# CUY-00006-1456 \_(1800930)

 
 ODOT District:
 12

 Major Maint:
 01 - State Highway Agency

 Routine Maint:
 04 - City or Municipal Highway Agency

 FIPS Code:
 16000 - CLEVELAND (CUY county)

Inspector Rufener,Justin

Facility Carried: USR 6

Feature Inters:

 USR 6
 Traffic On: 5 - Highway-pedestrian

 CUY. RIVER & RTA
 Traffic Under: 7 - Railroad - waterway

 Location:
 CUY

 DETROIT/SUPERIOR BRIDGE

Reviewer Johnson, Matthew

Date Built: 07/01/1917 01/01/1997 Insp 01 - State Highway Agency Resp B: 01 - State Highway Agency

# **National Bridge Inventory**

Inspection Date 10/30/2020

Status	2 - FO Sufficiency Rating					65.6			
Identification			Inspections						
(1) State Code	395 - Ohio		(90) Inspection Date			10/30/20 20			
(8) Structure File Number (SFN)	1800930		(91) Designated Inspection Fr	requend	су		12		
(7) Facility Carried	USR 6		(92) Critical Feature Inspection				(93) CFI Date		
(208) Route on the Bridge	10 - State (ODOT) (Toll Free)		A. Fracture Critical Detail		Y	24	10/05/2020		
			B. Underwater Inspection		Y	60	07/08/2020		
(2) Highway Agency District	12		C. Other Special Inspection	on	N	0			
(3) County Code	18 - Cuyahoga		D.01 Snooper Inspection		Ν	0	12/23/2016		
(209) Interstate Mile Marker	E.01 Drone Inspection								
(201) Special Designation				<u> </u>	nditio	n			
(4) Place Code (FIPS)	16000 - CLEVELAN	ND (CUY county)		Sonalion					
(5) Inventory Route			(58) Deck	6 - Satisfactory Condition					
(A) Record Type On/Under Always "On"	1: Route carried "or	n" the structure							
(B) Route Signing Prefix (Highway System)	2 - U.S. NUMBERE	D HIGHWAY	58.01) Wearing Surface 8 - Very Good (isolated or minor			minor problems)			
(C) Designated Level of Service (Highway Designation)	1 - MAINLINE		(58.02) Expansion Joint	6- Satisfactory (isolated leaking)					
(D) Route Number	00006								
(E) Directional Suffix	0 - NOT APPLICABLE		(59) Superstructure 5 - Fair Condition			ion			
(6) Features Intersected	CUY. RIVER & RTA			6 - Satisfactory (5-10% corr.) 6 - Satisfactory Condition					
(9) Location	DETROIT/SUPERIOR BRIDGE		(59.01) Protective Coating System (PCS)						
(11) Milepoint	14.560								
(12) Base Highway Network	Inventory Route is on the Base Network		(60) Substructure						
(13A) LRS Inventory Route	6								
(13B) Subroute Number	0		(61) Channel & Channel Protection	6 - Ba	6 - Bank slump. widespread minor damage				
(16) Latitude	41.49193	Degrees							
(17) Longitude	-81.70619	Degrees	(61.01) Scour	7 - Go	bod				
(16.01) Latitude - Ohio	41.49193438201303								
(17.01) Longitude - Ohio	-81.7061864875534	47	(62) Culvert N - Not Applicable						
(98A) Border Bridge State Code									
(98B) Border Bridge State Percent Responsibility			(67.01) General Appraisal 5 - Fair Condition (minor section loss						
(00) Doraci Drage Otract NO.									

