# MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS CUY-480-18.42 L/R, PID No. 90591

Interstate Route 480 over Cuyahoga River Valley

Independence, Valley View, and Garfield Heights Cuyahoga County, Ohio

November 21, 2013





#### Maintenance of Traffic Alternatives Analysis CUY-480-1842L/R PID No. 90951

Contents	Page
INTRODUCTION	1
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	1
DESCRIPTION OF ALTERNATIVES	2
EXISTING BRIDGE DECK WIDENING for the MAINTENANCE of TRAFFIC	7
PROPOSED BRIDGE DECK WIDENING for the MAINTENANCE of TRAFFIC	7
MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC BRIDGE DECK DRAINAGE	7
MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC CROSSOVERS	9
MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC ALTERNATIVES - INITIAL EVALUATION	9
MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC QUEWZ and ODOT SPREADSHEET	
MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC – ANALYSIS OF EXISTING CONDITIONS	
MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC ALTERNATIVES – QUEWZ EVALUATION	15
MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC ALTERNATIVES SUMMARY	17

APPENDIX

ODOT Permitted Lane Closure Chart - IR 480 District 12 Permitted Lane Closure Chart – IR 480 Lane Configuration Diagrams and Cross Sections Work Zone Constraint Table Bridge Information Table Ramp Information Table Cost Comparison Table Operational Comparison Table QUEWZ output ODOT Queue spreadsheet output ATTACHED DRAWINGS Title Sheet Schematic Plan **Typical Sections** General Notes Plan Sheets Drainage Detail Conceptual BMP Layout Bridge Key Plan Bridge Transverse Sections

Scupper Location Plan



#### Maintenance of Traffic Alternatives Analysis CUY-480-1842L/R PID No. 90951

#### Introduction

The proposed project is a deck replacement on the twin structures carrying IR 480 over the Cuyahoga River Valley. The bridge crosses over the CSX Railroad, the Cuyahoga River, Cleveland Metroparks Ohio and Erie Canal Reservation and Towpath Trail, West Canal Road, the Ohio Canal, and Canal Road.

The CUY-480-1842 L&R, Valley View Bridge was opened to traffic in 1978. Each four lane structure consists of a 73 feet wide concrete deck supported by continuous steel stringers, trussed steel floorbeams, and built-up haunched continuous steel plate girders on 75 to 185 feet tall concrete piers and abutments. The twin structures are 4,155 feet long. The original black steel reinforced concrete decks are 35 years old and have reached the end of their useful life. A superplasticized dense concrete overlay placed in 1990 has preserved the decks to this age. The decks are deteriorating and in need of replacement to continue in a safe operating condition. The proposed project is complete deck replacement with minor bridge repair and rehabilitation work. New approach slabs and pavement work will blend the new decks to the highway.

The certified traffic on the CUY-480-1842 L&R Bridge is 146,190 vehicles per day with 8% trucks. Maintenance of traffic during construction with minimal disruption to the traveling public is a major concern for completing the project.

#### **Background Information**

The CUY-480-1842 L&R structure deck replacement was previously studied by E. L. Robinson in 2012 as a Deck Rehabilitation Study. The purpose of the E. L. Robinson study was to determine the optimum approach for the future bridge deck replacement that would provide the least inconvenience to and be the safest for the traveling public. As stated in the E. L. Robinson Deck Rehabilitation Study, 3-D FEM Deck Replacement Report, the deck replacement can only be accomplished in segments and in a manner that leaves only enough space for seven lanes of traffic (instead of the normal eight lanes) to be maintained during each construction phase. The recommended maintenance of traffic scheme from the report is a "5+2" format, with 5 lanes contra-flow on one bridge and 2 lanes on the bridge being reconstructed. This scheme was determined by the space available for maintaining lanes without any structure widening. Three westbound lanes and four eastbound lanes are to be maintained at all times during peak AM and PM traffic times. Additional lane closures will be per the District 12 Permitted Lane Closure Chart.

The segmented deck replacement that was included in the 3-D FEM Deck Replacement Report as well as the MOT phased construction concept referred to as "5+2" was indicated to be ODOT's preferred MOT concept in the Scope posting in September 2012. Additionally a "6+2" MOT scheme, maintaining 4 lanes in each direction was mentioned for evaluation to determine the feasibility, benefits, and cost of

maintaining the fourth westbound travel lane. The "6+2" MOT scheme requires widening of one existing bridge and one or both new bridges. The Scope also included a concept of extending the westbound MOT lane restriction eastward to the Broadway underpass in conjunction with closing or metering westbound entrance ramps at Broadway/Libby/Transportation Boulevard interchanges.

Shortly after the project initiation a progress meeting was held on April 18, 2013 at which time additional MOT alternatives were discussed and determined to be beneficial to include in the MOTAA. These additional alternatives included:

- eastbound in the morning to westbound in the afternoon.
- and 2 westbound lanes of traffic on the remaining bridge.
- Build a new bridge in the median to maintain four lanes of MOT traffic. The new bridge would of one of the existing bridges during one construction season while the deck is replaced.

These additional MOT alternatives have been included in the MOTAA to determine the feasibility, benefits, and costs of these additional scenarios.

During the development of the MOTAA two additional scenarios were considered. Construct a new westbound bridge in the median and widen the eastbound bridge substructure and superstructure. These two additional MOT scenarios allow for bridge deck widening and the maintenance of 4 eastbound and 4 westbound lanes in all MOT Phases. The new westbound bridge is constructed in the previous seasons and is utilized to carry four lanes of traffic on the eastbound bridge which is being re-decked. Once the eastbound bridge is re-decked, eastbound traffic is returned to the bridge, the westbound four lanes are re-aligned and placed on the new bridge, and the existing westbound bridge is removed.

Another MOT design consideration determined to have a significant impact on the feasibility of several of the above mentioned MOT scenarios is MOT drainage. The bridge deck replacement concepts need to maximize the number of MOT travel lanes between the bridge parapets and therefore reduce shoulder widths. Travel lanes are shifted to utilize the existing bridge width and subsequently the existing scupper spacing and corresponding drainage spread impacts the use of the MOT lanes. ODOT's Location and Design Manual, Volume Two, Drainage, Section 1010, currently states that a 2 year design frequency storm be used and a minimum 10 foot dry travel lane be provided during MOT. These drainage requirements impact the number of lanes, the lane widths, the necessity to incorporate additional bridge deck drainage into the existing deck, providing additional scuppers in the proposed bridge deck, and consideration of the modification of the pavement cross slope (existing and new) on the shoulders adjacent to the parapets. These drainage considerations lead to MOT alternatives that include: widening the existing bridge deck; grinding the existing and constructing the new bridge deck with increased shoulder cross slope; as well as determining an efficient number of additional scuppers to install to limit the drainage spread.

• Providing 7 MOT travel lanes and moveable barrier to shift a fourth directional travel lane from

• Rapid deck replacement which shuts down one complete bridge while maintaining 3 eastbound

be used in the future as express lanes on IR 480. The new bridge would replace the lane capacity



Therefore based upon the above requirements and conditions the following MOT alternatives have been numbered and described as follows in the Maintenance of Traffic Alternatives Analysis:

Alternative 1.a(70) -	Same Width Deck Replacement – Maintain 3 Lanes WB (on bridge only) & 4 Lanes EB ("5+2") - Modify existing and proposed shoulder cross slope.
Alternative 1.a(76) -	Widen Existing and New Bridge - Deck Replacement- Maintain 3 Lanes WB (on bridge only) & 4 Lanes EB ("5+2")
Alternative 1.b(70) -	Same Width Deck Replacement – Maintain 3 Lanes WB (from Broadway) & 4 Lanes EB ("5+2") - Modify existing and proposed shoulder cross slope.
Alternative 1.b(76) -	Widen Existing and New Bridge - Deck Replacement- Maintain 3 Lanes WB (from Broadway) & 4 Lanes EB ("5+2")
Alternative 2 -	Movable Median Barrier- Maintain 3 Lanes EB & WB; Provide One Additional Rush Hour Directional Lane ("5+2")
Alternative 3.a(72)	Widen Existing and New Bridge - Deck Replacement- Maintain 4 (min. 10') Lanes WB & EB ("6+2") - Modify existing and proposed shoulder cross slope
Alternative 3.a(76) -	Widen Existing and New Bridge - Deck Replacement- Maintain 4 Lanes WB & EB ("6+2")
Alternative 3.b(72)	Widen New Bridge - Deck Replacement- Phases 1 & 2 Maintain 3 Lanes WB & 4 Lanes EB ("5+2"); Phases 3 & 4 Maintain 4 Lanes WB & EB & ("6+2") – Modify existing and proposed shoulder cross slope
Alternative 3.b(76) -	Widen New Bridge - Deck Replacement- Phases 1 & 2 Maintain 3 Lanes WB & 4 Lanes EB ("5+2"); Phases 3 & 4 Maintain 4 Lanes WB & EB & ("6+2")
Alternative 3.c -	Widen EB Substructure and Superstructure - Deck Replacement- Maintain 4 Lanes WB & EB all Phases; Phases 1 & 3 ("5+3"); Phase 2 ("4+4"); Phases 4 & 5 ("6+2")
Alternative 4 -	Rapid Deck Replacement - Maintain 2 Lanes WB & 3 Lanes EB

Alternative 5 -	New WB Bridge
Alternative 6 -	New EB/WB Exp Lanes WB & EB

#### **Description of Alternatives**

<u>Alternative 1.a(70)</u> – One half deck replacement per year while maintaining three westbound and four eastbound IR 480 lanes. The distance of the three lane restriction in the westbound direction is minimized to impact only the project length adjacent to the crossovers. Crossovers are required to allow for contra flow of traffic on the existing bridge decks in different phases. A pre–Phase 1 pavement grinding operation will be required on the existing bridge deck along both the westbound bridge parapets and along the eastbound inside bridge deck parapet. The grinding will modify the bridge deck cross slope to  $\frac{1}{2}$ " per foot within four feet of the parapet to better accommodate the drainage.

Phase 1 & 2 will have 2 lanes of eastbound traffic crossing over to the existing westbound bridge. Five total lanes of traffic will be maintained on the existing westbound bridge in phases 1 & 2. Westbound traffic will be separated from the eastbound traffic using 50" portable barrier. The three westbound travel lanes have a minimum width of 11 feet and a 4.25 foot wide shoulder. The two eastbound travel lanes have a minimum width of 11 feet and a 4.25 foot wide shoulder. Phase 1 will construct the outside portion of the eastbound bridge while maintaining two lanes of eastbound traffic on the bridge. The work zone will be separated from the travel lanes using 32" portable barrier. A one foot barrier offset will be provided along the travel lane side of the barrier. The two eastbound travel lanes are a minimum of 11 feet wide with a 4 foot shoulder to the toe of the existing bridge parapet. See MOTAA, Alternative 1.a(70) - Phase 1, Transverse Section D-D for dimensions. Phase 1 will be completed at the end of the first construction season and traffic will be returned to the pre-construction lane configurations and alignments prior to the winter.

Phase 2 will re-establish the MOT lane configuration on the westbound bridge from Phase 1 and construct the inside portion of the eastbound bridge while maintaining two lanes of eastbound traffic on the new bridge roadway constructed in Phase 1. The work zone will be separated from the travel lanes using 32" portable barrier. A one foot barrier offset will be provided along the travel lane side of the barrier. The two eastbound travel lanes are a minimum of 11 feet wide with a 4 foot shoulder to the toe of the new bridge parapet. See MOTAA, Alternative 1.a(70) – Phase 2, Transverse Section D-D for dimensions. The width of the completed eastbound bridge deck is 70 feet toe to toe of parapet. Phase 2 will be completed at the end of the second construction season and traffic will be returned to the preconstruction lane configurations and alignments prior to the winter.

Phases 3 & 4 will be constructed in the third and fourth seasons. Phases 3 & 4 will have one lane of westbound traffic crossing over to the re-decked eastbound bridge. Five total lanes of traffic will be maintained on the re-decked eastbound bridge in phases 3 & 4. Eastbound traffic will be separated from the westbound traffic using 50" portable barrier. A one foot barrier offset will be provided along both sides of the barrier. Four eastbound travel lanes have a minimum width of 11 feet and a 4 foot wide shoulder. The one westbound travel lane has a width of 12 feet and a 5 foot wide shoulder. Phase 3 will construct the outside portion of the westbound bridge while maintaining two lanes of westbound traffic

ge in Median - Maintain 4 Lanes WB & EB

Express Lane Bridge in Median - Maintain 4 EB



on the bridge in the same configuration as Phase 1 described above. See MOTAA, Alternative 1.a(70) – Phase 3, Transverse Section D-D for dimensions. Phase 3 will be completed at the end of the third construction season and traffic will be returned to the pre-construction lane configurations and alignments prior to the winter.

Phase 4 will construct the inside portion of the westbound bridge while maintaining two lanes of westbound traffic on the bridge in the same configuration as Phase 2 described above. The width of the completed westbound bridge roadway is 70 feet toe to toe of parapet. See MOTAA, Alternative 1.a(70) – Phase 4, Transverse Section D-D for dimensions. Phase 4 will be completed at the end of the fourth construction season and traffic will be returned to the pre-construction lane configurations and alignments prior to the winter.

<u>Alternative 1.a(76)</u> – One half deck replacement per year while maintaining three westbound and four eastbound IR 480 lanes. The distance of the three lane restriction in the westbound direction is minimized to impact only the project length adjacent to the crossovers. Crossovers are required to allow for contra flow of traffic on the existing bridge decks in different phases. This alternative is essentially the same as 1.a.(70) with the exception that a significant pre-phase 1 construction phase is required to widen the existing westbound bridge deck 6.5 feet toward the median. Options for widening the existing and the new bridge deck considered extending the deck cantilever beyond the outside girder on each side, or adding a cantilever bracket and stringer on one or both outside girders. The additional bridge deck width allows for additional shoulder width (7.5 feet) during Phase 1 & 2 MOT on the westbound bridge which has the same configuration as described in Alternative 1.a(70) above. The additional shoulder width is useful in minimizing the frequency of temporary scuppers that will be required to meet L & D Volume 2, Section 1010, Maintenance of Traffic Drainage requirements.

<u>Alternative 1.b(70)</u> – The bridge deck replacement concept is identical to Alternative 1.a(70). The difference between Alternative 1.a(70) and 1.b(70) is that the restriction to three westbound travel lanes will begin at the Broadway Avenue exit ramp and continue west through the work zone. At the Broadway Avenue entrance ramp the existing add lane configuration for the entrance ramp will be eliminated and the Broadway Avenue westbound entrance ramp traffic will be forced to merge into the three lanes of IR 480 WB.

<u>Alternative 1.b(76)</u> – The bridge deck replacement concept is identical to Alternative 1.a(76). The difference between Alternative 1.a(76) and 1.b(76) is that the restriction to three westbound travel lanes will begin at the Broadway Avenue exit ramp and continue west through the work zone. At the Broadway Avenue entrance ramp the existing add lane configuration for the entrance ramp will be eliminated and the Broadway Avenue westbound entrance ramp traffic will be forced to merge into the three lanes of IR 480 WB.

<u>Alternative 2</u> – This alternative is essentially the same as 1.a.(70) with the exception that moveable median barrier will be used on the contra flow bridge deck to maintain three westbound and four eastbound lanes in the morning rush hours and four westbound and three eastbound lanes in the evening rush hours. One half deck replacement per year will be completed while maintaining seven IR 480 lanes on the bridges. The distance of the lane restriction across the bridge will be minimized to impact only the project adjacent to the crossovers. Crossovers are required to allow for contra flow of traffic on the existing bridge decks in different phases.

<u>Alternative 3.a(72)</u> - One half deck replacement per year while maintaining four westbound and four eastbound IR 480 lanes. Crossovers are required to allow for contra flow of traffic on the existing bridge decks in different phases. This alternative is essentially the same as 1.a.(70) with the exceptions that a significant pre-phase 1 construction phase is required to widen the existing westbound bridge roadway 2 feet toward the median. The widened bridge deck allows for six, 10 foot (minimum lane width) MOT lanes to be provided on the westbound bridge. Widening the existing bridge deck will be by extending the deck cantilever beyond the outside girder and utilizing light weight concrete. The widened bridge deck will incorporate the improved shoulder cross slope of 4% which improves the MOT drainage capacity. The additional bridge deck width and cross slope modifications allow for additional shoulder width (3 feet) during phase 1 & 2 MOT on the westbound bridge. The additional shoulder width and cross slope is useful in minimizing the frequency of temporary scuppers that will be required to meet L & D Volume 2, Section 1010, Maintenance of Traffic Drainage requirements.

Phase 1 will construct the outside portion of the eastbound bridge while maintaining two, 12 foot lanes of eastbound traffic on the bridge. See MOTAA, Alternative 3.a(72) – Phase 1, Transverse Section D-D for dimensions. Phase 1 will be completed at the end of the first construction season and traffic will be returned to the pre-construction lane configurations and alignments prior to the winter.

Phase 2 will re-establish the MOT lane configuration on the westbound bridge and construct the inside portion of the eastbound bridge while maintaining two 12 foot lanes of eastbound traffic on the new bridge deck constructed in Phase 1. MOT travel lane configuration on the eastbound bridge will provide a 3 foot shoulder from the toe of the new bridge parapet and a 1 foot offset to the 32" portable barrier. The width of the completed eastbound bridge deck within 4 feet of the new parapet to improve drainage. See MOTAA, Alternative 3.a(72) – Phase 2, Transverse Section D-D for dimensions. Phase 2 will be construction lane configurations and alignments prior to the winter.

