## **CLEVELAND INNERBELT BRIDGE**



## RFI/FIELD DESIGN CHANGE/DESIGN DIRECTIVE

RFI Number (from Walsh): RFI-00059		Date:	07/01/20	011			
Part I: Identify Request							
Requested by:	Joel Halterman		Р	hone:	(216)452-5900		
Drawing Package Title:	DEL-1380						
RFC Date of Drawings:	05/06/2011						
Stationing:	<u> </u>		(show nearest	500')			
Description of Change:	Reference attached documents: Pier 5 LT Drive Logs, Pier 5 RT Drive Logs, Pier 5 Drive Summary, Pier 5 RT APPLE Test Results, Pier 5 LT PDA Results, Pier 5 RT PDA Results.						
	Summary: PDA results on Pier 5 left pile group indicated piles are acceptable as driven. However, PDA results and subsequent APPLE load testing on the right pile group did not verify 1.5 times the factored axial load. Please see the attached GRL PDA and APPLE test results. Drive logs do indicate a rapid increase in the blow count over the last several inches of driving.						
	Are the piles in the Pier 5 right group acceptable as driven?						
Requested Change:	If piles are not acceptab	ole, please provide	alternate sol	utions.			
Attachments Sent to Design:	No 🗌 Yes 🗎 List:						



## RFI/FIELD DESIGN CHANGE/DESIGN DIRECTIVE

RFI Numb	er (from Walsh):	RFI-00059	Date:	07/01/2011		
Part II: Response						
Response:	Based on the pile drive logs, PDA test results, and pile tip elevations we are in agreement with GRL that the test pile (2-29) as well as the other piles in the Pier 5 Right pile group have been driven to bedrock. It is understood that GRL recommended the APPLE test be performed on the test pile since the approved driving criteria was not met (stroke was less than 11.5 feet). Based on the results of the APPLE test performed on the test pile, the required capacity was not confirmed.					
	The APPLE test confirmed a nominal capacity of 2400 kips, so the piles in the Pier 5 Right pile group can support a maximum factored load of 1600 kips (2400/1.5).					
	Based on discussions with Joel Halterman (Walsh) on July 6, 2011, the proposed solution is to drive additional piles in the right pile group to reduce the pile demand below the capacity that was demonstrated during the APPLE test. We are in agreement with this proposed solution.					
Revised Plans needed before this change can be Implemented:	No ☐ Yes ⊠ List: N	eed to revise the Ur	nit 2 Foundati	on Plans for Pi	er 5.	
Plans to be as-built:	No 🗌 Yes 🔲 List:					
Responded by:	Schlee	Adrian Kelle	er	Date:	7/6/11	
Checked by:	Jaun Lagr	Laura Wagn	er_			
Attachments for Response:	No ⊠ Yes □ List:					
HNTB Job String:	DS 001 ☐ DS 004 ⊠					