ODOT DISINCI. 12	CUY-00006	<b>6-1456 _(1800930)</b> Date Built: 07/01/1917
Major Maint: 01 - State Highway Age	ency Facility Carried: USR 6	Traffic On: 5 - Highway-pedestrian Rehab Date: 01/01/1997
Routine Maint: 04 - City or Municipal H Agency	lighway Feature Inters: CUY. RIVER & RT.	A Traffic Under: 7 - Railroad - waterway Insp. 01 - State Highway Agency Resp A:
FIPS Code: 16000 - CLEVELAND (	(CUY county) Location: CUY	DETROIT/SUPERIOR BRIDGE Insp 01 - State Highway Agency Resp B:
Inspecto	or Rufener,Justin Inspection Date	10/30/2020 Reviewer Johnson,Matthew
Structur	e Type and Material	Load Rating and Posting
(43) Main Structure Type A.	3 - Steel	(31) Design Load C - Other
B.	12 - Arch - Thru	(63) Operating Rating 6 - Load Factor (LF) rating reported by rating Method factor (RF) method using MS18 loading.
C.	N- Not Applicable	(64) Operating Rating 1.3 Factor
(44) Approach Type A.	1 - Concrete	(65) Inventory Rating 6 - Load Factor (LF) rating reported by rating Method factor (RF) method using MS18 loading.
B.	11 - Arch - Deck	(66) Inventory Rating Factor 0.8
C.	N- Not Applicable	(41) Structure Open, Posted, A - Open or Closed to Traffic
(45) Number of Spans in Main U	nit 1	(70) Bridge Posting 5 - Equal to or above legal loads
(46) Number of Approach Spans	12	(70.01) Date Posted
(107) Deck Structure Type	1 - Concrete Cast-in-Place	(70.02) Posted Sign Type
(107.01)		(70.03) Posted Weight
(108B) External Deck Protection	8 - Unknown	
(108C) Internal Deck Protection	1 - Epoxy Coated Reinforcing	
(422) Wearing Surface Date	11/15/1996	
(108A) Wearing Surface Type	1 - Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck)	Appraisal
(108A) Wearing Surface Type (108A.01)	1 - Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) N- Not Applicable	Appraisal           (67) Structural Evaluation         5 - Somewhat better than minimum adequacy
(108A) Wearing Surface Type (108A.01) (423) Wearing Surface Thickness	<ul><li>1 - Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck)</li><li>N- Not Applicable</li><li>1.0 in</li></ul>	Appraisal           (67) Structural Evaluation         5 - Somewhat better than minimum adequacy           (68) Deck Geometry         3 - Intolerable - high priority of corrective action
<ul> <li>(108A) Wearing Surface Type</li> <li>(108A.01)</li> <li>(423) Wearing Surface Thickness</li> <li>(483) Protective Coating System Date</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck)</li> <li>N- Not Applicable</li> <li>1.0 in</li> <li>07/15/1997</li> </ol>	Appraisal         (67) Structural Evaluation       5 - Somewhat better than minimum adequacy         (68) Deck Geometry       3 - Intolerable - high priority of corrective action         (69) Underclearances, Horizontal and Vertical       9 - Superior to present desirable criteria
<ul> <li>(108A) Wearing Surface Type</li> <li>(108A.01)</li> <li>(423) Wearing Surface Thickness</li> <li>(483) Protective Coating System Date</li> </ul>	1 - Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck)     N- Not Applicable     1.0 in     07/15/1997 ge of Service	Appraisal         (67) Structural Evaluation       5 - Somewhat better than minimum adequacy         (68) Deck Geometry       3 - Intolerable - high priority of corrective action         (69) Underclearances, Horizontal and Vertical       9 - Superior to present desirable criteria         (71) Waterway Adequacy       8 - Bridge Above Approaches
(108A) Wearing Surface Type (108A.01) (423) Wearing Surface Thickness (483) Protective Coating System Date (27) Year Built	1 - Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) N- Not Applicable 1.0 in 07/15/1997 ge of Service 1917	Appraisal         (67) Structural Evaluation       5 - Somewhat better than minimum adequacy         (68) Deck Geometry       3 - Intolerable - high priority of corrective action         (69) Underclearances, Horizontal and Vertical       9 - Superior to present desirable criteria         (71) Waterway Adequacy       8 - Bridge Above Approaches         (72) Approach Roadway Alignment       8 - Equal to present desirable criteria
(108A) Wearing Surface Type (108A.01) (423) Wearing Surface Thickness (483) Protective Coating System Date (27) Year Built (263) Date Built	1 - Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) N- Not Applicable 1.0 in 07/15/1997 ge of Service 1917 07/01/1917	Appraisal         (67) Structural Evaluation       5 - Somewhat better than minimum adequacy         (68) Deck Geometry       3 - Intolerable - high priority of corrective action         (69) Underclearances, Horizontal and Vertical       9 - Superior to present desirable criteria         (71) Waterway Adequacy       8 - Bridge Above Approaches         (72) Approach Roadway Alignment       8 - Equal to present desirable criteria         (36) Traffic Safety Feature       1000000000000000000000000000000000000
(108A) Wearing Surface Type (108A.01) (423) Wearing Surface Thickness (483) Protective Coating System Date (27) Year Built (263) Date Built (106) Year Reconstructed	1 - Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) N- Not Applicable 1.0 in 07/15/1997 ge of Service 1917 07/01/1917 1997	Appraisal         (67) Structural Evaluation       5 - Somewhat better than minimum adequacy         (68) Deck Geometry       3 - Intolerable - high priority of corrective action         (69) Underclearances, Horizontal and Vertical       9 - Superior to present desirable criteria         (71) Waterway Adequacy       8 - Bridge Above Approaches         (72) Approach Roadway Alignment       8 - Equal to present desirable criteria         (36) Traffic Safety Feature       1 - Meets acceptable standards
(108A) Wearing Surface Type (108A.01) (423) Wearing Surface Thickness (483) Protective Coating System Date (483) Protective Coating (483) Protect	1 - Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) N- Not Applicable 1.0 in 07/15/1997 ge of Service 1917 07/01/1917 1997 01/01/1997	Appraisal         (67) Structural Evaluation       5 - Somewhat better than minimum adequacy         (68) Deck Geometry       3 - Intolerable - high priority of corrective action         (69) Underclearances, Horizontal and Vertical       9 - Superior to present desirable criteria         (71) Waterway Adequacy       8 - Bridge Above Approaches         (72) Approach Roadway Alignment       8 - Equal to present desirable criteria         (36) Traffic Safety Feature       1 - Meets acceptable standards         B. Transitions:       N - NA/Safety feature not required