Phases 3 & 4 will be constructed in the third and fourth construction seasons. Phases 3 & 4 will have two lanes of westbound traffic crossing over to the re-decked eastbound bridge. Six, 10 foot (minimum MOT lane widths) lanes of traffic will be maintained on the re-decked eastbound bridge in phases 3 & 4. Eastbound traffic will be separated from the westbound traffic using 50" portable barrier. A one foot barrier offset will be provided along both sides of the barrier. A 3 foot shoulder from the edge of the travel lane to the toe of the new bridge parapet is provided for both eastbound and westbound traffic. Phase 3 will construct the outside portion of the westbound bridge while maintaining two 12 foot lanes of westbound traffic on the widened portion of the bridge from pre-phase 1. The work area will be separated from the travel lanes using 32" portable barrier. A two foot barrier offset will be provided along the travel lane side of the barrier. Two 12 foot westbound travel lanes will be provided. The remaining 3.0 feet from the edge of travel lane to the toe of the widened bridge deck parapet is utilized for shoulder width. See MOTAA, Alternative 3.a(72) – Phase 3, Transverse Section D-D for dimensions. Phase 3 will be completed at the end of the third construction season and traffic will be returned to the pre-construction lane configurations and alignments prior to the winter.

Phase 4 will construct the inside portion of the westbound bridge while maintaining two lanes of westbound traffic on the bridge deck constructed in Phase 3. The width of the completed westbound bridge roadway is 72 feet toe to toe of parapet. A 4% cross slope will be constructed into the new bridge deck within 4 feet of the new parapet to improve drainage. Phase 4 work area will be separated from



the westbound MOT travel lanes using 32" portable barrier. A one foot barrier offset will be provided along the travel lane side of the barrier. Two 12 foot westbound travel lanes will be provided on the completed bridge deck from Phase 3. The remaining 3 feet from the edge of travel lane to the toe of the new bridge parapet is utilized for shoulder width. See MOTAA, Alternative 3.a(72) – Phase 4, Transverse Section D-D for dimensions. Phase 4 will be completed at the end of the fourth construction season and traffic will be returned to the pre-construction lane configurations and alignments prior to the winter.

<u>Alternative 3.a(76)</u> – One half deck replacement per year while maintaining four westbound and four eastbound IR 480 lanes. This alternative requires widening the deck of one existing bridge and one redecked bridge. Options for widening the existing and the new bridge deck considered extending the deck cantilever beyond the outside girder on each side, or adding a cantilever bracket and stringer on one or both outside girders. Crossovers are required to allow for contra flow of traffic on the existing bridge decks in different phases.

Phase 1 & 2 will have 2 lanes of eastbound traffic crossing over to the existing westbound bridge. Six total lanes of traffic (11 foot wide minimum) will be maintained on the existing westbound bridge in Phases 1 & 2. Two foot wide shoulders are provided for drainage. Westbound traffic will be separated from the eastbound traffic using 50" portable barrier. Phase 1 will be completed at the end of the first construction season and traffic will be returned to the pre-construction lane configurations and alignments prior to the winter.

Phase 2 will re-establish the MOT lane configuration on the westbound bridge from Phase 1 and construct the inside portion of the eastbound bridge while maintaining two lanes of eastbound traffic on the new bridge deck constructed in Phase 1. Two 12 foot lanes of traffic will be maintained on the new eastbound bridge. Three foot wide shoulders are provided for drainage. The work zone will be separated from the travel lanes using 32" portable barrier. The width of the completed eastbound bridge deck is 76 feet toe to toe of parapet. Phase 2 will be completed at the end of the second construction season and traffic will be returned to the pre-construction lane configurations and alignments prior to the winter.

Phases 3 & 4 will be constructed in the third and fourth construction seasons. Phases 3 & 4 will have two lanes of westbound traffic crossing over to the widened and re-decked eastbound bridge. Six total lanes of traffic (11 foot minimum lane width) will be maintained on the widened and re-decked eastbound bridge in Phases 3 & 4. Eastbound traffic will be separated from the westbound traffic using 50" portable barrier. A 2 foot shoulder will be provided for drainage. Phase 3 will construct the outside portion of the westbound bridge while maintaining two lanes of westbound traffic on the bridge. The work zone will be separated from the travel lanes using 32" portable barrier. The remaining 3 feet from the edge of travel lane to the toe of the existing bridge parapet is utilized for shoulder width. Phase 3 will be completed at the end of the third construction season and traffic will be returned to the preconstruction lane configurations and alignments prior to the winter.

Phase 4 will construct the inside portion of the westbound bridge while maintaining two lanes of westbound traffic on the new bridge deck constructed in Phase 3. The work zone will be separated from the travel lanes using 32" portable barrier. The remaining 6 feet from the edge of travel lane to the toe of the new bridge parapet is utilized for shoulder width. The width of the completed westbound bridge deck is 76 feet toe to toe of parapet. Phase 4 will be completed at the end of the fourth construction

season and traffic will be returned to the pre-construction lane configurations and alignments prior to the winter.

<u>Alternative 3.b(72)</u> - One half deck replacement per year while maintaining three westbound and four eastbound IR 480 lanes in Phases 1 & 2 and four westbound and four eastbound IR 480 lanes in Phases 3 & 4. This alternative is a combination of Alternative 1.a(70) for Phases 1 & 2 and Alternative 3.a(72) for Phases 3 & 4. See MOTAA, Alternative 3.b(72) – Phase 1 through 4, Transverse Section D-D for dimensions.

<u>Alternative 3.b(76)</u> - One half deck replacement per year while maintaining three westbound and four eastbound IR 480 lanes in Phases 1 & 2 and four westbound and four eastbound IR 480 lanes in Phases 3 & 4. This alternative requires widening the deck of the eastbound re-decked bridge. Options for widening the new bridge deck considered extending the deck cantilever beyond the outside girder on each side, or adding a cantilever bracket and stringer on one or both outside girders. The bridge deck replacement concept for this alternative is identical to Alternative 1.a(70) for Phase 1 & 2 westbound and Phase 1 eastbound. Due to the widening of the eastbound bridge deck to a total width of 76 feet in Phase 1 additional deck width is available for Phase 2 eastbound. Phase 1 will be completed at the end of the first construction season and traffic will be returned to the pre-construction lane configurations and alignments prior to the winter.

Phase 2 will re-establish the MOT lane configuration on the westbound bridge and construct the inside portion of the eastbound bridge while maintaining two lanes of eastbound traffic on the new bridge deck constructed in Phase 1. The work zone will be separated from the travel lanes using 32" portable barrier. A two foot barrier offset will be provided along the travel lane side of the barrier. Two 12 foot eastbound travel lanes will flank the barrier. The remaining 3 feet from the edge of travel lane to the toe of the new bridge parapet is utilized for shoulder width. Phase 2 will be completed at the end of the second construction season and traffic will be returned to the pre-construction lane configurations and alignments prior to the winter.

Phases 3 & 4 will be constructed in the third and fourth construction seasons. Phases 3 & 4 will have two lanes of westbound traffic crossing over to the widened and re-decked eastbound bridge. Six total lanes of traffic will be maintained on the widened and re-decked eastbound bridge in Phases 3 & 4. Eastbound traffic will be separated from the westbound traffic using 50" portable barrier. A one foot barrier offset will be provided along both sides of the barrier. Four 11 foot (minimum MOT lane width) eastbound travel lanes will be provided. The remaining 2 feet from the edge of travel lane to the toe of the new bridge parapet is utilized for shoulder width. Two 11 foot (minimum MOT lane width) westbound travel lanes will be provided. The remaining 2 feet from the edge of travel lane to the toe of the existing bridge parapet is utilized for shoulder width. Two 11 foot (minimum MOT lane width) westbound travel lanes will be provided. The remaining 2 feet from the edge of travel lane to the toe of the existing bridge parapet is utilized for shoulder width. Phase 3 will construct the outside portion of the westbound bridge while maintaining two lanes of westbound traffic on the bridge in the same configuration as Phase 1 described above. Phase 3 will be completed at the end of the third construction season and traffic will be returned to the pre-construction lane configurations and alignments prior to the winter.

Phase 4 will construct the inside portion of the westbound bridge while maintaining two lanes of westbound traffic on the new bridge deck constructed in Phase 3. The work zone will be separated from the travel lanes using 32" portable barrier. A one foot barrier offset will be provided along the travel lane side of the barrier. Two 11 foot (minimum MOT lane width) westbound travel lanes will be provided. The remaining 2 feet from the edge of travel lane to the toe of the new bridge parapet is



utilized for shoulder width. The width of the completed eastbound bridge deck is 70 feet toe to toe of parapet. Phase 4 will be completed at the end of the fourth construction season and traffic will be returned to the pre-construction lane configurations and alignments prior to the winter.

<u>Alternative 3.c</u> - This alternative requires widening the substructure and the superstructure of the eastbound bridge. The widening of the eastbound bridge allows for the bridge deck replacement on both the westbound and eastbound bridges while maintaining four westbound and four eastbound IR 480 lanes in all phases. A minimum lane width of 11 foot will be maintained in all MOT phases.

Pre-Phase 1 will construct the substructure widening necessary to support the widened eastbound bridge deck. Crossovers are required and will be constructed in pre-Phase 1 to allow for contra flow of traffic on the existing bridge decks in different phases. Pre–Phase 1 also requires a pavement grinding operation along both the westbound bridge parapets. The grinding will modify the bridge deck cross slope to <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>" per foot within four feet of the parapet to better accommodate the drainage. All Pre-Phase 1 work will be accomplished with minimal disruption of the IR 480 traffic during the first year of construction.

Phase 1 beginning in the second construction season will require 1 lane of eastbound traffic crossing over to the existing westbound bridge. Five total lanes of traffic will be maintained on the existing westbound bridge in Phase 1. Westbound traffic will be separated from the eastbound traffic using 50" portable barrier. A one foot barrier offset will be provided along both sides of the barrier. Westbound travel lanes will have a minimum width of 11 feet. The remaining 3.5 feet from the edge of travel lane to the toe of the existing bridge parapet is utilized for shoulder width. One 12 foot eastbound travel lane will flank the 50" portable barrier. The remaining 5 feet from the edge of travel lane to the toe of the existing bridge parapet is utilized for shoulder width. Phase 1 will construct the inside, widened portion of the eastbound bridge while maintaining three lanes of eastbound traffic on the bridge. The work zone will be separated from the travel lanes using 32" portable barrier. A two foot barrier offset will be provided along the travel lane side of the barrier. Three 11 foot (minimum lane width) eastbound travel lanes will be provided. The remaining 7.5 feet from the edge of travel lane to the toe of the existing bridge parapet is utilized for shoulder width. See MOTAA, Alternative 3.c – Phase 1, Transverse Section D-D for dimensions. Phase 1 will be completed during the second construction season and traffic will be re-established to the pre-construction lane configurations on alignments shown for Phase 2.

Phase 2 MOT lane alignment for the westbound bridge is the same as the pre-construction condition. The Phase 2 MOT lane alignment on the eastbound bridge shifts the four travel lanes 3.75 feet right, onto the outside shoulder (11.25 feet outside shoulder in the existing condition and 7.5 feet outside shoulder in the MOT, Phase 2, condition). The minimum MOT lane width is 11 feet. A portable barrier is placed 2 feet from the left most lane. There is approximately 4.25 feet of new bridge deck constructed in Phase 1 behind the portable barrier. See MOTAA, Alternative 3.c – Phase 2, Transverse Section D-D for dimensions. This work area remains in place through the remainder of the second construction season and over the winter. During Phase 2 the remainder of the superstructure for the widened eastbound bridge is constructed. Phase 2 remains in place for the third construction season and the widened portion of the eastbound bridge is completed. Phase 2 will be completed at the end of the third construction season and traffic will be returned to the pre-construction lane configurations and alignments prior to the winter.

Phase 3 re-establishes the Phase 1 MOT lane configuration on the westbound bridge (4 westbound lanes and one eastbound lane). Three lanes of eastbound traffic are maintained on the widened bridge deck constructed in Phase 2. The outside portion of the existing eastbound bridge deck is removed and replaced. The work zone will be separated from the travel lanes using 32" portable barrier. A two foot barrier offset will be provided along the travel lane side of the barrier. Three 11 foot (minimum lane width) eastbound travel lanes will be provided. The remaining 8 feet from the edge of travel lane to the toe of the new bridge parapet is utilized for shoulder width. See MOTAA, Alternative 3.c – Phase 3, Transverse Section D-D for dimensions. Phase 3 will be completed during the fourth construction season and traffic will be returned to the pre-construction lane configurations and alignments prior to the winter.

Phases 4 & 5 will be constructed in the fifth and sixth construction seasons. Phases 4 & 5 will have two lanes of westbound traffic crossing over to the widened and re-decked eastbound bridge. Six total lanes of traffic will be maintained on the re-decked eastbound bridge in Phases 4 & 5. Eastbound traffic will be separated from the westbound traffic using 50" portable barrier. A two foot barrier offset will be provided along both sides of the barrier. Four 11 foot (minimum MOT lane width) eastbound travel lanes will be provided. The remaining 8 feet from the edge of travel lane to the toe of the new bridge parapet is utilized for shoulder width. The two westbound lanes will be marked 12 feet in width. A 10 foot shoulder from the edge of the westbound travel lane to the toe of the new bridge parapet is provided. See MOTAA, Alternative 3.c - Phase 4, Transverse Section D-D for dimensions. Phase 4 will construct the outside portion of the westbound bridge while maintaining two lanes of westbound traffic on the bridge. Likewise, Phase 5 will construct the inside portion of the westbound bridge while maintaining two lanes of westbound traffic on the new bridge deck from Phase 4. The width of the completed westbound bridge deck is 70 feet toe to toe of parapet. See MOTAA, Alternative 3.c – Phase 5, Transverse Section D-D for dimensions. Phase 5 will be completed at the end of the sixth construction season and traffic will be returned to the pre-construction lane configurations and alignments prior to the winter.

<u>Alternative 4</u> – Rapid deck replacement with no traffic on the bridge under construction. Maintain two westbound and three eastbound IR 480 lanes for as short a time as possible. One complete deck can be completed in a construction season. Use incentive/disincentive contracting techniques to encourage contractor to minimize impacts. IR 480 Crossovers and temporary ramp alignments and pavement are required to allow for contra flow of traffic on the existing bridge decks in different phases.

Phase 1 will have 3 lanes of eastbound traffic crossing over to the existing westbound bridge. Five total lanes of traffic will be maintained on the existing westbound bridge in Phase 1. Westbound traffic will be separated from the eastbound traffic using 50" portable barrier. A one foot barrier offset will be provided along both sides of the barrier. Two 11 foot (minimum MOT lane width) westbound travel lanes will be provided. The remaining 4.25 feet from the edge of travel lane to the toe of the existing outside bridge parapet is utilized for shoulder width. The eastbound traffic crossed over to the westbound bridge will have three 11 foot (minimum MOT lane width) lanes. A 4.25 foot shoulder is provided adjacent to the toe of the existing inside parapet. Temporary alignments and pavement will be required for the IR 77 entrance ramps to eastbound IR 480. Temporary alignments and pavement will be required for the eastbound IR 480 exit ramps to East 98<sup>th</sup> Street. Phase 1 will be completed at the end of the first construction season and traffic will be returned to the pre-construction lane configurations and alignments prior to the winter.



Phase 2 will be constructed in the second season. Phase 2 will have two lanes of westbound traffic crossing over to the re-decked eastbound bridge. Five total lanes of traffic will be maintained on the re-decked eastbound bridge in phase 2. Eastbound traffic will be separated from the westbound traffic using 50" portable barrier. A one foot barrier offset will be provided along both sides of the barrier. Three 11 foot (minimum MOT lane width) eastbound travel lanes will be provided. The remaining 4.5 feet from the edge of travel lane to the toe of the new outside bridge parapet is utilized for shoulder width. The two westbound MOT lanes will be 11 feet (minimum lane width) in width. A 4.5 foot shoulder from the edge of the westbound travel lane to the toe of the new inside bridge parapet is provided. Temporary alignments and pavement will be required for the IR 480 exit ramps to IR 77. Temporary alignments and pavement will be required for the East 98<sup>th</sup> Street entrance ramp to westbound IR 480. Phase 2 will be completed at the end of the second construction season and traffic will be returned to the pre-construction lane configurations and alignments prior to the winter.

<u>Alternative 5</u> – Build a new four lane bridge in the median adjacent to the existing westbound bridge to be used for the maintenance of traffic during the re-decking of the eastbound bridge, ultimately replacing the existing westbound bridge. Maintain four lanes of traffic in each direction with minimal disruption. The IR 480 eastbound bridge deck is replaced in two years with no traffic on the bridge during construction. Temporary IR 480 alignments, temporary ramp alignments and temporary pavement will be required at both ends of the new four lane bridge to allow for MOT on the new bridge in Phase 1. The permanent re-alignment of IR 480 westbound, the IR 77 exit ramps, and the East 98<sup>th</sup> Street entrance ramp will be required as a part of the project to shift the existing IR 480 westbound traffic onto the new bridge constructed in the median.

