					<b>≜</b> 16		5	44	
Volume (vph)	45	5	500	0	0	0	0	550	1255	190	545	0
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)		5.0	5.0					5.0		5.0	5.0	
Lane Util. Factor		0.95	0.95					0.95		1.00	0.95	
Frt		0.88	0.85					0.90		1.00	1.00	
Flt Protected		0.99	1.00					1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		1539	1504					3170		1770	3539	
Flt Permitted		0.99	1.00					1.00		0.07	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		1539	1504					3170		125	3539	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	49	5	543	0	0	0	0	598	1364	207	592	0
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	195	258	0	0	0	0	282	0	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	109	35	0	0	0	0	1680	0	207	592	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm					NA		pm+pt	NA	
Protected Phases		4						2		1	6	
Permitted Phases	4		4							6		
Actuated Green, G (s)		10.9	10.9					54.7		69.1	69.1	
Effective Green, g (s)		10.9	10.9					54.7		69.1	69.1	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.12	0.12					0.61		0.77	0.77	
Clearance Time (s)		5.0	5.0					5.0		5.0	5.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0	3.0					3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		186	182					1926		267	2717	
v/s Ratio Prot								c0.53		c0.08	0.17	
v/s Ratio Perm		0.07	0.02							0.51		
v/c Ratio		0.59	0.19					1.08dr		0.78	0.22	
Uniform Delay, d1		37.4	35.6					14.7		26.8	2.9	
Progression Factor		1.00	1.00					1.00		1.66	0.70	
Incremental Delay, d2		4.6	0.5					5.8		12.3	0.2	
Delay (s)		42.1	36.1					20.5		56.6	2.2	
Level of Service		D	D					С		E	А	
Approach Delay (s)		39.1			0.0			20.5			16.3	
Approach LOS		D			А			С			В	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			22.8	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		С			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacit	y ratio		0.82									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			90.0	S	um of lost	time (s)			15.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	on		91.8%	IC	CU Level o	of Service			F			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
dr Defacto Right Lane. Rec	ode with	1 though	lane as a	right lane	Э.							

c Critical Lane Group