(108A) Wearing Surface Type (108A.01) (423) Wearing Surface Thickness (483) Protective Coating System Date (483) Protective Coating (483) Protec	1 - Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) N- Not Applicable 1.0 in 07/15/1997 <b>ge of Service</b> 1917 07/01/1917 1997 01/01/1997	Appraisal(67) Structural Evaluation5 - Somewhat better than minimum adequacy(68) Deck Geometry3 - Intolerable - high priority of corrective action(69) Underclearances, Horizontal and Vertical9 - Superior to present desirable criteria(71) Waterway Adequacy8 - Bridge Above Approaches(72) Approach Roadway Alignment8 - Equal to present desirable criteria(36) Traffic Safety Feature1 - Meets acceptable standardsB. Transitions:N - NA/Safety feature not requiredC. Approach Guardrail1 - Meets acceptable standards
(108A) Wearing Surface Type (108A.01) (423) Wearing Surface Thickness (483) Protective Coating System Date (483) Protective Coating (483) Protective Coating	1 - Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) N- Not Applicable 1.0 in 07/15/1997 <b>ge of Service</b> 1917 07/01/1917 1997 01/01/1997 trian	Appraisal         (67) Structural Evaluation       5 - Somewhat better than minimum adequacy         (68) Deck Geometry       3 - Intolerable - high priority of corrective action         (69) Underclearances, Horizontal and Vertical       9 - Superior to present desirable criteria         (71) Waterway Adequacy       8 - Bridge Above Approaches         (72) Approach Roadway Alignment       8 - Equal to present desirable criteria         (36) Traffic Safety Feature       1 - Meets acceptable standards         B. Transitions:       N - NA/Safety feature not required         C. Approach Guardrail       1 - Meets acceptable standards         D. Approach Guardrail       1 - Dees not meet acceptable standards
(108A) Wearing Surface Type (108A.01) (423) Wearing Surface Thickness (483) Protective Coating System Date (27) Year Built (263) Date Built (106) Year Reconstructed (264) Major Reconstructed (264) Major Reconstruction Date (42) Type of Service On 5 - Highway-pedest Under 7 - Railroad - water (28) Lanes	1 - Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) N- Not Applicable 1.0 in 07/15/1997 <b>ge of Service</b> 1917 07/01/1917 1997 01/01/1997 trian way On 06 Under 00	Appraisal(67) Structural Evaluation5 - Somewhat better than minimum adequacy(68) Deck Geometry3 - Intolerable - high priority of corrective action(69) Underclearances, Horizontal and Vertical9 - Superior to present desirable criteria(71) Waterway Adequacy8 - Bridge Above Approaches(72) Approach Roadway Alignment8 - Equal to present desirable criteria(36) Traffic Safety Feature1 - Meets acceptable standardsB. Transitions:N - NA/Safety feature not requiredC. Approach Guardrail1 - Meets acceptable standardsD. Approach Guardrail8 - Does not meet acceptable standards/safetyfeature is required8 - Stable for scour conditions
(108A) Wearing Surface Type (108A.01) (423) Wearing Surface Thickness (483) Protective Coating System Date (27) Year Built (263) Date Built (263) Date Built (106) Year Reconstructed (264) Major Reconstructed (264) Major Reconstruction Date (42) Type of Service On 5 - Highway-pedest Under 7 - Railroad - water (28) Lanes (29) Average Daily Traffic	1 - Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck)         N- Not Applicable         1.0       in         07/15/1997         ge of Service         1917         07/01/1917         1997         01/01/1997         trian         way         On 06       Under 00         20094       (30) ADT Yr.       2015	Appraisal         (67) Structural Evaluation       5 - Somewhat better than minimum adequacy         (68) Deck Geometry       3 - Intolerable - high priority of corrective action         (69) Underclearances, Horizontal and Vertical       9 - Superior to present desirable criteria         (71) Waterway Adequacy       8 - Bridge Above Approaches         (72) Approach Roadway Alignment       8 - Equal to present desirable criteria         (36) Traffic Safety Feature       1 - Meets acceptable standards         B. Transitions:       N - NA/Safety feature not required         C. Approach Guardrail       1 - Meets acceptable standards         D. Approach Guardrail       8 - Stable for scour conditions
(108A) Wearing Surface Type (108A.01) (423) Wearing Surface Thickness (483) Protective Coating System Date (483) Protective Coating System Date (27) Year Built (263) Date Built (106) Year Reconstructed (264) Major Reconstructed (275) Major Reconstructed (276) Major Reconstructe	1 - Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck)         N- Not Applicable         1.0       in         07/15/1997         ge of Service         1917         07/01/1917         1997         01/01/1997         trian         way         On 06       Under 00         20094       (30) ADT Yr.       2015         1       % Truck	Appraisal         (67) Structural Evaluation       5 - Somewhat better than minimum adequacy         (68) Deck Geometry       3 - Intolerable - high priority of corrective action         (69) Underclearances, Horizontal and Vertical       9 - Superior to present desirable criteria         (71) Waterway Adequacy       8 - Bridge Above Approaches         (72) Approach Roadway Alignment       8 - Equal to present desirable criteria         (36) Traffic Safety Feature       1 - Meets acceptable standards         B. Transitions:       N - NA/Safety feature not required         C. Approach Guardrail       1 - Meets acceptable standards         D. Approach Guardrail       8 - Stable for scour conditions
(108A) Wearing Surface Type (108A.01) (423) Wearing Surface Thickness (483) Protective Coating System Date (483) Protective Coating System Date (27) Year Built (263) Date Built (106) Year Reconstructed (264) Major Reconstructed (264) Major Reconstruction Date (42) Type of Service On 5 - Highway-pedest Under 7 - Railroad - water (28) Lanes (29) Average Daily Traffic (109) Truck Percentage (114) Future Avg Daily Traffic	1 - Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck)         N- Not Applicable         1.0       in         07/15/1997         ge of Service         1917         07/01/1917         1997         01/01/1997         trian         way         On 06       Under 00         20094       (30) ADT Yr.       2015         1       % Truck       27890       (115) Future ADT Yr.       2038	Appraisal         (67) Structural Evaluation       5 - Somewhat better than minimum adequacy         (68) Deck Geometry       3 - Intolerable - high priority of corrective action         (69) Underclearances, Horizontal and Vertical       9 - Superior to present desirable criteria         (71) Waterway Adequacy       8 - Bridge Above Approaches         (72) Approach Roadway       8 - Equal to present desirable criteria         Alignment       (36) Traffic Safety Feature         A. Bridge Railings:       1 - Meets acceptable standards         B. Transitions:       N - NA/Safety feature not required         C. Approach Guardrail       1 - Meets acceptable standards         D. Approach Guardrail       8 - Stable for scour conditions