Pre-Phase 1 will construct the new four lane IR 480 westbound bridge adjacent to the existing IR 480 westbound bridge. The new westbound bridge will be constructed 72 feet toe to toe of parapet to provide for four 12 foot lanes and 12 foot left and right shoulders in the final condition. Four lanes of traffic will be maintained on the existing IR 480 westbound and eastbound bridges during Pre-Phase 1. At the completion of new IR 480 westbound bridge in the median temporary pavement for temporary alignments in Phase 1 will be constructed. The tie-in of the temporary pavement across the existing eastbound alignment may require short duration lane restrictions or short term closures of IR 480 eastbound, the Ramps from IR 77 to IR 480 eastbound and the exit to East 98<sup>th</sup> Street from IR 480 eastbound.

Phase 1 will maintain the existing IR 480 westbound four lanes of traffic on the existing IR 480 westbound bridge in the normal locations. The IR 480 eastbound alignment will be transitioned on temporary pavement west of the new bridge constructed in Pre-Phase 1. The three IR 480 eastbound lanes will follow a temporary alignment on temporary pavement to the new bridge in the median. The two ramp lanes from IR 77 will be reduced to a single lane and follow a temporary alignment on temporary pavement to the new bridge. During Phase 1 MOT the lane configuration on the new bridge will be shifted 4 feet to the left to allow for portable barrier and a contractor access lane on the new bridge to facilitate the re-decking of the adjoining eastbound bridge. See MOTAA, Alternative 5 – Phase 1, Transverse Section D-D for dimensions. The exit to East 98<sup>th</sup> Street from IR 480 eastbound will be maintained on a temporary alignment with temporary pavement from the new bridge in the median. The existing IR 480 eastbound bridge will have the deck replacement in a single season with no traffic on the

bridge during construction. After the completion of the bridge deck the temporary alignments on temporary pavement constructed for Phase 1 will be removed and eastbound traffic will be restored the pre-construction lane configurations. The restoration of the eastbound pavement may require short duration lane restrictions or short term closures of IR 480 eastbound, the Ramps from IR 77 to IR 480 eastbound and the exit to East 98<sup>th</sup> Street from IR 480 eastbound. Phase 1 will be completed at the end of the construction season and traffic will be returned to the pre-construction lane configurations and alignments prior to the winter.

The following construction will construct the permanent westbound pavement on the new alignments in the median. The construction of the new westbound pavement tie-ins may require short duration lane restrictions or short term closures of IR 480 westbound, the ramps from IR 480 westbound to IR 77 and the entrance from East 98<sup>th</sup> Street to IR 480 westbound.

<u>Alternative 6</u> – Build a new four lane bridge in the median and maintain four lanes of traffic in each direction with minimal disruption. The new bridge in the median would remain for future use as express lanes. One complete deck replacement will be completed in two years with no traffic on the bridge under construction. Temporary IR 480 alignments, temporary ramp alignments and temporary pavement will be required at both ends of the new four lane bridge to allow for MOT on the new bridge in Phase 1.

Pre-Phase 1 will construct the new four lane, IR 480, bridge in the median. The new bridge will be constructed 85 feet toe to toe of parapet to provide for four 12 foot lanes, 12 foot outside shoulders, 5 foot inside shoulders, and bridge parapets separating directional traffic in the final condition. Four lanes of traffic will be maintained on the existing IR 480 westbound and eastbound bridges during Pre-Phase 1. At the completion of new IR 480 westbound bridge in the median temporary pavement for temporary alignments in Phase 1 will be constructed. The tie-in of the temporary pavement across the existing eastbound alignment may require short duration lane restrictions or short term closures of IR 480 eastbound, the Ramps from IR 77 to IR 480 eastbound and the exit to East 98<sup>th</sup> Street from IR 480 eastbound.

Phase 1 will maintain the existing IR 480 westbound four lanes of traffic on the existing IR 480 westbound bridge in the normal locations. The three IR 480 eastbound lanes will follow a temporary alignment on temporary pavement to the new bridge in the median. Two ramp lanes from IR 77 will be reduced to a single lane and follow a temporary alignment on temporary pavement to the new bridge in the median. Four lanes of IR 480 eastbound traffic will be maintained on the new bridge. During Phase 1 MOT the lane configuration on the new bridge will be shifted to the left of the bridge to allow for portable barrier and a contractor access lane on the new bridge to facilitate the re-decking of the adjoining eastbound bridge. The shift of the travel lanes results in an 8 foot left shoulder, four 12 foot MOT travel lanes, a 4 foot right shoulder, 32 inch portable barrier, and a 23 foot space for contractor activities. The exit to East 98<sup>th</sup> Street from IR 480 eastbound will be maintained on a temporary alignment with temporary pavement from the new bridge in the median. The existing IR 480 eastbound bridge will have the deck replacement in a season with no traffic on the bridge during construction.

Phase 2 will re-establish eastbound traffic on the new eastbound bridge deck. This phase will un-do the temporary alignments of temporary pavement constructed for Phase 1 and temporary pavement for temporary alignments in Phase 2 will be constructed. The Phase 2 tie-in of the temporary pavement



across the exiting westbound alignment may require short duration lane restrictions or short term closures of IR 480 westbound, the ramps from IR 480 westbound to IR 77 and the entrance from East 98<sup>th</sup> Street to IR 480 westbound. The Phase 2 MOT lane configuration on the new bridge will be shifted to the right, a mirror of the lane configuration described in Phase 1 above, to allow for portable barrier and a contractor access lane on the new bridge to facilitate the re-decking of the adjoining westbound bridge. The existing IR 480 westbound bridge will have the deck replacement in a season with no traffic on the bridge during construction.

Phase 3 will re-establish westbound traffic on the new westbound bridge deck. This phase will un-do the temporary alignments on temporary pavement constructed for Phase 2 and restore the preconstruction lane configurations. The restoration of the westbound pavement may require short duration lane restrictions or short term closures of IR 480 westbound, the Ramps from IR 480 westbound to IR 77 and the entrance from East 98<sup>th</sup> Street to IR 480 westbound. After the restoration of the westbound traffic on the re-decked westbound bridge the temporary alignments and temporary pavement constructed for Phase 2 will be removed. The completed project will maintain the existing travel lanes in the existing configuration on the new re-deck eastbound and westbound bridges. The new bridge constructed in the median would be maintained for future use as express lanes, carrying four lanes of traffic, two eastbound and two westbound.

#### **Existing Bridge Deck Widening for the Maintenance of Traffic**

Several of the maintenance of traffic alternatives identified above for analysis requires the widening of the existing bridge deck to accommodate the required MOT lane configurations. Evaluation of the existing superstructure indicates that only minimal widening of approximately 2 feet can be achieved. See the *Structure Assessment, Bridge Capacity for Widening* in the Alternative Evaluation Report for a description.

The non-favorable result of the widening evaluation for the existing bridge deck to accommodate the Maintenance of Traffic lane configurations eliminates the pursuit of MOT Alternative 1.a(76), Alternative 1.b(76) and Alternative 3.a(76), which provide additional bridge deck width on the existing superstructure abutting the existing non-composite bridge deck. These three MOT Alternatives will no longer be considered as viable alternatives for the construction of the project.

#### Proposed Bridge Deck Widening for the Maintenance of Traffic

Maintenance of traffic Alternative 3.b(76) identified above for analysis requires the widening of the proposed bridge deck to accommodate the required MOT lane configurations. Options similar to the widening of the existing bridge deck above were considered for the widening. Additionally, the new bridge deck was considered as composite, and composite with light weight concrete. As with the evaluation of the widening of the existing bridge deck, the evaluation of the existing superstructure with the proposed bridge deck widening indicates that only minimal widening of  $2'\pm$  can be achieved. See the *Structure Assessment, Bridge Capacity for Widening* in the Alternative Evaluation Report for a description.

The non-favorable result of the widening evaluation for the widening of the proposed bridge deck to accommodate the Maintenance of Traffic lane configurations eliminates the pursuit of MOT Alternative

3.b(76) which provided additional proposed bridge deck width on the existing superstructure. This MOT Alternative will no longer be considered as a viable alternative for the construction of the project.

#### Maintenance of Traffic Bridge Deck Drainage

#### Existing Bridge Deck Drainage

The existing bridge deck grade is approximately 2.62%. The bridge roadway drainage system consists of scuppers, drain troughs and downspouts. Scuppers are located in the shoulders near the rear abutment, pier 3, pier 6, pier 9 and pier 12. There are 5 existing scuppers in each shoulder at the rear abutment and Pier 12. There are 6 existing scuppers in each shoulder at Piers 3, 6 and 9. Existing scuppers are 3.375' wide with 6" diameter pipe. Drainage troughs are under each finger joint near piers 3, 6, 9 and 12. The scuppers and drain troughs are collected by one pipe downspout per bridge at piers 3, 6, 9 and 12. The downspouts are collected in an underground storm sewer and conducted to the Cuyahoga River. Scuppers are located in span 1, near the rear abutment, with no drainage collection system.

The existing drainage spread was calculated for a 2 year rainfall frequency and a 10 year rainfall frequency. The criteria are a 10 minute time of concentration and Intensity Zone A per ODOT Location and Design Manual, Section 1101. The existing drainage spread is 9.5' for a 2 year storm and 10.5 ft for a 10 year storm. The existing outside shoulders are 11.25' wide and the existing inside shoulders are 10.25' wide.

#### Bridge Deck Drainage During Construction

The bridge drainage for the existing bridge deck during maintenance of traffic was designed for Unit 1, Unit 2 (Units 3 & 4 similar) and Unit 5 for the existing bridge deck. Allowable drainage spreads of 2', 3', 4', 6' and 8' were calculated in an effort to determine a feasible allowable drainage spread and the shoulder widths that will be required during maintenance of traffic. The bridge drainage design for the proposed bridge deck during maintenance of traffic will be similar to the bridge drainage design for the existing deck. A two year design frequency was used to determine the spread of water on the pavement per ODOT Location and Design Manual, Section 1010. Per Section 1010 of the ODOT Location and Design Manual, a dry lane width of 10 feet shall be provided during maintenance of traffic. Added temporary scuppers will be collected in a temporary closed drainage system. Temporary horizontal conductors, hoppers, and downspouts to the ground will be utilized to collect runoff on the bridge from pier 3 through pier 12. The downspouts will be collected with temporary conduits (above or below ground) connected to the storm sewer trunk line. The end units will use scuppers free falling to the ground.



Three options were considered to reduce the drainage spread during maintenance of traffic:

- a) The first option is to drill 8 inch diameter holes in the existing deck and provide a closed drainage system to capture the runoff. The proposed deck would have new scuppers installed. The maximum flange width for the exterior girders is 2.83 feet. For the existing deck width and a top flange width of 2.83 feet, the girder top flange extends beyond the toe of parapet. The drilled holes during many phases of construction would have to be cut at a steep angle to avoid the girder top flange, or a portion of the parapet could be cut out to provide clearance to the girder top flange and place the drilled holes vertically. If the drilled holes were placed vertically it would be possible to plate over the hole and grind the concrete to make the plate flush with the parapet. This option would have complex construction, would require two to three times the number of drilled holes compared to scuppers and would extend the length of the closed drainage system along the longitudinal length of the bridge. The option to drill holes in the existing deck is not feasible.
- b) The second option is to replace a portion of the existing deck and install additional scuppers to capture the runoff. This option would require cutting out enough of the existing deck to provide reinforcing around the new scuppers, installing new scuppers, constructing new deck around the scuppers, constructing a closed drainage system at the piers that have no existing collection, and tying into the existing closed drainage system. The proposed deck would have new scuppers installed. The scupper width will vary depending on the deck overhang distance. For the purposes of this discussion, the scupper width for new scuppers is 3.5 feet in the existing and proposed decks. The existing scuppers are 3.375 feet wide and will be re-used for maintenance of traffic supported by the existing deck.
- c) The third option incorporates the second option to add scuppers. But, milling and reshaping the shoulder cross slope is included. The outer four feet of shoulder on the existing bridge deck would be ground to provide a 1/2" per foot cross slope. The existing cross slope is 3/16" per foot. The grinding depth would vary from 1 1/4" at the toe of barrier to nothing four feet out from the barrier. The finger joints would not be changed. Existing scuppers would be cut to conform to the new cross slope. The new bridge decks would be constructed with the same four feet wide 1/2" per foot cross slope in each shoulder.

The drainage spread with the existing scuppers is 9.5 feet for a 2 year storm. The existing groups of scuppers are only located upgrade from the finger expansion joints, so they are about 900 feet apart. In order to evaluate the maintenance of traffic bridge drainage, the design considered total allowable spreads of 2', 3', 4', 6' and 8'. The number of scuppers required to meet these allowable spread widths during maintenance of traffic were determined for the existing deck and proposed deck; and for 3/16" and 1/2" per foot shoulder cross slopes. Additionally, the distance from the pier to the most distant scupper was considered to determine the feasibility of providing horizontal conductors for a closed drainage system.

The following Table lists the approximate maximum distance from a pier to a scupper, the approximate number of scuppers (in addition to the existing scuppers) required for maintenance of traffic in the existing deck, the approximate number of scuppers required for maintenance of traffic in the proposed deck, and the number of additional substructure units that will require a closed drainage system for total allowable spreads of 2', 3', 4', 6' and 8':

ſ	New Scuppers in Existing and Proposed Decks for Maintenance of Traffic										
Allowable Spread (feet)	Maximum Distance from Pier to Scupper (feet)Number of Added Scuppers in Existing Deck Per Shoulder		Number of Added Scuppers in Proposed Deck Per Shoulder	Number of Additional Piers Requiring Closed Drainage System							
	3/16" per foot Shoulder Cross Slope										
4	150*	58	61	12							
6	50	22	22	12							
8	10	9	9	12							
	]	1/2" per foot Shoulder C	Cross Slope								
2	148*	70	75	12							
3	65	27	27	12							
4	2	9	9	12							
5	2	3	3	3							

\*Note - The allowable spread requirement is too low to space new scuppers only around piers. A closed drainage system with horizontal conductors is not feasible.

The tabulated information is for comparison of the options and quantities may vary for specific alternatives. Grinding or constructing the shoulders to the steeper cross slope decreases the drainage spread up to 4 feet.

The scuppers required for the proposed deck during maintenance of traffic will be re-used in the final structure. Scuppers that will not be required for the final bridge conditions will be plugged and temporary downspouts removed to reduce future maintenance of the drainage system. The values listed above for the new scuppers in the proposed deck are only those scuppers required for maintenance of traffic in addition to the existing or proposed scuppers.

The cost to provide reduced drainage spread on the bridge deck and a new/modified bridge deck drainage collection system to the ground during the Maintenance of Traffic decreases significantly with an increased allowable spread. Once to the ground the bridge deck drainage will be collected in the existing/new enclosed storm sewer system and tied into the existing storm sewer trunk line which discharges to the Cuyahoga River. Estimated costs for installing the required deck drainage system for Maintenance of Traffic is calculated for each MOTAA and shown in the Cost Comparison spreadsheet.



#### **Maintenance of Traffic Crossovers**

The maintenance of traffic alternatives included above require the shifting of traffic from eastbound to westbound and westbound to eastbound alignments in different MOT phases. Crossovers will be required to accomplish these MOT travel lane re-alignments.

The location of the crossovers on the west end of the project is between the IR 480 bridge over the IR 77 ramps and the SR 21 bridge over IR 480. The location of the crossovers on the east end of the project is to the east of the Transportation Boulevard interchange.

The location of the west crossover was selected after an alternate location to the west of the IR 77 interchange was reviewed and determined to be less desirable. The alternate location for the west crossover has some distinct advantages over the selected location such as: location on a tangent, normal crown pavement cross slopes, clear view of the approaching crossover, available sign truss to utilize for the crossover signing, a <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub> mile section of IR 480 eastbound that will be unused during various MOT alternatives, including bridge 480-1786R. However, there are some concerns with the placement of the cross over at this alternate location, notably a steep downhill grade into the cross over location (1.8%) in the eastbound direction would make it more difficult to maintain speed while negotiating the crossover. Shifting the crossover to the west effectively lengthens the work zone and shifts the anticipated queue to the west into the area of the eastbound IR 480 split with IR 77. This would impact the ability of IR 480 eastbound traffic to access the exit to IR 77. Additionally the alternate crossover location would require the placement of contra flow traffic on bridge 480-1787L in phases 1 and 2. This bridge is located in a curve to the left with full cross slope superelevation to the left (all drainage to the low side), a toe to toe distance of 56'-6" (narrow for 4 lanes of contra flow traffic), and the piers for the mid-level IR 77 ramp lanes are incorporated into the high side parapets on the bridge (which restricts the opening) and would require at a minimum the recommended clearance from the toe of the parapet of 2 feet.

The preferred west side crossover location between the IR 480 bridge over the IR 77 ramps and the SR 21 bridge over IR 480 is not an ideal location for a crossover due to the location within a horizontal curve, superelevation of 0.036, and the view from the approaching traffic being obstructed by the bridges within the IR 480/IR 77 interchange. However, the restricted view will be mitigated by closing the left lane of IR 480 eastbound approaching the crossover and shifting the two lanes of traffic into the crossover from the two most right lanes allowing for additional sight distance from the mid-level IR 77 ramp bridge piers. The vertical grade of the crossover location is within an uphill 2.69% and will naturally have a slowing effect on the traffic approaching the crossover. The preferred crossover location is nearer to the project construction limits and reduces the distance for which the IR 480 traffic is impacted. The location of the crossover in relation to the IR 480 eastbound to IR 77 exit ramps allows for an approximate queue length of 1\2 mile prior to impacting the IR 480 eastbound/IR 77 ramp traffic. The existing bridges on IR 480 1878R & L would not be impacted by the crossover, and the westbound traffic on IR 480 would be returned to the normal lane configuration approximately <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> mile closer the end of the project and allow traffic to disperse into the available three lanes providing more gaps for the entrance of the traffic from IR 77 onto IR 480 westbound. These considerations went into the selection of the preferred crossover location between the IR 480 bridge over the IR 77 ramps and the SR 21 bridge over IR 480.

The location of the east crossover to the east of the Transportation Boulevard interchange was selected after consideration of the existing conditions and the mechanics of an MOT alternative utilizing a crossover concept. The location is on a tangent horizontal alignment, just beyond the area affected by the work, outside the limits of the Transportation Boulevard interchange, and between two overhead bridges. Traffic approaching the work area from the east (downhill grade of 2.69%) will have a clear view of the upcoming crossover and ample reaction time to select a lane option. The functionality of the Transportation Boulevard ramps to the east of the interchange will remain similar to the existing condition in all MOT phases.



#### Maintenance of Traffic Alternatives – Initial Evaluation

Through the development of the project thirteen maintenance of traffic alternatives have been identified for evaluation. The above criteria, along with other MOT considerations will be evaluated for each alternative and a determination as to the advancement of the alternative will be made below. Those alternatives selected for advancement will be further evaluated in the ODOT MOTAA spreadsheets for "Work Zone Constraints", "Ramp information", "Bridge Information", and "Cost Comparison".

<u>Maintenance of Traffic Alternative 1.a(70)</u> - Same Width Deck Replacement – Maintain 3 Lanes Westbound and 4 Lanes Eastbound. This is the MOT Alternative that ODOT noted in the project Scope



as the preferred option. This is the MOT alternative referred to as "5+2" in the E. L. Robinson Deck Rehabilitation Study. Further evaluation of the transverse section and the impacts that reduced shoulder widths have on the MOT drainage criteria have been considered. Options to mitigate the reduced shoulder width on the drainage spread included adding additional MOT scuppers on the bridge and increasing the shoulder cross slope. Evaluation of the two drainage options has determined that it is less costly to modify the existing and the proposed shoulder cross slopes. Modification of the shoulder cross slope to  $\frac{1}{2}$ " per foot and adjustment of the existing scuppers to match the revised cross slope will be required. This MOT Alternative will be advanced for further evaluation.

<u>Maintenance of Traffic Alternative 1.a(76)</u> - Widen Existing and New Bridge (approximately 6 feet of widening required) - Deck Replacement - Maintain 3 Lanes Westbound and 4 Lanes Eastbound. This is a modification of the above alternative that eliminates the need to modify the existing and proposed shoulder cross slopes to accommodate the MOT drainage. Based upon the *Structure Assessment, Bridge Capacity for Widening* in the Alternative Evaluation Report widening on the bridge of 6 feet is not an option. Therefore MOT Alternative 1.a(76) will no longer be considered for further MOT evaluation.

<u>Maintenance of Traffic Alternative 1.b(70)</u> - Same Width Deck Replacement – Maintain 3 Lanes Westbound and 4 Lanes Eastbound. This is the same maintenance of traffic concept on the bridge as Alternative 1.a(70) above with the exception that the distance of the lane reduction leading into the work area for the westbound traffic will be restricted at the Broadway Avenue interchange.

Extending the restriction of the third lane from 2.0 miles to 5.1 miles to the east has no impact on the QUEWZ output for traffic volume through the work zone. Therefore the queues generated in the ODOT spreadsheet remain the same. The difference between the 2.0 mile and the 5.1 mile work zone (lane restriction length) (3.1 miles) can be directly added to the anticipated queue. In Alternative 1.a(70) above, the 1.5 mile allowable queue is backed up from the lane reduction/crossover to the east of the Transportation Boulevard Interchange to near the Broadway entrance ramp. Applying the 1.5 mile allowable queue to Alternative 1.b(70) and extending the third lane restriction to the Broadway Avenue interchange will shift the backup to near the McCracken Rd. exit ramp. The shifting of the lane restriction to the east along IR 480 westbound only limits the storage capacity on IR 480 and pushes the backup farther to the east. This in turn impacts the traffic that would normally be entering and exiting at the McCracken and Broadway interchanges. It does not appear that the extension of the IR 480 westbound lane restriction provides any positive advantages to the efficiency of this MOT alternative and therefore MOT Alternative 1.b(76) will no longer be considered for further MOT evaluation.

Additional interchange accessibility recommendations were requested that included ramp metering and ramp closures that will be discussed here. The metering of IR 480 westbound ramps during the AM and PM may provide some greater efficiency of ramp performance for those ramps outside the limits of the IR 480 lane restrictions but within the limits of the anticipated queue. These locations would include the entrance ramps at Granger and Broadway. Providing ramp metering for entrance ramps inside the limits of the IR 480 lane reduction in the AM and PM peaks would be inconsequential due to the proximity of the ramps being in the midst of the queues and the lane reduction providing limited openings for ramp merges.

The closure of ramps within the limits of the anticipated IR 480 westbound queues could discourage some of the local traffic from using IR 480 westbound and encourage them to access the interstate

system from alternate locations away from the work area. Approximately 23,000 ADT access IR 480 westbound from the Transportation Boulevard, Granger Road, and Broadway Avenue interchanges. The closure of entrance ramps accessing IR 480 westbound may have a detrimental effect on the other entrance ramps remaining open.

This corridor is a heavily travelled route and most users are familiar with navigation through and around the area. The project will last over multiple years and impact the westbound users to a greater extent than the eastbound users. Limiting or eliminating ramp access for these extended periods of time does not appear to be an acceptable solution. It would be anticipated that IR 480 westbound traffic users entering IR 480 will alter their travel patterns to avoid the area during those times when access is most affected. During non-peak, weekend and holidays IR 480 westbound traffic users entering IR 480 should have unrestricted access to IR 480 westbound.

It appears that the most efficient means to minimize the impact of the queue length and maintain the accessibility to IR 480 westbound is to minimize the length of the three lane restriction, utilize as many of the existing IR 480 lanes as possible for the greatest length possible, and maintain access to IR 480 westbound at all the existing locations.

<u>Alternative 1.b(76)</u> - Widen Existing and New Bridge (approximately 6 feet of widening required) - Deck Replacement - Maintain 3 Lanes Westbound and 4 Lanes Eastbound. This is the same maintenance of traffic concept on the bridge as Alternative 1.a(76) above with the exception that the distance of the lane reduction leading into the work area for the westbound traffic will be restricted at the Broadway Avenue interchange. Based upon the *Structure Assessment, Bridge Capacity for Widening* in the Alternative Evaluation Report widening on the bridge of 6 feet is not an option. Therefore MOT Alternative 1.b(76) will no longer be considered for further MOT evaluation.

<u>Alternative 2</u> - Movable Median Barrier- Maintain 3 Lanes eastbound and westbound at all times with one additional lane for rush hour directional traffic ("5+2"). The efficient application of movable barrier is predicated upon a substantial variation of directional traffic volumes. Review of the traffic volumes from ATR # 583 directly east of the IR 480 bridge project site indicates that there is a slight directional traffic variation. Review of the average Friday directional hourly volume distribution is shown in the graph below. The graph indicates that there is a slight variation in the directional distribution with eastbound traffic greater in the AM peak hours and westbound traffic greater in the PM peak hours. This is the typical traffic distribution trend for all normal weekdays. The general trend of the hourly traffic volumes is similar in the eastbound and westbound directions. The graph indicates that the need for additional directional MOT lanes peak at the same time in both directions at the same times of the day would only accentuate the poor performance in the opposite direction.



Based upon the lack of directional traffic distribution MOT Alternative 2 will no longer be considered for further MOT evaluation.



<u>Alternative 3.a(72)</u> - Widen Existing and New Bridge Deck – Deck Replacement – Maintain 4 Lanes Westbound and 4 Lanes Eastbound. This is a modification of Alternative 1.a(70) above that provides existing and new bridge deck widening. The 2 foot bridge deck widening on the existing bridge will be accomplished in a Pre-Phase 1 MOT. The bridge deck widening is the maximum widening that can be accomplished utilizing the existing superstructure, see the *Structure Assessment, Bridge Capacity for Widening* in the Alternative Evaluation Report. The widened bridge decks allow for the maintenance of 4 (10' minimum lane width) lanes of traffic in each direction during the deck replacement ("6+2"). Modification of the shoulder cross slope to  $\frac{1}{2}$ " per foot and adjustment of the existing scuppers to match the revised cross slope will be required. This MOT Alternative will be advanced for further evaluation.

<u>Alternative 3.a(76)</u> - Widen Existing and New Bridge Deck – Deck Replacement- Maintain 4 Lanes Westbound and 4 Lanes Eastbound. This is a modification of the above Alternative 3.a(72) that

eliminates the need to modify the existing and proposed shoulder cross slopes to accommodate the MOT drainage. Based upon the *Structure Assessment, Bridge Capacity for Widening* in the Alternative Evaluation Report widening on the bridge of 6 feet is not an option. Therefore MOT Alternative 3.a(76) will no longer be considered for further MOT evaluation.

<u>Alternative 3.b(72)</u> - Widen New Bridge Deck - Deck Replacement- Phases 1 and 2 will Maintain 3 Lanes Westbound and 4 Lanes Eastbound ("5+2"); Phases 3 and 4 will Maintain 4 Lanes Westbound and Eastbound ("6+2"). This is a combination of Alternative 1.a(70) and Alternative 3.a(72). This alternative does not require a Pre-phase 1 MOT sequence to widen the existing bridge deck. Modification of the shoulder cross slope to <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>" per foot and adjustment of the existing scuppers to match the revised cross slope will be required. This MOT Alternative will be advanced for further evaluation.

<u>Alternative 3.b(76)</u> - Widen New Bridge Deck - Deck Replacement- Phases 1 and 2 will Maintain 3 Lanes Westbound and 4 Lanes Eastbound ("5+2"); Phases 3 and 4 will Maintain 4 Lanes Westbound and Eastbound ("6+2"). This is a modification of the above Alternative 3.b(72) that eliminates the need to modify the existing and proposed shoulder cross slopes to accommodate the MOT drainage. Based upon the *Structure Assessment, Bridge Capacity for Widening* in the Alternative Evaluation Report widening on the bridge of 6 feet is not an option. Therefore MOT Alternative 3.b(76) will no longer be considered for further MOT evaluation.

<u>Alternative 3.c</u> - Widen Eastbound Substructure and Superstructure – Widen Eastbound Bridge Deck – Deck Replacement. Maintain 4 Lanes Westbound and Eastbound all Phases. Phases 1 and 3 utilize a ("5+3") MOT concept; Phase 2 is ("4+4"); and Phases 4 and 5 utilize a ("6+2") MOT concept. The eastbound substructure widening will be accomplished in a Pre-Phase 1 MOT. Modification of the existing shoulders cross slope on the westbound bridge to  $\frac{1}{2}$ " per foot and adjustment of the existing scuppers to match the revised cross slope will be required. The eastbound proposed bridge deck will be widened to 93 feet toe to toe of parapet. The widened bridge deck allows for the maintenance of 4 (11' minimum lane width) lanes of traffic at all times, in each direction during the deck replacements. This MOT Alternative will be advanced for further evaluation.

Alternative 4 - Rapid Deck Replacement - Maintain 2 Lanes Westbound and 3 Lanes Eastbound. Modification of the existing shoulders cross slope on the westbound bridge to 1/2" per foot and adjustment of the existing scuppers to match the revised cross slope will be required. Construction of the proposed shoulder cross slopes on the eastbound bridge to 1/2" per foot will be required. The reduction of the eastbound lanes to 3 and the westbound lanes to 2 has major impacts on the queue lengths anticipated during construction. OUEWZ 98 for Alternative 4 (see attached) indicates that the volume capacity for the two westbound lanes is 1482 vehicles per lane per hour and 1413 vehicles per lane per hour for the three eastbound lanes. These volume capacities input into the ODOT queue spreadsheet for the maintenance of traffic of the 2 westbound lanes results in queues in excess of 47 miles in the 6 PM peak. The queue begins at 7 AM and recovery of the queue does not occur until 2 AM. The percentage of traffic diversion required to limit the queue to a 1.5 mile maximum backup beyond the existing condition is 26%, an average of 1800 vehicles per hour during the PM peak hours. Maintaining 3 lanes eastbound results in a maximum queue of 43.9 miles in the 6 PM hour, three hours after the PM peak at 4:00 pm. The queue begins at 6:00 am and does not clear until 2:00 am. The percentage of traffic diversion required to limit the queue to a 1.5 mile maximum backup beyond the existing condition is 26%, an average of 1520 vehicles per hour during the PM peak hours. The



associated user cost calculated by QUEWZ for the eastbound direction is \$395,000 per day, westbound direction user cost per day is \$382,000. The queue lengths generated by the ODOT spreadsheet and the associate user costs from QUEWZ are excessive for this interstate section. There are no good interstate options to use as an alternate route and few good local parallel surface street options to use for the local traffic. Therefore MOT Alternative 4 will no longer be considered for further MOT evaluation.

Alternative 5 - New Westbound Bridge in Median - Maintain 4 Lanes Westbound and Eastbound. A new 72 foot wide westbound bridge would be constructed in the existing median between the existing bridges in a Pre-Phase construction sequence. This construction will have no impact on the existing traffic patterns. At the completion of the new westbound bridge the existing eastbound traffic will be shifted onto temporary alignments and placed on the new bridge. The existing eastbound bridge will be re-decked. Eastbound traffic placed back onto the re-decked eastbound bridge. Westbound traffic will be shifted onto a new alignment and utilize the new bridge constructed in Pre-Phase 1. The existing westbound bridge and approach pavement will be removed. This MOT Alternative 5 will be advanced for further evaluation.

Alternative 6 - New Eastbound and Westbound Express Lane Bridge in Median - Maintain 4 Lanes Westbound and Eastbound. A new 85 foot wide bridge would be constructed in the median between the existing bridges in a Pre-Phase 1 construction sequence. The construction of the new bridge in the median will have no impact on the existing traffic patterns. At the completion of the new bridge the existing eastbound traffic will be shifted onto temporary alignments and placed on the new bridge. The existing eastbound bridge will be re-decked. Eastbound traffic placed back onto the re-decked eastbound bridge. Westbound traffic will be shifted onto temporary alignments and placed on the new bridge. The existing westbound bridge will be re-decked. Westbound traffic placed back onto the redecked westbound bridge. The new bridge constructed in the median will be taken out of service until future IR 480 express lanes are constructed. This alternative is very high cost and an inefficient means to provide the MOT for the project. MOT Alternative 6 will no longer be considered for further MOT evaluation.

The initial evaluation of the maintenance of traffic alternatives has eliminated four alternatives because the existing bridge deck cannot be widened more than 2 feet. Other MOT alternatives were eliminated from consideration due to: no added benefit in extending the lane restriction, little variation in directional traffic distribution, excessive queues due to limited available MOT lanes, and the high cost of construction for an express lane bridge that will go unused after the re-decking.

The remaining maintenance of traffic alternatives will be further considered as possible strategies to accomplish the project's bridge deck replacement.

Alternative 1.a(70) -	Same Width Deck Replacement – Maintain 3 Lanes WB (on bridge only) & 4 Lanes EB ("5+2") - Modify existing and proposed shoulder cross slope.
Alternative 3.a(72) -	Widen Existing and New Bridge - Deck Replacement- Maintain 4 (min. 10') Lanes WB & EB ("6+2") - Modify existing and proposed shoulder cross slope

Alternative 3.b(72) -	Widen New Brid Maintain 3 Lanes Maintain 4 Lanes and proposed sho		
Alternative 3.c -	Widen EB Subst Replacement- M Phases 1 & 3 ("5 ("6+2")		
Alternative 5 -	New WB Bridge		

Each of the remaining maintenance of traffic alternatives has been developed in accordance with the ODOT Traffic Engineering Manual, Section 600, Temporary Traffic Control, 630-5 Maintenance of Traffic Alternative Analysis (MOTAA). Included in the Appendix of the Maintenance of Traffic Alternatives Analysis Report are the components as required by the TEM. The Appendix includes:

- components and the relationship to the MOT configuration.
- Constraint Table Form (Form 696-1a) comparing the five remaining alternatives.
- Bridge Information Form (Form 696-2a) comparing the five alternatives.
- Ramp Information Form (Form 696-3a) comparing the five alternatives.
- Cost Comparison Form (Form 696-4a) comparing the alternatives.