ODOT District: 12	CUY-00006-14	456 _(1800930) Date Built:	07/01/1917				
Major Maint: 01 - State Highway	Agency Facility Carried: USR 6	Traffic On: 5 - Highway-pedestrian Rehab Date:	01/01/1997				
Routine Maint: 04 - City or Municipal Highway Feature Inters: CUY. RIVER & RTA		Traffic Under: 7 - Railroad - waterway Insp. 01 - 5 Resp A:	01 - State Highway Agency				
FIPS Code: 16000 - CLEVELAN	ID (CUY county) Location: CUY	DETROIT/SUPERIOR BRIDGE Insp 01 - Resp B:	State Highway	Agency			
Insp	ector Rufener, Justin Inspection Date 10/3	30/2020 Reviewer Johnson,Matthew					
	Classification	Geometric Data					
(112) NBIS Bridge	Yes	(48) Longest Span	591.0	Ft.			
(104) Highway System of the Inventory Route	1 - Structure/Route is on NHS	(49) Structure Length	2656.0	Ft.			
(26) Functional Classification of Inventory Route	14 - Urban - Other Principal Arterial	(50A) Curb/Sidewalk Left Side - Width	5	Ft.			
		(50B) Curb/Sidewalk Right Side - Width	5	Ft.			
(100) Strahnet Highway Designation	Not a STRAHNET route	(51) Brdg Roadway Width Curb-to-Curb	72.0	Ft.			
(101) Parallel Structure Designation	N - No parallel structure	(52) Deck Width, Out-to-Out	85.2	Ft.			
(102) Direction of Traffic	2-way traffic	(32) Approach Roadway Width	84.0	Ft.			
(103) Temporary Structure Design		(33) Bridge Median 3 - Closed median with non-	mountable t	oarriers			
(105) Federal Lands Highways	Not Applicable	(34) Skew	0	Deg.			
(110) Designated National Network	Inventory route not on network	(35) Structure Flared 1 - Yes, flared					
(20) Toll	3 - On Free Road	Clearances					
(225) Routine Maintenance Responsibility	A. 04 - City or Municipal Highway Agency	(10) Practical Maximum Vertical Clearance	14	Ft.			
	В.	(53) Minimum Vertical Clearance Over Bridge Roadway	14	Ft.			
(21) Maintenance Responsibility (21B) Major Maint. Responsibility B	01 - State Highway Agency	(47) Total Horizontal Clearance (Inventory Route)	72	Ft.			
(221) Inspection Program Responsibility	A. 01 - State Highway Agency	(54) Minimum Vertical Under Clearance	B. 30	Ft.			
	B. 01 - State Highway Agency	A. R - Railroad beneath structu	re				
(22) Owner	01 - State Highway Agency	(56) Minimum Lateral Under Clearance on Left	99	Ft.			
(37) Historical Significance	1 - On National Register	(55) Minimum Lateral Under Clearance on Right	B. 99	Ft.			
	Navigation Data	A. R - Railroad beneath structu	re				