Additionally included in the Appendix is QUEWZ-98 work zone lane closure analysis output for each of the five remaining MOT Alternatives and the associated ODOT queue spreadsheet reflecting the anticipated queues for each alternative. A Work Zone Alternatives - Operational Comparison Form has been assembled and included in the Appendix to compare the relative delays associated with each MOT alternative. A baseline for the form includes analysis for the existing condition. The existing condition was analyzed to determine how the existing number of lanes and the hourly traffic volumes would be represented by QUEWZ, the ODOT queue spreadsheet, and HCS. The results of the existing condition from QUEWZ, ODOT queue spreadsheet, and HCS can then be compared to the MOT alternative restrictions.

#### Maintenance of Traffic QUEWZ and ODOT Queue Spreadsheet

The development of the MOTAA requires the comparative evaluation of traffic backups in multiple MOT traffic configurations. The initial step in the comparative MOT backup evaluation is to utilize computer software "QUEWZ" for the multiple MOT scenarios and utilize the output data for the volume of traffic through the work zone represented in "vehicles per lane per hour". An initial look at QUEWZ and the input data needed, along with supplemental information provided by ODOT in the TEM, Section 640-13.2 includes the following items:

lge - Deck Replacement- Phases 1 & 2 es WB & 4 Lanes EB ("5+2"); Phases 3 & 4 es WB & EB & ("6+2") – Modify existing oulder cross slope

tructure and Superstructure - Deck Iaintain 4 Lanes WB & EB all Phases; 5+3"); Phase 2 ("4+4"); Phases 4 & 5

in Median - Maintain 4 Lanes WB & EB

• Lane Configuration Diagrams and cross sections for each alternative at critical locations. The cross sections include lane widths, barrier locations, clear distance dimensions for each alternative. Transverse sections on the bridge deck under construction to show the substructure



- 1. Number of directions in which lanes are closed. In multiple scenarios we will maintain four lanes in the eastbound direction which is the same as the existing condition. However, due to the lane reductions and the distractions in the work zone it is not expected that the volume of traffic able to travel in the four lanes during the MOT will be the same as the traffic volume in the normal condition. To allow us to manipulate the QUEWZ input data for the maintenance of the four existing lanes we need to state that there are five lanes of existing traffic in the eastbound direction. This allows us to vary some of the input to account for narrower lanes, the presence of ramps, and passenger car equivalents for heavy vehicles on uphill grades, and work zone lengths.
- 2. Number of lanes in each direction across the bridge is 4.
- 3. Number of lanes open in the work zone for each direction will vary per individual MOT scenarios.
- 4. Length of closure. This will vary based upon direction and MOT scenario.
- 5. Time of lane closure and work zone activity. Time of closure will be 24 hours and due to the intensity of the work involved and the short timeline to complete the construction we are assuming work zone activity in all 24 hours.
- 6. Traffic volumes. Certified traffic for the project (2018) 146,190 ADT. Per the Traffic Academy for the Maintenance of Traffic handouts (2005 version) the analysis for the queue lengths must be calculated based on hourly data adjusted to a Friday in August (typically the busiest day of the year) to provide conservative queue length predictions. There is an ATR #583, located immediately to the east of the project limits which has daily hourly volumes. The 2012 average week day ADT is 163,000 and the average weekend ADT is 104,000. The 2012 hourly volume from ATR #583 for Friday, August 24<sup>th</sup>, which recorded a daily traffic total of 180,236 vehicles, was used in QUEWZ to generate user costs for each of the MOT scenarios.
- 7. Cost update factor. Used U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics and the CPI Inflation Calculator. \$1.00 in 1990 has the same buying power as \$1.78 in 2013. See attached.
- 8. Percentage of trucks, from certified traffic the T24=8%, the TD=5%, since the volume input is hourly use the TD of 5%.
- 9. Design speeds and work zone speeds, use the defaults.

Additionally, other QUEWZ input information was used to generate data for the MOTAA evaluation process as follows:

- 10. QUEWZ input information: "inbound" is "westbound" traffic and "outbound" is "eastbound" traffic.
- 11. User costs generated from QUEWZ based upon the "Cost Update Factor" were used as a comparison for MOT evaluation factor.

Work Zone capacity adjustment factors were applied in QUEWZ to account for specific conditions that exist in different phases or directions of travel.

12. Passenger car equivalent signified by an "E" in QUEWZ is based on profile grade in the work zone. An adjustment for the +2.69% grade in the eastbound direction was considered. From the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) Exhibit 11-11 PCE's for trucks and busses (Et) on Upgrades, a factor of 2.5 was used for the eastbound direction and a value of 1.5 was used for the westbound direction.

- MOT QUEWZ calculations.

The ODOT Queue spreadsheet was utilized to predict traffic queues in the multiple MOT scenarios. The vehicles per lane per hour, generated from QUEWZ was input into the ODOT spreadsheet along with actual hourly traffic volumes from ATR #583. A Maintenance of Traffic Alternatives Analysis (MOTAA) is required to meet ODOT Policy 516-003(P) for queue standards. Three queuing thresholds must be met for a successful MOT concept. The three queue thresholds are; a queue length of 0.75 miles is acceptable for an unlimited portion of the day, a queue length between 0.75 to 1.5 miles is acceptable for a maximum duration of 2 hours per day, and a queue length greater than 1.5 miles in not acceptable. Additionally, per the project scope a goal of providing a MOT concept capable of limiting traffic backups to less than <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> mile during non-peak hours (assuming 4 busiest consecutive AM hours and 4 busiest consecutive PM hours are considered the peak hours) was also requested. ODOT Policy 516-003(P) also states that where queues are normally present even without lane closures, the analysis shall compare existing queues to expected queues caused by the lane closures. These parameters were reviewed for each of the MOT scenarios and the percentage of traffic required to be diverted to meet the requirement for less than an additional 1.5 mile backup beyond the existing condition and the less than <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> mile backup during non-peak hours was developed in the ODOT spreadsheet.

#### Maintenance of Traffic – Analysis of Existing Conditions

An initial application of QUEWZ and the ODOT Queue spreadsheet was run to determine how the existing 4 lanes of traffic in each direction cope with the traffic volumes. A QUEWZ file was created and processed to evaluate the existing condition on the bridge on a normal day and on a day when a work zone is introduced. The inbound and outbound number of lanes was input as 5 in order to reduce them both to 4 and analyze the existing condition with and without a work zone. QUEWZ calculated the capacity of the westbound four lanes as 1800 vehicles per lane per hour without a work zone and 1560 vehicles per lane per hour within a work zone. The eastbound capacity was calculated as 1800 vehicles per lane per hour without a work zone and 1488 vehicles per lane per hour within a work zone. The difference in the capacity between the westbound and the eastbound work zone is the uphill grade to the east and an adjustment to the "E" (passenger car equivalent) from 1.5 in the westbound direction to 2.5 in the eastbound direction.

The vehicles per lane per hour capacity from QUEWZ was input into the ODOT spreadsheet for freeway work zone queues. With no work zone the 1800 vehicles per lane per hour result in no queues in the eastbound or the westbound direction. Introducing a work zone and reduced capacity in the westbound direction with 0% traffic diverted the result of the queue in the AM was 1.3 miles in the 7 AM hour and 7.0 miles in the 4 PM hour. In the eastbound direction with 0% traffic diverted the result of the queue in the AM was 1.8 miles in the 7 AM hour and 2.0 miles in the 5 PM hour. Therefore, relying on the calculations provided by QUEWZ and the ODOT queue spreadsheet, the existing traffic condition (4

13. Work Zone Intensity "I" as it relates to work zone lane widths was applied per the "EVALUATION OF TRAFFIC FLOW ANALYSIS TOOLS APPLIED TO WORK ZONES BASED ON FLOW DATA COLLECTED IN THE FIELD" also known as the Iowa Study. To judge what the existing condition is on the road during normal operating conditions a Work Zone Intensity of I=0 was used to indicate a 12' travel lane. During the MOT phases an intensity of I=80 was used to indicate an 11' lane and I=160 was used to represent a 10' lane.

14. Adjustments for the presence of Ramps "R" was left as a default value of 0 for all existing and



(12') lanes eastbound and westbound) within a work zone do not function adequately (maximum queue of over 1.5 miles) for the Friday in August traffic volumes. QUEWZ and the ODOT queue spreadsheet indicate that queues in excess of 1.5 miles are present during the peak demand times when any MOT restrictions are introduced.

The findings of the performance for the existing condition within a work zone lead us to consider the existing condition within a work zone for a low volume day during construction, and the calculations QUEWZ and the ODOT queue spreadsheet will yield. The QUEWZ capacity does not change based upon the traffic volumes, therefore the westbound four lanes remain at 1560 vehicles per lane per hour and the eastbound lanes at 1488, the same volumes as calculated for a Friday from above. Traffic volumes were input into the ODOT spreadsheet for freeway work zone queues for a Monday in the month of April, 2012. In the westbound direction with 0% traffic diverted the result of the queue in the AM was 1.3 miles in the 7 AM hour and 4.5 miles in the 5 PM hour. In the eastbound direction with 0% traffic diverted the result of the queue in the 5 PM hour.

The results of the QUEWZ and ODOT queue spreadsheet indicate that when a work zone is introduced and the 4 (12') existing lanes of traffic are maintained in each direction the traffic volumes for a Monday in April and a Friday in August result in traffic queues in excess of 1.5 miles in various instances. Non-peak hour queues of less than <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> mile in length are present in only one of the existing lane configurations. See the table below for a summary.

EXISTING IR 480 LANE CONFIGURATION - ABILITY TO MEET MOT THRESHOLDS										
		West	bound			Eastbound				
MOT Queue Thresholds	Monc Ap	lay in oril	Friday in August		Monday in April		Friday in August			
	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM		
Number of occurrences of queue greater than 1.5 miles	0	3	0	3	1	1	1	2		
Number of occurrences of 1/4 mile queue in non-peak hour	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0		

To help gauge the results of QUEWZ and the ODOT queue spreadsheet to predict the potential backups the existing condition was monitored during the AM and PM peak hours during the month of August, 2013 to visually determine the existing density and become comfortable with the computer generated queues resulting from reduced densities within the work zones. To accomplish the field observation REL utilized the ODOT OHGO Real-time Ohio traffic web site and the available web cameras along the IR 480 corridor near the project limits. Screen captures were collected every thirty minutes during the AM and PM peaks. ATR data for the corresponding days and times was collected. The video evidence of AM and PM peak traffic volumes (capacities) and how this correlates to queues is shown below. The table above indicates that in the westbound direction with a volume of 1560 vehicles per lane per hour there are 3 PM hours where the queue is calculated to exceed 1.5 miles, 4 PM through 6 PM. Video collected during these hours in August 2013 indicates that the existing traffic in the westbound direction currently operates above 1650 vehicles per lane per hour and queues along the corridor are present.

Below are screen captures of a typical AM and PM traffic volume (capacities) in August showing the traffic on the bridge in the top middle view and the resulting slowdown indicated by the colored segment of IR 480 WB on the lower map near the "Garfield Heights" label. The actual hourly traffic volumes collected during the web cam screen shots are shown below each. Red segments indicates prevailing traffic speeds of between 0 and 25 mph, although during the collection of data there never appeared to be traffic approaching a complete stop on the IR 480 corridor. See pictures below.



OHGO web camera screen captures – IR 480 – Thursday, August 8, 2013, 5:08pm Actual Hourly Traffic Volumes: 6231 Eastbound = 1558 vehicles per lane per hour 6663 Westbound = 1666 vehicles per lane per hour





OHGO web camera screen captures – IR 480 – Friday, August 9, 2013, 8:00am Actual Hourly Traffic Volumes: 4829 Eastbound = 1207 vehicles per lane per hour 5630 Westbound = 1408 vehicles per lane per hour



OHGO web camera screen captures – IR 480 – Friday, August 30, 2013, 8:30am Actual Hourly Traffic Volumes: 5763 Eastbound = 1441 vehicles per lane per hour 5736 Westbound = 1434 vehicles per lane per hour



OHGO web camera screen captures – IR 480 – Friday, August 30, 2013, 5:00pm Actual Hourly Traffic Volumes: 6617 Eastbound = 1654 vehicles per lane per hour 7354 Westbound = 1839 vehicles per lane per hour

It appears that the results provided by QUEWZ and the ODOT Queue spreadsheet for the existing condition without a work zone are not reflective of the actual conditions. AM and PM peak hours routinely appeared to have traffic slowing as the volumes approached 1600 vehicles per lane per hour. As a comparison the peak AM and PM hourly traffic volumes used in the ODOT Queue spreadsheet were input into HCS Basic Freeway Segments Operational Analysis. The results of the HCS analysis represented in capacity (vehicles per lane per hour), level of service and average passenger car speed through the segment are shown on the Work Zone Alternatives – Operational Comparison spreadsheet. HCS indicates that the freeway segment operates at a level of service D for the AM and the PM peak hours with an average passenger car speed of over 60 mph. The HCS input was not adjusted to consider the impact that the IR 77 ramps and the Transportation Boulevard Ramps may have on the efficiency of the roadway segment. Therefore it is assumed that the capacity, level of service, and average speed are slightly optimistic for the actual field conditions.

The QUEWZ and the ODOT Queue spreadsheet results were also compared to the ODOT permitted Lane Closure Chart (PLCM) to determine the correlation between these two analysis tools. The capacity calculated for the eastbound direction in QUEWZ is essentially the same as the capacity shown on the PLCM (1488 veh/lane/hour in QUEWZ vs. 1490 veh/lane/hour in PLCM). In the westbound direction QUEWZ calculates a larger work zone capacity than what is shown on the PLCM (1560 veh/lane/hour in QUEWZ vs. 1490 veh/lane/hour in PLCM). The AM and PM peak hours from the ATR used in the ODOT Queue spreadsheet fall within the limits of the PLCM closure restriction times.



The result of these operational comparisons is that the QUEWZ and ODOT Queue spreadsheet offer a conservative calculation for the actual conditions that could be expected in the field. The results of the ODOT Queue spreadsheet represented in queue lengths provide a relative comparison between the different MOT scenarios and do not necessarily indicate the queue lengths calculated will be present during construction.

#### **Maintenance of Traffic Alternatives – QUEWZ Evaluation**

For each of the five MOT alternatives being developed a QUEWZ evaluation was completed and the associated MOT traffic volumes were calculated. The MOT traffic volumes from QUEWZ were input into the ODOT Queue spreadsheet along with the hourly traffic volumes from ATR #583 for a Friday in August, 2012 and MOT queues were calculated. Below is a summary of the QUEWZ and ODOT spreadsheet results for each of the five MOT Alternatives:

<u>Alternative 1.a(70)</u> - <u>Same Width Deck Replacement</u> – Maintain 3 Lanes WB (on bridge only) & 4 Lanes EB ("5+2") - Modify existing and proposed shoulder cross slope. Phase 1 and 2 MOT traffic configuration and Phase 3 and 4 MOT traffic configurations are essentially the same.

Phase 1 and 2 provides 3 (11') westbound MOT travel lanes and 2 (11') eastbound travel lanes on the left bridge. The eastbound (right) bridge is under construction and provides for 2 (11') eastbound MOT travel lanes. QUEWZ output file ir480\_23.out was prepared for the right bridge and file ir480\_24.out was prepared for the left bridge. The right bridge carries the IR 480 eastbound through traffic and the left bridge carries the IR 480 traffic that is from the IR 77 ramps. The results from QUEWZ for the volume of traffic through the work zone is the same based upon the direction of travel. Westbound MOT traffic volume is 1482 vehicles per lane per hour and eastbound MOT traffic volume is 1413 vehicles per lane per hour.

Using the MOT traffic volumes from QUEWZ the ODOT Queue spreadsheet queue lengths for the MOT traffic was calculated. Excel file CUY480WB\_2Aug\_Fri for the westbound traffic indicates a maximum queue of 47.4 miles in the PM peak. The percentage of traffic diversion required to limit the queue to a 1.5 mile maximum backup beyond the existing condition is 26%, an average of 1650 vehicles per hour during the PM peak hours. The queue length for the IR 480 through traffic crossed over to the left bridge is in Excel file CUY480EB\_2Aug\_Fri and indicates a maximum queue of 12.2 miles in the AM peak. The percentage of traffic diversion required to limit the queue to a 1.5 mile maximum backup beyond the existing condition is 18%, an average of 500 vehicles per hour during the AM peak hours. The queue length for the IR 77 ramps on the right bridge has a maximum queue of 3.6 miles in the PM peak. The percentage of traffic diversion required to limit the queue to a 1.5 mile maximum backup beyond the existing condition is 18%, an average of 500 vehicles per hour during the AM peak hours.

Phases 3 and 4 provide 4 (11') eastbound MOT travel lanes and 1 (12') westbound travel lane on the right bridge. The westbound (left) bridge is under construction and provides for 2 (11') westbound MOT travel lanes. QUEWZ output file ir480\_25.out was prepared for the right bridge and file ir480\_26.out was prepared for the left bridge. The right bridge carries 4 lanes of IR 480 eastbound traffic and one through lane of IR 480 westbound traffic, the left bridge carries westbound IR 480 through traffic or westbound IR 480 traffic to the IR 77 ramps. The results from QUEWZ for the

volume of traffic through the work zone is the same based upon the direction of travel. Westbound MOT traffic volume is 1482 vehicles per lane per hour and eastbound MOT traffic volume is 1413 vehicles per lane per hour.