#### **Navigation Data**

(38) Navigation Control	1 - Naviga permit rec	ation control on waterway (bridge juired)	Inventory Route Clearances			
(39) Nav Vert Clearance	99.0	Ft.	NBI 005A: On/Under	1: Route car	ried "on" t	he structure
(40) Nav Horizontal Clearance	e 142.0	Ft.	NBI 005D: Route No.	00006		
(111) Pier or Abutment Protection	3 - In plac	e but in a deteriorated condition		<u>Cardin</u> Directi	al on	Non-Care Direction
(116) Minimum Navigation Vertical Clearance, Vertical Lift Bridge	0.0	Ft.	(336) Minimum Vertical Clearance on IR	14	Ft.	0
			(335) Minimum Horizontal Clearance on IR	72	Ft.	0

<u>Non-Cardinal</u> <u>Direction</u>

Ft.

Ft.

# Inspector: Justin Rufener

**Inpsection Date:** 10/30/2020

Structure Number: 1800930

Facility Carried:

USR 6

Bridge Inspection Report

# **Element Inspection**

	Environment	Total Quantity	Units	Condition State 1	Condition State 2	Condition State 3	Condition State 4
12 - Reinforced Concrete Deck	3 - Mod.	332900	sq. ft.	307726	23274	1900	0
510 - Wearing Surfaces		246755	sq. ft.	238805	7950	0	0
110 - Reinforced Concrete Open Girder/Beam	3 - Mod.	7394	ft.	6194	1000	200	0
113 - Steel Stringer	3 - Mod.	10638	ft.	10338	200	100	0
515 - Steel Protective Coating		101200	sq. ft.	95640	5060	500	0
120 - Steel Truss	3 - Mod.	1182	ft.	500	490	192	0
515 - Steel Protective Coating		60700	sq. ft.	44930	6070	9100	600
144 - Reinforced Concrete Arch	3 - Mod.	8040	ft.	6490	1400	150	0
152 - Steel Floor Beam	3 - Mod.	3925	ft.	3575	200	150	0
515 - Steel Protective Coating		52950	sq. ft.	49770	2650	530	0
155 - Reinforced Concrete Floor Beam	3 - Mod.	33543	ft.	22543	10000	1000	0
161 - Steel Pin and Pin & Hanger Assembly or both	3 - Mod.	30	each	27	3	0	0
162 - Steel Gusset Plate	3 - Mod.	100	each	20	47	33	0
205 - Reinforced Concrete Column	3 - Mod.	513	each	323	88	102	0
210 - Reinforced Concrete Pier Wall	3 - Mod.	200	ft.	100	50	50	0
215 - Reinforced Concrete Abutment	3 - Mod.	3459	ft.	3159	200	100	0
300 - Strip Seal Expansion Joint	3 - Mod.	2579	ft.	1479	1000	100	0
313 - Fixed Bearing	3 - Mod.	4	each	0	4	0	0
330 - Metal Bridge Railing	3 - Mod.	1366	ft.	1366	0	0	0
331 - Reinforced Concrete Bridge Railing	3 - Mod.	5312	ft.	5208	100	4	0
815 - Drainage	3 - Mod.	28	each	23	2	2	1
830 - Abutment Backwall	3 - Mod.	263	ft.	263	0	0	0

ODOT District: 12

Major Maint:

FIPS Code:

2

Routine Maint: 04 - City or Municipal Highway

01 - State Highway Agency

16000 - CLEVELAND (CUY county)

#### CUY-00006-1456 \_(1800930)

Traffic On: 5 - Highway-pedestrian Traffic Under: 7 - Railroad - waterway

DETROIT/SUPERIOR BRIDGE

Deviewer

Date Built: 07/01/1917 Rehab Date: 01/01/1997

Insp. 01 - State Highway Agency Resp A: Insp 01 - State Highway Agency Resp B:

Inspector Rufene

Rufener, Justin

Facility Carried:

Feature Inters:

USR 6

CUY RIVER & RTA

Location: CUY

# Inspection Date 10/30/2020 Reviewer Johnson,Matthew

# Inspector Comments - Deck and Approach

<u>Deck</u>

#### <u>Element</u> <u>12 – Reinforced Concrete Deck (SF)</u>

The reinforced concrete deck is in Satisfactory condition. The deck is divided into several sections as detailed below:

Detroit Avenue Tunnel: During the 1995-1997

rehabilitation a new reinforced concrete slab was placed on top of the original slab. The new slab was designed to support live and dead loads, with the original slab offering no structural support. The top and bottom surfaces for the new slab is not visible and assumed to be in good condition despite the poor and critical conditions of the original tunnel slab beneath.

West 25th Street Tunnel: The West 25th Street tunnel ceiling is in satisfactory condition, with areas of saturation, isolated delaminated areas and some shallow spalling with exposed reinforcing.

West Station: The West Station ceiling is in fair condition and has areas of spalling, cracking and efflorescence, active water infiltration, and exposed reinforcing steel.

Spans

1A, 1B, and 1 through 13: The upper deck floor in the main spans is in satisfactory condition. There are isolated cracks with some efflorescence, sound and unsound patches and spalls, some with exposed reinforcing. There are numerous areas of moisture staining, some of which have mottling.

East

Station: The East Station ceiling is overall in good condition with scattered cracking with efflorescence.

Lower Deck: The lower deck floor is not open to vehicular or pedestrian traffic is therefore not included as part of the element quantities. The lower deck floor is in good condition and consists of reinforced concrete with metal stay-in-place forms in Spans 1 through 3, and Spans 5 through 13. In isolated locations, the stay-in-place forms have active corrosion. In Span 4, the lower deck is an open steel grid type in middle section, and fiberglass grid in the exterior sections.

See the inspection report for additional details.

#### <u>Element 300 – Strip Seal Expansion Joint</u> (LF)

The

expansion joints are overall in Satisfactory condition. Joints typically have sections with loose debris and edge spalls along the joint armor. There are some areas of tearing in the joint seals accompanied by active leakage, and minor damage to joint armor. See the inspection report for additional details.

<u>Element 330 – Metal</u> <u>Bridge Railing (LF)</u> The median railings are in **Good** condition. The median railings are located along the edges of the roadway in Span 4 to protect the truss and hangers from vehicle impact.

#### <u>Element 331 –</u> <u>Reinforced Concrete Bridge Railing (LF)</u>

The concrete railings are in Good condition. The railings on the north and south side of the bridge consist of a reinforced concrete railing with an aluminum fence on top. All concrete railing is in good condition with minor cracking, staining, and isolated spalling. The fence has isolated areas of minor damage. See the inspection report for additional details.

#### <u>Element 510 – Wearing</u> <u>Surface (SF)</u>

#### The wearing

surface is in Good condition. In Spans 1A - 13 and the East Station the wearing surface is a micro silica modified concrete, which was placed in 2019. There are isolated locations of map cracking in the concrete wearing surface. Above the Detroit Avenue Tunnel, West 25th Street Tunnel and West Station, the wearing surface is asphalt. The asphalt has areas of transverse and map cracking. See the inspection report for additional details.

#### <u>Element 815 –</u> Drainage (EA)

# The deck drainage is in Fair

condition. The West Abutment south downspout is completely clogged at the base of the catch basin. At Pier 3 the downspout is disconnected at the base of the pier, allowing drainage on the pier face. The Pier 9, South Catch Basin concrete frame has shifted and the north catch basin cover has shifted and rotated. The north sidewalk longitudinal trench drains are filled with debris and not functioning. Some of the scupper inlets are fully or partially clogged. See the inspection report for additional details.

#### Curb/Sidewalk

#### The concrete curb

and sidewalk are in Satisfactory condition. The curbs and sidewalks have areas of cracking, delamination, and spalling. The steel curb plates have widespread surface corrosion. See the inspection report for additional details.

# <u>Signs</u>

The signs on the structure are in Good condition.

# Approach

# Approach Wearing Surface

The approach wearing surfaces are in Satisfactory condition. There are some areas of transverse and map cracking.

# <u>Embankment</u>

The approach

embankments are in Fair condition. The embankment under Spans 1 through 3 has several slope depressions. This embankment was primarily loose soil placed over demolition debris. Beneath this fill is are two concrete struts between Pier 2 and 3 used to maintain stability during construction. The south strut is preventing portions of the fill from sliding into the Cuyahoga River. This embankment is being monitored with slope inclinometers maintained by ODOT District 12. See the

inspection report for additional details.

The embankment

along the south side of Spans 1A and 1B has significant erosion for the full length. At the west end of the erosion, there is a 15' diameter x 4' deep erosion ditch around a manhole. An erosion ditch extends from the manhole towards the east typically 3' W x 2' D. This erosion is relatively unchanged from the 2019 inspection. Tower B South, which is in this area, is leaning due to slope instability, as previously discussed. See the inspection report for additional details.

#### <u>Guardrail</u>

The approach

guardrails are in Good condition with some minor impact scrapes in the concrete rail.

#### Security Items

There are

locations where the structure and structure right of way can be accessed by non-bridge personnel. The fence which encloses the area between Span 1 and along Spans 1A and 1B is accessible due to an unlocked gate on the southeast end of Pier 1. Due to the unlocked gate there are multiple homeless encampments within the fenced in area. Preventative access steel mesh installed outside Span 1A near Tower A to prevent access appears formidable, however, plastic steps located adjacent to this area indicate opportunities have been taken to gain access. Security fencing installed around Piers 2 and 3 can easily be surpassed by vagrants, and there is evidence

around Piers 2 and 3 can easily be surpassed by vagrants, and there is evidence of a homeless encampment inside of Pier 3.

A chain link

enclosure for the Center Street Bridge operator's vehicle on the west side of Pier 4 allows vandals to climb the fencing cover to access the Span 4 truss. From here the vandals have vandalized Pier 4 and have access to the truss lower chord and potentially the lower deck.

# **Inspector Comments - General Appraisal**

#### Superstructure

#### Element 110 - Reinforced Concrete Beam (LF)

The beams are in overall Fair condition. This element consists of the longitudinal beams in the Detroit Avenue Tunnel, West 25th Street Tunnel, and West Station. The concrete beams have delaminations, efflorescence, and some areas of spalling with exposed reinforcing. Rehabilitation of many of the beams was completed or underway at the time of inspection. See the inspection report for additional details.

# Element 144 – Reinforced Concrete Arch (LF)

The concrete arches are in Fair condition. This element encompasses the concrete arches and

arch columns. The concrete arches and columns typically have cracking, delamination,

poor patching and spalling with and without exposed reinforcing throughout. The concrete jack arches connecting the columns

below the upper and maintenance decks have spalls with exposed reinforcing steel,

cracks, and delaminated areas.

The arch ribs and columns are currently undergoing a rehabilitation that includes patching, crack injection and fiber wrapping. Many of the repair locations included in this rehabilitation were under construction or completed at the time of inspection. The longitudinal cracks in North Exterior Arch Rib in Span 5, which were previously noted and monitored, have been repaired.

See the inspection report for additional details.