Using the MOT traffic volumes from QUEWZ the ODOT Queue spreadsheet queue lengths for the MOT traffic was calculated. Excel file CUY480EB\_2Aug\_Fri for the eastbound traffic indicates a maximum queue of 4.1 miles in the PM peak. The percentage of traffic diversion required to limit the queue to a 1.5 mile maximum backup beyond the existing condition is 2%, an average of 120 vehicles per hour during the PM peak hours. The queue length for the IR 480 through traffic crossed over to the right bridge is in Excel file CUY480WB\_2Aug\_Fri. The hourly traffic volumes used for the single lane crossover is approximately a third of the total westbound traffic. The ODOT Queue spreadsheet indicates a maximum queue of 48.9 miles in the PM peak. The percentage of traffic diversion required to limit the queue to a 1.5 mile maximum backup beyond the existing condition is 26%, an average of 560 vehicles per hour during the PM peak hours. The queue length for the IR 480 westbound traffic on the right bridge has a maximum queue of 48.9 miles in the PM peak. The percentage of traffic diversion required to limit the queue to a 1.5 mile maximum backup beyond the existing condition is 26%, an average of 1500 vehicles per hour during the PM peak hours. The queue length for the IR 480 westbound traffic on the right bridge has a maximum queue of 48.9 miles in the PM peak. The percentage of traffic diversion required to limit the queue to a 1.5 mile maximum backup beyond the existing condition is 26%, an average of 1110 vehicles per hour during the PM peak hours.

The results of QUEWZ and the ODOT spreadsheet indicate that the reduction of the westbound traffic from 4 lanes to 3 lanes results in anticipated queue lengths in excess of 45 miles with the duration of the queues lasting 18 hours of the day. The 4 lane MOT eastbound lane configurations result in slightly larger queues and durations than the existing condition.

<u>Alternative 3.a(72)</u> - Widen Existing and New Bridge - Deck Replacement- Maintain 4 (min. 10') Lanes WB & EB ("6+2") - Modify existing and proposed shoulder cross slope. Phase 1 and 2 MOT traffic configuration and Phase 3 and 4 MOT traffic configurations are essentially the same.

Phase 1 and 2 provides 4 (10') westbound MOT travel lanes and 2 (10') eastbound travel lanes on the left bridge. The eastbound (right) bridge is under construction and provides for 2 (12') eastbound MOT travel lanes. QUEWZ output file ir480\_31.out was prepared for the right bridge and file ir480\_32.out was prepared for the left bridge. The left bridge carries the IR 480 eastbound through traffic and the right bridge carries the IR 480 traffic that is from the IR 77 ramps. The results from QUEWZ for the eastbound volume of traffic through the work zone on the right bridge maintaining 12 foot MOT lanes is 1488 vehicles per lane per hour. The MOT eastbound traffic volume on the left bridge maintaining 10 foot MOT lanes is 1339 vehicles per lane per hour. Westbound MOT traffic volumes are the same, 1404 vehicles per lane per hour.

Using the MOT traffic volumes from QUEWZ the ODOT Queue spreadsheet queue lengths for the MOT traffic was calculated. Excel file CUY480WB\_2Aug\_Fri\_ALT3a72 for the westbound traffic indicates a maximum queue of 12.7 miles in the PM peak. The percentage of traffic diversion required to limit the queue to a 1.5 mile maximum backup beyond the existing condition is 6%, an average of 380 vehicles per hour during the PM peak hours. The queue length for the IR 480 through traffic crossed over to the left bridge is in Excel file CUY480EB\_2Aug\_Fri\_ALT3a72 and indicates a maximum queue of 18.1 miles in the PM peak. The percentage of traffic diversion required to limit the queue to a 1.5 mile maximum backup beyond the existing condition is 21%, an average of 780 vehicles per hour during the AM peak hours. The queue length for the IR 480 eastbound traffic from the IR 77 ramps on the right



bridge has a maximum queue of 2.2 miles in the PM peak. The 2 (12') MOT lanes with the ramp traffic only function better than the current condition, therefore no traffic diversion is necessary.

Phases 3 and 4 provide 4 (10') eastbound MOT travel lanes and 2 (10') westbound travel lanes on the right bridge. The westbound (left) bridge is under construction and provides for 2 (12') westbound MOT travel lanes. QUEWZ output file ir480\_33.out was prepared for the right bridge and file ir480\_34.out was prepared for the left bridge. The right bridge carries 4 lanes of IR 480 eastbound traffic and two through lanes of IR 480 westbound traffic, the left bridge carries westbound IR 480 through traffic or westbound IR 480 traffic to the IR 77 ramps. The results from QUEWZ for the westbound volume of traffic through the work zone on the left bridge maintaining 12 foot MOT lanes is 1560 vehicles per lane per hour. The MOT westbound traffic volume on the right bridge maintaining 10 foot MOT lanes is 1404 vehicles per lane per hour. Eastbound MOT traffic volumes are the same, 1339 vehicles per lane per hour.

Using the MOT traffic volumes from QUEWZ the ODOT Queue spreadsheet queue lengths for the MOT traffic was calculated. Excel file CUY480WB\_2Aug\_Fri\_ALT3a72 for the eastbound traffic indicates a maximum queue of 6.2 miles in the PM peak. The percentage of traffic diversion required to limit the queue to a 1.5 mile maximum backup beyond the existing condition is 3%, an average of 360 vehicles per hour during the PM peak hours. The queue length for the IR 480 through traffic crossed over to the right bridge is in Excel file CUY480WB\_2Aug\_Fri\_ALT3a72. The hourly traffic volumes used for the cross over is half of the total westbound traffic. The ODOT Queue spreadsheet indicates a maximum queue of 12.7 miles in the PM peak. The percentage of traffic diversion required to limit the queue to a 1.5 mile maximum backup beyond the existing condition is 6%, an average of 190 vehicles per hour during the PM peak hours. The queue length for the IR 480 westbound traffic on the right bridge has a maximum queue of 6.2 miles in the PM peak. The 2 (12') MOT lanes on the left bridge with half of the westbound traffic volume functions better than the current condition, therefore no traffic diversion is necessary.

The results of QUEWZ and the ODOT spreadsheet indicate that the split of the IR 480 eastbound traffic on the cross over in Phases 1 and 2 results in anticipated queue lengths in excess of 18 miles with the duration of the queues lasting 15 hours of the day. The IR 480 westbound traffic in Phases 1 and 2 with 4 (10') MOT lanes functions the same as the two westbound cross over lanes in Phase 3 and 4 with anticipated queue lengths in excess of 12 miles with the duration of the queues lasting 10 hours of the day.

<u>Alternative 3.b(72) - Widen New Bridge - Deck Replacement</u>- Phases 1 & 2 Maintain 3 Lanes WB & 4 Lanes EB ("5+2"); Phases 3 & 4 Maintain 4 Lanes WB & EB & ("6+2") – Modify existing and proposed shoulder cross slope. Alternative 3.b(72) is a combination of Alternative 1(70) in Phases 1 and 2 and Alternative 3.a(72) in Phases 3 and 4. MOT traffic configurations are the same and the resulting MOT traffic volumes, queues and required diversions are the same as the other MOT alternatives.

<u>Alternative 3.c - Widen EB Substructure and Superstructure – Deck Replacement</u>- Maintain 4 Lanes WB & EB all Phases; Phases 1 & 3 ("5+3"); Phase 2 ("4+4"); Phases 4 & 5 ("6+2"). Phase 1 and 3 MOT traffic configuration and Phase 4 and 5 MOT traffic configurations are essentially the same. Phase 2 MOT traffic configuration is similar to the existing lane configuration with a slight reduction in the eastbound volume due to narrow lane widths.

Phase 1 and 3 provides 4 (11') westbound MOT travel lanes and one (10') eastbound travel lane on the left bridge. The eastbound (right) bridge is under construction and provides for 3 (11') eastbound MOT travel lanes. QUEWZ output file ir480\_35.out was prepared for the right bridge and file ir480\_36.out was prepared for the left bridge. The left bridge carries one lane of IR 480 eastbound through traffic. In Phase 1 the right bridge carries the remaining two lanes of IR 480 through traffic and two lanes of IR 77 ramp traffic which merge with IR 480 traffic similar to the existing condition. In Phase 3 the right bridge carries the remaining IR 480 through traffic through the work zone on the right bridge maintaining 11 foot MOT lanes is 1413 vehicles per lane per hour. The MOT eastbound traffic volume on the left bridge maintaining a 12 foot MOT lane is 1488 vehicles per lane per hour. Westbound MOT traffic volumes are the same, 1482 vehicles per lane per hour.

Using the MOT traffic volumes from QUEWZ the ODOT Queue spreadsheet queue lengths for the MOT traffic was calculated. Excel file CUY480WB\_2Aug\_Fri\_ALT3c for the westbound traffic indicates a maximum queue of 9.1 miles in the PM peak. The percentage of traffic diversion required to limit the queue to a 1.5 mile maximum backup beyond the existing condition is 1%, an average of 65 vehicles per hour during the PM peak hours. The queue length for the IR 480 through traffic crossed over to the left bridge is in Excel file CUY480EB\_2Aug\_Fri and indicates a maximum queue of 2.5 miles in the AM peak. This queue was generated using 45% of the IR 480 eastbound traffic hourly volumes. The single lane carrying 45% of the through traffic functions better than the current condition, therefore no traffic diversion is necessary. The queue length for 55% of IR 480 eastbound traffic and IR 77 ramp traffic on the right bridge has a maximum queue of 8.1 miles in the PM peak. The percentage of traffic diversion required to limit the queue to a 1.5 mile maximum backup beyond the existing condition is 7%, an average of 340 vehicles per hour during the PM peak hours.

Phase 2 MOT traffic configuration is similar to the existing lane configuration with a slight reduction in the eastbound volume due to the 11 foot lane widths. The 4 (11') eastbound MOT lanes are the same as the eastbound MOT lane configuration and result in the same traffic volumes, queues and required diversions MOT Alternative 1(70), Phase 3 and 4. The westbound MOT lane configuration is the same as the existing condition.

Phase 4 and 5 provides 4 (11') eastbound MOT travel lanes and 2 (12') westbound travel lanes on the right bridge. The westbound (left) bridge is under construction and provides for 2 (11') westbound MOT travel lanes. QUEWZ output file ir480\_37.out was prepared for the right bridge and file ir480\_38.out was prepared for the left bridge. The left bridge carries 2 lanes of IR 480 westbound traffic and IR 77 exit ramp traffic. The right bridge carries the remaining IR 480 through traffic. The MOT westbound traffic volume on the left bridge maintaining 2 (11') MOT lanes is 1482 vehicles per lane per hour. Westbound MOT traffic on the right bridge maintaining 2 (12') lanes is 1560 vehicles per lane per hour. The 4 (11') eastbound MOT lanes are the same as MOT Alternative 1(70), Phase 3 and 4 and result in the same traffic volumes, queues and required diversions as MOT Alternative 1(70), Phase 3 and 4.

Using the MOT traffic volumes from QUEWZ the ODOT Queue spreadsheet queue lengths for the MOT traffic was calculated. The queues for the westbound traffic hourly volumes were generated using 50% split of the total IR 480 westbound hourly traffic volumes. Excel file CUY480WB\_2Aug\_Fri\_ALT3c for the westbound through traffic on the right bridge indicates a



maximum queue of 6.2 miles in the PM peak. The 2 (12') MOT lanes on the right bridge with half of the westbound traffic volume functions better than the current condition, therefore no traffic diversion is necessary. The queue length for the IR 480 through traffic and IR 77 ramp traffic remaining on the left bridge is in Excel file CUY480WB\_2Aug\_Fri\_ALT3c and indicates a maximum queue of 9.1 miles in the PM peak. The percentage of traffic diversion required to limit the queue to a 1.5 mile maximum backup beyond the existing condition is 1%, an average of 35 vehicles per hour during the PM peak hours.

Alternative 3.c maintains four lanes of traffic in all MOT phases. The results of QUEWZ and the ODOT spreadsheet indicate that the reduction of lane widths in all phases produce a slight increase in the anticipated queues. The overall number of hours for which queues are calculated during the MOT phases are the same as the existing condition with the exception of Phase 3 eastbound IR 480 which will reduce the number of through lanes from 3 to 2 prior to the bridge. Phase 3 will result in queue lengths similar to Alternative 1.a Phases 1 and 2.

Alternative 5 - New WB Bridge in Median - Maintain 4 Lanes WB & EB. Alternative 5 maintains four lanes of traffic in all MOT phases. The lane widths in all MOT conditions will be 12 feet, theoretically, the hourly volume of traffic per lane per hour during the construction should be the same as the existing condition. Alternative 5 should have no impact on traffic on the bridge. The IR 77 ramp lanes to IR 480 eastbound will be merged from 2 lanes to 1 lane prior to entering the mainline in Phase 1 when the eastbound lanes are moved onto the new bridge constructed in the median. The single ramp lane will become an add lane to the IR 480 eastbound traffic.

#### Maintenance of Traffic Alternatives – Summary

Five maintenance of traffic alternatives have been developed in accordance with the ODOT Traffic Engineering Manual, Section 600, Temporary Traffic Control, 630-5 Maintenance of Traffic Alternative Analysis (MOTAA). Included in the Appendix of the Maintenance of Traffic Alternatives Analysis Report are the components as required by the TEM. The Appendix includes:

- Lane Configuration Diagrams and typical sections for each alternative at critical locations.
- Constraint Table Form (Form 696-1a)
- Bridge Information Form (Form 696-2a)
- Ramp Information Form (Form 696-3a)
- Cost Comparison Form (Form 696-4a)
- Work Zone Alternatives Operational Comparison Form

A summary of the information for each Maintenance of Traffic Alternative compiled on the forms is provided below. Information presented on the forms which may be interpreted as significant will be mentioned. Information that is similar to all alternatives or considered medium impact will not be noted. Conditions that have very little impact or may be considered an improvement will be noted.

Maintenance of Traffic Alternative 1.a(70) - Same Width Deck Replacement – Maintain 3 Lanes Westbound and 4 Lanes Eastbound.

#### Constraint Table

• Does not meet the Work Zone Policy. MOTEC required. Only 3 lanes maintained westbound across the bridge. Four lanes of MOT traffic are maintained on the

eastbound bridge. However, in Phases 1 and 2 IR 480 eastbound through lanes are reduced from 3 to 2 prior to the bridge. Bridge Information

• The new IR 480-18.42 L & R bridge deck widths will match the existing deck widths.

#### Ramp Information

- (No merge required).
- 4.
- IR 480 through lanes crossed over to the left bridge.
- westbound, three lanes in Phases 1 and 2, and two lanes in Phases 3 and 4.
- Cost Comparison
  - \$21,687,221

#### **Operational Comparison**

• The 3 westbound MOT lanes in all four phases produce queue lengths that are

Alternative 3.a(72) - Widen Existing and New Bridge Deck – Deck Replacement – Maintain 4 Lanes Westbound and 4 Lanes Eastbound.

#### Constraint Table

480 eastbound through lanes are reduced from 3 to 2 prior to the bridge.

#### Bridge Information

- The existing IR 480-18.42 L bridge deck will be widened in a pre-phase to maintain four westbound MOT lanes in Phases 1 and 2.
- four westbound lanes during construction.

#### **Ramp** Information

- (No merge required).
- Restricted access from the IR 480 westbound exit ramps to IR 77 in Phases 3 and 4.
- IR 480 through lanes crossed over to the left bridge.
- Transportation Boulevard entrance ramp will merge into 2 lanes on IR 480 westbound in Phases 3 and 4.

#### Cost Comparison

• \$29,154,159 **Operational Comparison** 

• Improved operation of ramps from IR 77 to eastbound IR 480 in Phases 1 and 2

• Restricted access from the IR 480 westbound exit ramps to IR 77 in Phases 3 and

• No access to the Transportation Boulevard exit in Phases 1 and 2 from eastbound

• Transportation Boulevard entrance ramp will merge into reduced lanes on IR 480

over six times longer than the existing condition with a work zone introduced.

• Does not meet the Work Zone Policy. MOTEC required. Four lanes of MOT traffic are maintained on the bridge eastbound. However, in Phases 1 and 2 IR

• The new IR 480-18.42 L & R bridge decks will be widened two feet to maintain

• Improved operation of ramps from IR 77 to eastbound IR 480 in Phases 1 and 2

• No access to the Transportation Boulevard exit in Phases 1 and 2 from eastbound



- The westbound MOT lanes produce queue lengths that are almost double the existing condition due to the reduction in lane width.
- The eastbound MOT lanes crossed over to the left bridge in Phases 1 and 2 produce queue lengths that are nine times the existing condition due to the reduction in lane widths.

Alternative 3.b(72) - Widen New Bridge Deck - Deck Replacement- Phases 1 and 2 will Maintain 3 Lanes Westbound and 4 Lanes Eastbound ("5+2"); Phases 3 and 4 will Maintain 4 Lanes Westbound and Eastbound ("6+2").

#### Constraint Table

• Does not meet the Work Zone Policy. MOTEC required. Only 3 lanes maintained westbound across the bridge in Phases 1 and 2. Four lanes of MOT traffic are maintained on the bridge eastbound. However, in Phases 1 and 2 IR 480 eastbound through lanes are reduced from 3 to 2 prior to the bridge.