#### Element 155 – Reinforced Concrete Floor Beam (LF)

The concrete floorbeams in Spans 1A, 1B, 1 through 3, and Spans 5 through 13 are in **Satisfactory condition**. The floorbeams have isolated spalls with and without exposed reinforcing, cracking, delaminations, and areas of poor patching. The lower deck floorbeams tend to be in worse condition than the upper deck floorbeams. The structural corbels are included in the rating of this element exhibit similar defects as the rest of the floorbeams. The lower deck floorbeams in the East Station have the bottom mat of reinforcing steel exposed. This deterioration has changed little since the 1980s, but they carry no substantial live load.

The lower deck concrete floorbeams and corbels are currently undergoing a rehabilitation that includes patching, crack injection and fiber wrapping. Many of the repair locations included in this rehabilitation were under construction or completed at the time of inspection.

See the inspection report for additional details.

#### <u>Element 113</u> <u>– Steel Stringer (LF)</u>

#### The stringers are in Satisfactory

condition. There are 18 lines of stringers in the upper deck and 12 in the lower deck. The upper deck stringers have shear studs welded to the top flange providing composite action with the deck. The upper and lower deck stringers in Panels 4, 5, 5', and 4' were replaced in 1995. The upper deck stringers are in good condition. The original curb stringers of Lines 5 and 14 have areas of painted over pitting, with some active corrosion.

The lower deck is not open to vehicular or pedestrian traffic the stringers are therefore not included as part of the element quantities. The stringers supporting the steel grid deck are in good condition. Stringers 4, 5, 9 and 10, which support only their own dead load, often have painted over advanced section loss and perforations at the floorbeams and saddle bearings. Stinger 11, which supports the south fiberglass pedestrian deck has a similar locations

of advanced section loss. The rest of the stringers supporting the outer pedestrian fiberglass grid deck are in good condition.

See the inspection report for additional details.

#### Element 120 – Steel Truss (LF)

The steel truss is overall in **Satisfactory** condition. There are areas of pack rust, pitting and surface corrosion, mainly at and below the upper deck. Perforations, many of which have been cleaned and painted over are present in the diaphragm plates and lacing bars. At the eyebar connections there are areas of painted over pitting in the web plates.

#### See the

inspection report for additional details.

#### Element 152 – Steel Floor Beam (LF)

The steel floorbeams are in **Satisfactory** condition. The floorbeams typically have painted over perforations near the deck openings at the truss lines, with repair plates welded in place at some of these locations. Active surface corrosion is present at due to ongoing water infiltration at the deck openings.

On lower deck floorbeams 10 and 11' through 6' there are cracks along the weld of the stiffening plates to the top flange at the north truss line. Some of the crack lengths have changed in length from or were not noted in the 2019 Inspection.

See the inspection report for additional details.

#### Element 161 – Steel Pin & Hanger Assembly (EA)

The pins, hangers and hinges are in **Good** condition with no significant deficiencies noted. Minor painted over pitting was noted on some eye-bars below the upper deck. There is active corrosion on some of the hangers above the previous zonal painting. See the inspection report for additional details.

#### Element 162 – Steel Gusset Plates (EA)

The truss gusset plates are in **Fair** condition. The gusset plates typically have areas of active surface corrosion. The lower chord gusset plates below the upper deck typically have painted over pitting and reactivating corrosion along the top of the lower chord. At North Truss L2, the north gusset plate has 2' L x 3" H x up to 1/8" D reactivating pitting at the lower chord interface on the south face and 2' L x up to 3" H x up to 5/16" D pitting on the north face. The south gusset plate has 30" L x up to 4" H x up to 3/8" D pitting on the south face with reactivating corrosion and 2' L x up to 1/16" D pitting on the north face. At the North Truss L3, the gusset plates have pitting up to 3/16" D. In other scattered locations, the gusset plates have areas of pitting. See the inspection report for additional details.

#### Lateral Bracing & Sway Bracing

The lateral bracing and sway bracing is in **Satisfactory** condition with isolated areas of active surface corrosion, pack rust, and advanced section loss including perforations. See the inspection report for additional details.

#### **Element 313 – Fixed Bearing (EA)**

The bearings are in

Fair condition with some pack rust around the pins, and surface corrosion noted on the interior faces of all four bearing castings. The non-structural bearing pin cover plates have cracks up to 7 inches long at L0 and L0' on both trusses. The north pin cover at L0 on the north truss has fallen off. There is advanced section loss of some of the anchor bolt and nuts

Between the deck underside and the top of the transverse floorbeams over Piers 11 & 12, there are 3" H concrete pedestals with galvanized steel plates sitting on top and between each pedestal. In several locations these plates have moved and in some cases are no longer support the deck underside. See the inspection report for additional details.

#### Item 515 – Steel Protective Coating (SF)

The protective coating system (PCS) is in **Satisfactory** condition. Areas of corrosion, peeling and failed paint are present on the main truss members below the lower deck. The structural steel between the upper and lower decks was repainted in 2014-2015 and is in good condition. The protective coating system above the upper deck has surface corrosion with minor rust staining.