#### **Bridge Information**

- The new IR 480-18.42 R bridge decks will be widened two feet to accommodate maintaining four westbound lanes during Phases 3 and 4.
- Maintenance of Traffic Phases 1 and 2 are similar to Alternative 1 Phases 1 and 2; Maintenance of Traffic phases 3 and 4 are similar to Alternative 3.a Phases 3 and 4

#### Ramp Information

- Improved operation of ramps from IR 77 to eastbound IR 480 in Phases 1 and 2 (No merge required).
- Restricted access from IR 480 westbound to the IR 77 ramps in Phases 3 and 4
- No access to the Transportation Boulevard exit in Phases 1 and 2 from eastbound IR 480 through lanes crossed over to the left bridge.
- Transportation Boulevard entrance ramp will merge into reduced lanes on IR 480 westbound, 3lanes in Phases 1 and 2, and 2 lanes in Phases 3 and 4.

#### **Cost Comparison**

- \$30,068,768
- **Operational Comparison** 
  - The 3 westbound MOT lanes in Phases 1 and 2 produce queue lengths that are over six times higher than the existing condition.

Alternative 3.c - Widen Eastbound Substructure and Superstructure – Widen Eastbound Bridge Deck – Deck Replacement. Maintain 4 Lanes Westbound and Eastbound all Phases. Phases 1 and 3 utilize a ("5+3") MOT concept; Phase 2 is ("4+4"); and Phases 4 and 5 utilize a ("6+2") MOT concept.

#### Constraint Table

• Does not meet the Work Zone Policy. MOTEC required. Four lanes of MOT traffic are maintained on the bridge for both eastbound and westbound. However, in Phase 3 one IR 480 eastbound lane is crossed over the left bridge. The two

eastbound lanes remaining in the normal lane locations are reduced to a single lane west of the bridge to eliminate a merge with the two IR 77 ramp lanes. Therefore only 2 eastbound IR 480 lanes are maintained for a short distance west of the bridge.

#### Bridge Information

- Additional cost to widen the substructure and superstructure.
- Additional 23 feet of bridge deck width to be underutilized in existing lane configuration.
- traffic. The additional lane would become a drop lane at Transportation Boulevard.
- widths and portable concrete barrier.

#### Ramp Information

- Phases 4 and 5.
- Cost Comparison
  - \$71,079,381

#### **Operational Comparison**

Alternative 5 - New Westbound Bridge in Median - Maintain 4 Lanes Westbound and Eastbound.

#### Constraint Table

new westbound bridge.

#### Bridge Information

- Ramp Information
  - The ramps from IR 77 to eastbound IR 480 in Phase 1 will be merged into a single lane prior to the bridge and become an add lane.

#### Cost Comparison

• \$111,996,569

#### **Operational Comparison**

The MOTAA has been provided for 13 Alternatives. Numerous Alternatives were not considered after an initial evaluation determined that there were fatal flaws that eliminated them from further consideration. Five alternatives were carried forwarded and the components as outlined in the ODOT Traffic Engineering Manual were assembled for each. Additionally, an operational comparison was prepared to determine the efficiency which each could be constructed while maintaining traffic. The information has been presented and based upon the information ODOT will select a preferred maintenance of traffic alternative.

• An additional eastbound could be marked and utilized by the IR 77 entrance ramp

• Phase 2 will remain in place over a winter season with reduced lane and shoulder

• IR 480 eastbound is reduced from three lanes to two lanes west of the bridge in

• Restricted access from IR 480 westbound to the IR 77 ramps in Phases 4 and 5.

• The operation of the westbound and eastbound MOT lanes produce queue lengths and number of queue hours that are only slightly above the existing condition.

• Meets the Work Zone policy. Four lanes maintained on the bridge at all times. New westbound alignments and pavement will be required accommodated the

• Expensive to replace the existing westbound bridge with a new westbound bridge.

• The MOT traffic volumes and queues will be the same as the existing condition.



# **MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC ALTERNATIVE ANALYSIS**

# CUY-480-18.42 L/R, PID No. 90591

### **APPENDIX**

ODOT Permitted Lane Closure Chart – IR 480 District 12 Permitted Lane Closure Chart – IR 480 Lane Configuration Diagrams and Cross Sections Work Zone Constraint Table Bridge Information Table Ramp Information Table Cost Comparison Table Operational Comparison Table QUEWZ output ODOT Queue spreadsheet output



								ODOT Permitted Lar	ne Closur	e				
District: 12	County: CU	Y Route: II	R-480	DIR:	EAST	Calculation Year: 2013	Section:	21 over pass to Camden (EAS	ST)					
COUNTY BEGIN I COUNTY END LC STATE BEGIN LC STATE END LOG	LOG 17.89 DG 21.54 DG 20.06 23.71	0 Calculation M Roac 0 Lanes per di	Method d Class Terrain rection	A JRBAN ( LEVEL 4	ATR-Actu (Urban or R	al Hourly Breakdowns wit	ith ADT	ATR# ATR Year Percent Trucks Annualized ADT	583 2012 5 0	Seasonal Tra ATR ATR ATR ATR Capacity	ffic Adjustmer Weekday 89114 82191 79368 1490	t Weeken 61397 62173 57798 per lane	d Summer Spring/Fall Winter	
There shall be no la	ane closures c eceding the H	on Holidays or Ho oliday weekend u	liday weeke Intil 6 am the	nds. The fo e day after t	ollowing are of the holiday v	considered holidays. Memorial Da veekend Ex Holiday falls on a Mo	ay, Fourth of londay then	f July, Labor Day, Thanksgiving, no lane closures from 12 noon o	Christmas , N n Friday until	lew Years, Easter. 6 am Tuesday	No lane closure	es are allowed	after 12 noon oi	1 the day pre
Ratio of Lanes Season W Hour of the Day M 0-1AM	4:3 Summer Sur Veekday Wee ION-FRI SAT 261 4	Traffic Volum nmer Spring/Fa ekend Weekday -SUN MON-FR 14 271	le per open III Spring/Fa Weekend II SAT-SUN 423	lane III Winter J Weekday I MON-FR 229	Winter y Weekend N SAT-SUN 353		Le * 4	egend = Lane Closure(s) Not P :3 : Ratio Of Lanes	ermitted					Ratio Se Hour o 0-
2-3AM 3-4AM 4-5AM	161     2       144     2       157     1       273     1	63     162       44     148       92     160       85     258	263 243 188 212	136 138 156 290	212 208 145 168		4 3	: Available Lanes : Lanes Open						1-, 2-; 3- 4-
5-6AM 6-7AM 7-8AM	763 3 * <b>1883</b> 5 * <b>2246</b> 7	17     722       68     * 1700       78     * 1945	337 580 792	775 * 1731 * 1791	299 473 733		s	Season Period Summer June 1 - Aug pring/Fall Mar 1 - May 31 & Se	g 31 pt 1 - Nov 30	)				5- 6- 7-
8-9AM 9-10AM 10-11AM	* <b>1879</b> 9 * <b>1500</b> 10 1450 11	18     * 1766       023     * 1518       120     1399	990 1085 1149	* <b>1634</b> 1376 1324	896 1021 1089			Winter     Dec 1 - Feb       ast Lipdated : 03/29/13 4:15 PM	<u>29</u>					8- 9- 10-
12-12PM 12-1PM 1-2PM 2-3PM	1442     12       * 1504     13       * 1621     13       * 1793     13	245 1433   343 * 1503   354 * 1550   347 * 1721	1255 1361 1339 1234	1369 1450 * <b>1550</b> * <b>1634</b>	1242 1343 1326 1335									11- 12- 1- 2-
3-4PM 4-5PM 5-6PM	* 2117 13 * 2129 13 * 1994 12	333* 1790359* 1849258* 1891	1406 1381 1217	* 1699 * 1770 * 1887	1360 1317 1215									3- 4- 5-
6-7PM 7-8PM 8-9PM 9-10PM 10-11PM	* <b>1557</b> 1 <sup>-1</sup> 1106 9 833 8 909 8 1011 7	143     1403       52     1040       54     891       57     867       52     755	1107 1017 801 880 813	1374 978 831 907 904	983 834 702 733 746									6- 7- 8- 9- 10-
11-12AM	973 6	46 656	651	522	532									11-

12: Shoulder closures shall only be allowed at the times specified for lane closures 12.09: The contractor will be accessed Road User Costs in the amount determined by Quewz-98 for lanes that are closed outside of the PLCM. Road user costs are very high, most will be \$3,000. to \$6,000. per hour. In some cases road users costs may be higher.

12.11: Three lane closures may be taken on any 4 lane section from 1 a.m. to 5 a.m.

Home Search

Print

eceding a	holidav. Fo	r holidav we	eekends no	lane closure	s are allow	ed after 12
j						
of Lanes	4:2	Tra	ffic Volume	per open la	ane	
	Summer	Summer	Spring/Fall	Spring/Fall	Winter	Winter
eason	Weekday	Weekend	Weekday	Weekend	Weekday	Weekend
of the Day	MON-FRI	SAT-SUN	MON-FRI	SAT-SUN	MON-FRI	SAT-SUN
-1AM	392	621	406	635	344	530
-2AM	241	394	243	395	204	318
-3AM	216	366	222	365	207	312
-4AM	235	288	241	281	234	217
-5AM	410	278	387	318	435	252
-6AM	1144	476	1083	505	1163	448
-7AM	* 2825	852	* 2550	870	* 2597	709
-8AM	* 3369	1168	* 2918	1189	* 2687	1100
-9AM	* 2819	1377	* 2649	1486	* 2451	1345
10AM	* 2251	* 1535	* 2277	* 1628	* 2064	* 1532
-11AM	* 2175	* 1680	* 2098	* 1724	* 1987	* 1634
-12PM	* 2163	* 1868	* 2150	* 1883	* 2054	* 1863
-1PM	* 2256	* 2015	* 2255	* 2042	* 2175	* 2015
-2PM	* 2432	* 2031	* 2325	* 2009	* 2326	* 1990
-3PM	* 2690	* 2021	* 2582	* 1851	* 2452	* 2003
-4PM	* 3176	* 1999	* 2686	* 2108	* 2549	* 2040
-5PM	* 3193	* 2039	* 2774	* 2072	* 2655	* 1976
-6PM	* 2991	* 1886	* 2836	* 1826	* 2831	* 1823
-7PM	* 2336	* 1714	* 2105	* 1660	* 2061	1475
-8PM	* 1659	1428	* 1560	* 1525	1468	1251
-9PM	1249	1282	1336	1201	1247	1053
10PM	1363	1286	1301	1321	1361	1100
-11PM	* 1517	1129	1133	1219	1356	1118
-12AM	1460	969	984	976	783	798

							ODOT Permitted Lane Closure	
District: 12	County:	CUY	Route: IR	R-480	DIR:	WEST	Calculation Year: 2013 Section: Broadway on ramp to SR 21 overpass (WEST)	
COUNTY BEG COUNTY END STATE BEGIN STATE END LO	N LOG 1 LOG 2 LOG 2 DG 2	8.280 21.370 20.450 23.540	Calculation M Road T Lanes per dire	lethod Class errain ection	B JRBAN LEVEL 4	ATR-Hou (Urban or R	rly Breakdowns (with similar functionality) ATR# 583 ural) ATR Year 2012 Seasonal Traffic Adjustment Weekday Weekend ATR 179926 134944 Summer ATR 175590 128973 Spring/Fall Annualized ADT 145740 ATR 153411 110409 Winter Capacity 1490 per lane	
There shall be n	o lane closu	ures on H	Holidays or Holi	iday weeke	nds. The fo	blowing are	considered holidays. Memorial Day, Fourth of July, Labor Day, Thanksgiving, Christmas, New Years, Easter. No lane closures are allowed after 12 noon on	the day pre
Ratio of Lanes	4:3 Summer	Summ	Traffic Volume	e per open Spring/Fa	lane III Winter	Winter	Legend	Ratio
Season	Weekday	Weeke	end Weekday	Weekend	d Weekda	y Weekend		Se
Hour of the Day	MON-FRI	SAT-S	UN MON-FRI	SAT-SUN	MON-FR	RISAT-SUN		Hour c
0-1AM	253	431	228	339	199	290	4:3: Ratio Of Lanes	0-
1-2AM	160	270	) 156	216	136	185	4 : Available Lanes	1-
2-3AIVI	138	180	) 127	187	156	100	3 : Lanes Open	2-
3-4AM	226	142	0 170	170	247	1/6		3-
5-6AM	580	274	719	254	628	217	Season Period	5
6-7AM	1447	515	5 * 1495	496	1306	425	Summer June 1 - Aug 31	6-
7-8AM	* 2208	703	* 1913	708	* 1671	606	Spring/Fall Mar 1 - May 31 & Sept 1 - Nov 30	7-
8-9AM	* 1949	910	) * 1748	908	* 1527	777	Winter Dec 1 - Feb 29	8-
9-10AM	* 1503	1096	6 * <b>1556</b>	1130	1359	968		9-
10-11AM	1356	1233	3 1412	1272	1233	1089		10-
11-12PM	1418	1380	0 * <b>1561</b>	1422	1364	1218	Last Updated : 03/29/13 4:15 PM	11-
12-1PM	* 1535	1465	5 * <b>1620</b>	* 1574	1415	1347		12
1-2PM	* 1606	* 151	4 * 1717	* 1499	* 1500	1283		1-
2-3PM	* 1942	* 153	85 * 1919	* 1505	* 1677	1288		2-
3-4PM	* 2226	* 158	3 * 2110	* 1507	* 1844	1290		3-
4-5PM	* 2368	* 149	95 * 2153	1442	* 1881	1235		4-
5-6PM	* 2302	* 153	88 * 2287	1462	* 1998	1251		5-
6-7PM	* 2203	1428	8 * <b>1912</b>	1360	* 1671	1164		6-
7-8PM	1247	1195	5 1167	1058	1020	905		7-
8-9PM	894	1033	3 888	849	776	727		8-
9-10PM	902	928	833	802	728	687		9-1
10-11PM	/91	844	+ /45	655	651	560		
11-12AM	000	000	0   539	<u> </u>	4/1	453		11-

12: Shoulder closures shall only be allowed at the times specified for lane closures 12.09: The contractor will be accessed Road User Costs in the amount determined by Quewz-98 for lanes that are closed outside of the PLCM. Road user costs are very high, most will be \$3,000. to \$6,000. per hour. In some cases road users costs may be higher.

12.11: Three lane closures may be taken on any 4 lane section from 1 a.m. to 5 a.m.

Home Search

Print

eceding a	holiday. Fo	r holiday we	eekends no	lane closure	s are allow	ed after 12
of Lanes	4:2	Tra	ffic Volume	per open la	ane	
eason	Summer Weekday	Summer Weekend	Spring/Fall Weekday	Spring/Fall Weekend	Winter Weekday	Winter Weekend
of the Day	MON-FRI	SAT-SUN	MON-FRI	SAT-SUN	MON-FRI	SAT-SUN
-1AM	380	646	342	509	298	436
-2AM	240	406	234	324	205	277
-3AM	206	270	190	281	166	240
-4AM	218	225	268	226	234	194
-5AM	340	212	424	255	370	219
-6AM	870	411	1078	380	942	326
-7AM	* 2171	773	* 2243	744	* 1960	637
-8AM	* 3312	1054	* 2869	1062	* 2506	909
-9AM	* 2924	1364	* 2622	1362	* 2291	1166
10AM	* 2254	* 1644	* 2334	* 1695	* 2039	1451
-11AM	* 2034	* 1849	* 2118	* 1909	* 1850	* 1634
-12PM	* 2127	* 2069	* 2342	* 2134	* 2046	* 1827
-1PM	* 2302	* 2198	* 2430	* 2361	* 2123	* 2021
-2PM	* 2408	* 2271	* 2575	* 2249	* 2250	* 1925
-3PM	* 2913	* 2303	* 2879	* 2257	* 2515	* 1932
-4PM	* 3338	* 2374	* 3165	* 2260	* 2765	* 1935
-5PM	* 3553	* 2243	* 3229	* 2163	* 2821	* 1852
-6PM	* 3453	* 2307	* 3430	* 2193	* 2997	* 1877
-7PM	* 3304	* 2143	* 2868	* 2040	* 2506	* 1746
-8PM	* 1870	* 1792	* 1751	* 1586	* 1530	1358
-9PM	1341	* 1549	1332	1274	1164	1090
10PM	1353	1392	1250	1204	1092	1030
-11PM	1186	1267	1118	982	977	840
-12AM	882	974	808	794	706	680

480	Revision #12	WEEK	DAYS	WEEK		
LOCATION	DIRECTION LANES		1 LANE CLOSED	2 LANES CLOSED	1 LANE CLOSED	
I-77 exit ramps to I- 77entrance ramps	East	3	9am-3pm 7pm-6am	7:30pm-6am	8pm Fri-6am Mon	
I-77exit ramps to I-77 entrance ramps	West	3	10am -2pm 7pm-6am	8pm-6am	8pm Fri-6am Mon	
I-77 to Broadway	East & West	4	10am – 1pm: <sup>*</sup> Fridays 10am to 12pm. 7pm - бат	8pm - 6am	7pm Fri - 6am Mon	

\* No day time closures of Lane #4 across the I-480 bridge to Turney Ave. in the Eastbound direction.