# **Fatigue Prone Details**

The fatigue prone details are in **Fair** condition. Stiffening retrofit plates welded to the top flange of the lower deck floorbeams at the truss lines are classified as Category E fatigue details. Cracks in the fillet welds are present at several locations. Refer to *Element* 152 – Steel Floor Beam above for additional details on crack locations and growth.

## **Utilities**

The utilities are

in Satisfactory condition. The

lower deck telephone junction chambers and supports are corroded due to saltwater infiltration through the manhole above. The storm sewer lid of the manhole on the south side of Span 1A has been blown off and is sitting on the ground. At pier 5, at the North Side, there is active leakage coming through the utility entrance.

# **Lighting**

The lighting on

the bridge is in Fair condition. Architectural

light pole bases on the north sidewalk in Spans 5, 8 and 11 have cracked and are broken. One of the architectural lights on the north sidewalk, and numerous of the taller, cobra style roadway lights are not functioning. All of the exterior pier shaft light brackets have paint failure and corrosion with minor section loss present. Many of the architectural lights on the piers have been covered with sheeting to project against damage during the on-going rehabilitation work.

#### Substructure

#### Element 205 – Reinforced Concrete Column (EA)

The pier columns are in Satisfactory condition. This item includes the main span pier columns, columns in Spans 1A and 1B, and the columns in the subway tunnels and stations. The columns have areas of map cracking, failing patching, delamination, and spalling with or without exposed reinforcing. Many of columns are currently undergoing a rehabilitation that includes patching and crack injection. See the inspection report for additional details.

## Element 210 – Reinforced Concrete Pier Wall (LF)

The pier walls at Piers 1, 3 and 4 are in Satisfactory condition. The west face of Pier 1 is primarily covered by fill. The exposed portions of the pier walls have areas of map cracking and delamination. Piers 3 and 4 are located adjacent to the Cuyahoga River. The portion of Pier 3 that is exposed to the channel has widespread areas of deep abrasion and numerous spalls. Piers 3 and 4 are cellular type structures, which are open on their Span 3 and 5 faces, respectively. The interiors faces of the walls have areas of delamination and spalling with exposed reinforcing. See the inspection report for additional details.

#### Element 215 – Reinforced Concrete Abutment (LF)

The abutment walls are in Satisfactory condition. The abutment walls consist of the West and East Abutments and the walls of the Detroit Avenue and West 25th Street Tunnels. The abutments have areas of cracking with minor moisture staining, delamination and spalling. Some staining appears to be superficial due to leaking deck joints above. The walls in the tunnels were being repaired as part of the current rehabilitation. See the inspection report for additional details.

#### <u>Element</u> <u>830 – Abutment Backwall (LF)</u>

The backwalls are in Good condition. The backwalls consist of the closure panels at the ends of the West 25th Street Tunnel, Detroit Avenue Tunnel, and East Station.

## **Wingwalls**

The wingwalls are in Poor condition. The wingwalls along Spans 1A and 1B and the East Station have cracking and spalling with exposed reinforcement throughout. See the inspection report for additional details.

# **Tower B South**

A section of the

rear abutment, south wall at Tower B has through cracks in the wall and associated footing, and is leaning to the south. It has continued to show incremental movement over the past 10+ years. On the interior, the top of the tower is spalled and cracked due to contact with the soffit of the upper level sidewalk. Crack gages have been placed at several locations to monitor the movement of the section. Crack gauges located at the base of Tower B are cracked and slightly displaced. New gauges should be installed to ensure an accurate record of the tower rotation is maintained. See the

inspection report for additional details.

#### West and East Abutment Chambers

#### The chambers below

Spans 1A and 1B on the west approach and below the East Station were inspected, however, they are not included in any of the quantities within this report. There are large spalls and delaminations throughout the chambers with exposed and corroded reinforcing on the walls and ceilings of most of the cells. Horizontal, vertical, diagonal and map cracking with efflorescence and moisture staining are also present throughout all cells. The floors are typically covered in dirt and construction debris. In the west chamber this is heavy cracking around south Tower B (see discussion above for more details).

#### Water Infiltration

Standing water was noted in the pedestrian tunnel under the West Station. In the east abutment chamber, most of the lower cells are filled with standing water. The pedestrian tunnel under the East Station is also filled with standing water. Holes drilled in the east abutment to drain some of the standing water had a steady

flow of water at the time of inspection.

# <u>Slope</u> <u>Protection</u>

The concrete slope protection is in Good condition.

#### <u>Culvert</u>

# **Inspector Comments - Waterway**

#### Waterway Adequacy

#### **Hydraulic Openings**

The hydraulic opening is in Good condition with no major constrictions associated with the bridge.

#### <u>Channel</u>

#### **Alignment**

The alignment is in Good condition. The channel is skewed with respect to the piers, but this is an as-built condition.

# **Protection**

The channel protection is in Satisfactory condition with only minor deficiencies. The west bank is vegetated with some dumped rock channel protection. The west bank is protected by a sheet pile wall.

#### Navigation Lights

The navigation lights are in Poor condition. None of the six lights were functioning at the time of inspection. No damage was noted to the light fixtures.

#### **Scour**

The scour is in Good condition. An underwater bridge inspection was performed on July 8, 2020. No areas of exposed foundation or significant scour holes were found in the inspection. See the underwater inspection report for additional details.

Scour Critical