Under Broadway	West	3	8:30pm - 6am	11:30pm - 5am	9pm Fri - 10:30am Sat 8pm Sat - 12pm Sun 8pm Sun - 6am Mon
Broadway Ramps to Camden	East	4	10am -1 pm 7pm - 6am	9pm - 6am	7pm Fri - 6am Mon
Camden to Broadway Ramps	West	4	10am-1pm 7pm - 6am	9pm - 6am	7pm Fri - 6am Mon

### ENDS

### 2 LANES CLOSED

9pm Fri-8am Sat 8pm Sat-10am Sun 8pm Sun-6am Mon

9pm Fri-8am Sat 8pm Sat-10am Sun 8pm Sun-6am Mon

8pm Fri - 10am Sat 8pm Sat - 12pm Sun 8pm Sun - 6am Mon

12am Sat - 7am Sat 12am Sun - 9am Sun 11:30pm Sun - 5am Mon

9pm Fri - 10am Sat 8pm Sat - 12pm Sun 8pm Sun - 6am Mon

10pm Fri - 9am Sat 9pmSat - 11am Sun 8pm Sun - 6am Mon







 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

1 ш S PHA 1 S TRAFFIC CTIONS ш ш S 0 **TYPICAL** MAINTI TIVE 1 4 ERN

 $\vdash$ 

A



TRANSVERSE SECTION SECTION D-D

MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC ALTERNATIVE 1 - PHASE 1

0

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 





012 IB 480\00501\muchtedate\00501W/113 444 11/20/2013 202510 DM C

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

CUY-480-18.42

A



MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC ALTERNATIVE 1 TYPICAL SECTIONS - PHASE 2

CUY-480-18.42



 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 



	2			
	PHASE			
OF TRAFFIC	SECTIONS -			
MAINTENANCE	ALTERNATIVE 1 TYPICAL			
80-18°42				

1

UΥ

ō



 $\bigcirc$ 



က ш S PHA 11 C TRAFFIC CTIONS OF SE( TYPICAL IAINTI T ≥ TERNA

. AL



 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

<u>TRANSVERSE SECTION</u> <u>SECTION D-D</u>

MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC ALTERNATIVE 1 - PHASE 3




	ო
	- PHASE
	6
F TRAFF	SECTION
0	_
MAINTENANCE	ALTERNATIVE 1 TYPICA

CUY-480-18.42

E



 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

S В PHA 1 C TRAFFIC CTIONS Ш ш 01 SI **TYPICAL** IAINTI M > TERNA

4

2 4 18 0  $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ 4 1. > CU

. AL



 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 





CUY-480-18.42





 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

2 4 18 0  $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ 4 1. > CU



 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 



MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC	RNATIVE 3.a (72) TYPICAL SECTIONS - PHASE 1:
	ALTERNATIVE
80-18.42	

4

CUΥ



 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

2 ш S PHA 11 S TRAFFIC SECTIONS MAINTENANCE OF 3.a (72) TYPICAL Ш TIV TERNA AL

> 4 18 0  $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ 4 1. CUΥ





 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 



	2
	- PHASE
TRAFFIC	SECTIONS
AINTENANCE OF	a (72) TYPICAL
M	ALTERNATIVE 3
18 .42	

CUΥ





က ш S PHA 1 S TRAFFIC SECTIONS ш — CAI ITENANCE (72) TYPIC MAINTI 3.a (7 Ш TIV TERNA AL

> 4 18 0  $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ 4 1. > CU



<u>IR-480 (WESTBOUND)</u>

IR-480 (EASTBOUND)

TRANSVERSE SECTION <u>SECTION D-D</u>

MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC ALTERNATIVE 3.a (72) - PHASE 3

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 





က ш S

PHA:

1

OF TRAFFIC ANSVERSE SECTION

ICE TR/

MAINTENAN (72) BRIDGE

a ကိ

ш



	- PHASE 3
TRAFFIC	SECTIONS
MAINTENANCE OF	ALTERNATIVE 3.a (72) TYPICAL
0-18.42	

cυγ



 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

4 ш S PHA 1 **TRAFFIC SECTIONS** TENANCE OF (72) TYPICAL MAINTI 3.a (7 Ш TIV TERNA AL

> 4 18 0  $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ 4 1. CUΥ



 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 



 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

	- PHASE 4
TRAFFIC	SECTIONS
MAINTENANCE OF	<b>SNATIVE 3.a (72) TYPICAL</b>

CUY-480-18.4





 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

1 ш S PHA 1 TRAFFIC SECTIONS IAINTENANCE OF 3.b (72) TYPICAL Σ ш TI< ALTERNA



 $\bigcirc$ 



 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

42

18

80

4

1

CUΥ



 $\bigcirc$ 

0

 $\bigcirc$ 

CUY-480-18.42



 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 



 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

	- PHASE 2
TRAFFIC	SECTIONS
MAINTENANCE OF	ALTERNATIVE 3.b (72) TYPICAL

CUY-480-18.





က ш S PHA 1 S TRAFFIC SECTIONS ш TENANCE OF (72) TYPICA MAINTI 3.b (7 Ш TIV TERNA AL

> 4 18 0  $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ 4 1. CUΥ



<u>IR-480 (WESTBOUND)</u>

IR-480 (EASTBOUND)

TRANSVERSE SECTION <u>SECTION D-D</u>

MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC ALTERNATIVE 3.b (72) - PHASE 3

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 





က

ш S

PHA:

1

OF TRAFFIC ANSVERSE SECTION

ICE TR/

MAINTENAN (72) BRIDGE

9 ကံ

ш

LTERNATIV



	- PHASE 3
TRAFFIC	SECTIONS
MAINTENANCE OF	ALTERNATIVE 3.b (72) TYPICAL

CUY-480-18.4



 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

4 ш S PHA 1 **TRAFFIC SECTIONS** TENANCE OF (72) TYPICAL MAINTI 3.b (7 Ш TIV TERNA AL

> 4 18 0  $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ 4 1. CUΥ



 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 



	- PHASE 4
TRAFFIC	SECTIONS
MAINTENANCE OF	E 3.b (72) TYPICAL
	ALTERNATIVI





 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

ш S PHA TRAFFIC S ш Ο **NANCE** TYPICAI ш υ F. ັຊຕິ TIVE ۲ TERN

1

∢



<u>SECTION D-D</u>

MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC ALTERNATIVE 3.c - PHASE 1

0

 $\bigcirc$ 

3012 |R 480\90591\mot\sheets\90591MY312c.dqn 11/20/2013 2:49:48 PM CraigBa

 $\bigcirc$ 





 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 








2 4 18 0  $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ 4 1. > CU



MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC ALTERNATIVE 3.c - PHASE 2

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 



сυγ

2/5



 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC Alternative 3.c typical sections - phase 2
CUY-480-18 42





 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

3 ш S PHA 1 TRAFFIC S ш Ο PICA NA| ∀ N ш H C <mark>ຊ</mark>ິດ ۷ TIVE ALTERNA

> 4 18 0  $\boldsymbol{\infty}$ 4 1. > CU

2



 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

<u>TRANSVERSE SECTION</u> <u>SECTION D-D</u>

MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC ALTERNATIVE 3.c - PHASE 3





3 ш S PHA 11 TRAFFIC S ш Ο ENANCE TYPICAL F. C MAIN<sup>-</sup> TIVE 3. ALTERNA

CUY-480-18.42





CUY-480-18.42

F

AL



 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

TRANSVERSE SECTION

<u>SECTION D-D</u>

MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC ALTERNATIVE 3.c - PHASE 4









 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

S ш S PHA 1 TRAFFIC S ш. Ο NCE PICAI NA| ∀A| ш C F. z ຕໍ ۷ TIVE 4 TERN/

> 4 18 0  $\boldsymbol{\infty}$ 4 1. > CU

2

٩



TRANSVERSE SECTION

<u>SECTION D-D</u>

MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC ALTERNATIVE 3.c - PHASE 5

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 



сυγ

5, 5











IR-480 (WESTBOUND)

<u>IR-480 (EASTBOUND)</u>

<u>TRANSVERSE SECTION</u> <u>SECTION D-D</u>

MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC ALTERNATIVE 5 - PHASE 1

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

11/20/2013 B. 180/00/501/mot/sheets/90591MY512.don 11/20/2013 3:02:08 PM CraiaBar

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 





<u>WESTBOUND</u>

<u>EASTBOUND</u>



TRANSVERSE SECTION <u>UNIT 2 TO 5</u>

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

## ALTERNATIVE 5, FINAL CONDITION **10'-0" DRAINAGE SPREAD** (4+4) 4 WB, 4 EB

S 10 2 5 UNIT LTERNATIVE SECTION -. AL . Ë TRANSV ۰**4**2 18 -480сυγ

CUY-480-18.42 L/R, PID No.	90591

Constraint	Alternative 1.a (70) - Same Width Deck Replacement - Maintain 3 Lanes WB (on bridge only) & 4 Lanes EB (5 + 2) Modify existing & proposed shoulder cross slope	Alternative 3.a (72) - Widen Existing and New Bridge - Maintain 4 Lanes WB & EB (6 + 2) Modify existing & proposed shoulder cross slope	Alternative 3.b (72) - Widen New Bridge - Phases 1 & 2 Maintain 3 Lanes WB & 4 Lanes EB (5 + 2); Phases 3 & 4 Maintain 4 Lanes WB & EB (6 + 2) Modify existing & proposed shoulder cross slope	Alternative 3.c - Widen EB Substructure & Superstructure - New EB Bridge (93)- Maintain 4 Lanes All Phases - Phase 1 & 3 (5 + 3); Phase 2 (4 + 4); Phases 4 & 5 (6 + 2)	Alternative 5 - New WB Bridge in Median - Maintain 4 Lanes WB & EB
Ability to meet Work Zone Policy	Impact: High No, eastbound direction Phase 1 and 2 maintains 4 total lanes however two lanes (reduced from 3) are crossed over through traffic and two lanes are IR 77 ramp traffic. Eastbound Phases 3 and 4 provides 4 lanes at all times. No in the westbound direction (a minimum 3 lanes maintained).	Impact: Medium No, eastbound direction Phase 1 and 2 maintains 4 total lanes however two lanes (reduced from 3) are crossed over through traffic and two lanes are IR 77 ramp traffic. Eastbound Phases 3 and 4 provides 4 lanes at all times. Westbound provides 4 lanes in all phases at all times.	Impact: High No, eastbound direction Phase 1 and 2 maintains 4 total lanes however two lanes (reduced from 3) are crossed over through traffic and two lanes are IR 77 ramp traffic. Eastbound Phases 3 and 4 provides 4 lanes at all times. No in the westbound direction, a minimum of 3 lanes maintained in Phases 1 and 2.	Impact: Low No. Phase 3 eastbound through traffic is reduced from 3 lanes to 2 lanes west of the bridge to eliminate merge with 77 ramps.	Impact: Low Yes for both directions, four lanes can be maintained at all times. Minor overnight lane closures possible off the bridge ends to construct pavement tie-in's for the temporary alignments. Minor Overnight lane closures and a Complete closure of IR 480 WB over a weekend will be required in order construct pavement tie-in's for WB IR 480. A two week closure of westbound IR 480 ramps to 77 NB and 77 SB will be required in order to construct new ramp alignment tie-ins.
Ability to Maintain All Accesses	Impact: Low 480 EB traffic approaching the bridge will be crossed over to the left bridge and will not have access to the E 98th St. (Transportation Bvld.) Exit ramp during phases 1 and 2 (the first two construction seasons).	Impact: Low 480 EB traffic approaching the bridge will be crossed over to the left bridge and will not have access to the E 98th St. (Transportation BvId.) Exit ramp during phases 1 and 2 (the first two construction seasons).	Impact: Low 480 EB traffic approaching the bridge will be crossed over to the left bridge and will not have access to the E 98th St. (Transportation Bvld.) Exit ramp during phases 1 and 2 (the first two construction seasons).	Impact: None Access to the same degree as the exisitng condition can be maintained in all Phases.	Impact: Low All access can be maintained. Temporary ramp pavement will need to be provided and a reduction of the ramp speed is likely due to the temporary sharper ramp curves required to match into the existing ramp alignments. A 2 week closure of westbound IR 480 ramps to 77 NB and 77 SB ramps will be required in order to construct new ramp alignment tie-ins. A 2 week closure of E 98th St. ramp to WB IR 480 will be required in order to construct new ramp alignment tie-ins.
Ability to Provide Required On- Ramp Merge Decision Sight Distance	Impact: Low Reconstructed shoulders and temporary pavement will be required to provide the necessary 1135' of DSD and 600' taper distance for both the E 98th St EB and WB entrance ramps.	Impact: Low Reconstructed shoulders and temporary pavement will be required to provide the necessary 1135' of DSD and 600' taper distance for both the E 98th St EB and WB entrance ramps.	Impact: Low Reconstructed shoulders and temporary pavement will be required to provide the necessary 1135' of DSD and 600' taper distance for both the E 98th St EB and WB entrance ramps.	Impact: Low Reconstructed shoulders and temporary pavement will be required to provide the necessary 1135' of DSD and 600' taper distance for the E 98th St EB entrance ramp.	Impact: None There will be no entrance ramp merge tapers within an MOT zone.
Right-of-Way Impacts	Impact: Very Low Lease agreements with the adjoining property owners may need to be coordinated.	Impact: Very Low Lease agreements with the adjoining property owners may need to be coordinated.	Impact: Very Low Lease agreements with the adjoining property owners may need to be coordinated.	Impact: Low Lease agreements with the adjoining property owners may need to be coordinated.	Impact: Low Lease agreements with the adjoining property owners may need to be coordinated.
Environmental Impacts	Impact: Low Impact to Metroparks area beneath bridge for loss of use during construction. Peregrin falcons nesting on bridge may be disturbed.	Impact: Low Impact to Metroparks area beneath bridge for loss of use during construction. MOT drainage will require construction of an enclosed storm sewer system at the base of pier 5 to convey water from the temporary bridge scuppers. The enclosed storm sewer system for the MOT drainage has been arranged to minimize impacts to the MetroParks parking area under the bridge. Peregrin falcons nesting on bridge may be disturbed.	Impact: Low Impact to Metroparks area beneath bridge for loss of use during construction. MOT drainage will require construction of an enclosed storm sewer system at the base of pier 5 to convey water from the temporary bridge scuppers. The enclosed storm sewer system for the MOT drainage has been arranged to minimize impacts to the MetroParks parking area under the bridge. Peregrin falcons nesting on bridge may be disturbed.	Impact: Low Impact to Metroparks area beneath bridge for loss of use during construction. The widening of the eastbound bridge will require the widening of the substructure at the existing piers. Pier 5 is within an area leased to MetroParks between Canal Road and the Cuyahoga River and includes the Brickworks Ovrlook and a small parking area. The construction of the substructure widening of pier 5 will impact these facilities. Peregrin falcons nesting on bridge may be disturbed.	Impact: Low Impact to Metroparks area beneath bridge for loss of use during construction. The construction of a new bridge in the median, bewteen the two existing bridges will require the construction of new pier footings and piers. The new structure type will be of a different bridge design which will allow for different pier locations and may limit the impact to the area leased to MetroParks between Canal Road and the Cuyahoga River, including the Brickworks Ovrlook and the small parking area. Peregrin falcons nesting on bridge may be disturbed.
Bridge Widths	Impact: Low Same as existing bridge widths.	Impact: Low Widening of the existing westbound bridge roadway to 72 feet in Pre-Phase 1. Construction of the proposed bridge deck widths to 72 feet on both the eastbound and the westbound bridges.	Impact: Low Construction of the proposed eastbound bridge roadway width to 72 feet.	Impact: Medium Widening of the existing eastbound bridge roadway to 93 feet in the first three construction phases. The widening of the bridge deck requires the widening of the substructure and the superstructure.	Impact: High A new bridge constructed in the median between the two existing bridges. New bridge roadway width to meet current standards (72 feet)
Significant Impacts for Construction Duration and/or Construction Costs	Cost: No additional cost. Impact: Medium Construct 1/2 of a bridge deck in a construction season. 2 years for new eastbound bridge deck, 2 years for new westbound bridge deck, 4 year total, bridge construction project with IR 480 traffic restricted. Additional duration required prior to the first year for the construction of crossovers and parallel route improvements. Additional duration required after the final phase to remove crossover pavement, plane, resurface, final markings within the area required for MOT. 5 year total duration.	Cost: \$12,050,000 Impact: Medium Widen the existing westbound bridge deck in the first construction season along with the construction of crossovers and parallel route improvements. Construct 1/2 of a bridge deck, in a construction season. 2 years for new eastbound bridge deck, 2 years for new westbound bridge deck, 4 year total, bridge construction project with IR 480 traffic restrictions. Additional duration required after the final phase to remove crossover pavement, plane, resurface, final markings within the area required for MOT. 5 1/2 year total duration.	Cost: \$4,400,000 Impact: Medium Construct 1/2 of a bridge deck in a construction season. 2 years for new eastbound bridge deck, 2 years for new westbound bridge deck, 4 year total, bridge construction project with IR 480 traffic restricted. Additional duration required prior to the first year for the construction of crossovers and parallel route improvements. Additional duration required after the final phase to remove crossover pavement, plane, resurface, final markings within the area required for MOT. 5 year total duration.	Cost: \$40,530,000 Impact: Medium Widen the existing eastbound bridge substructure in a pre-phase year with no impact on the IR 480 traffic. The first construction season would modify the existing superstructure and provide a portion of the new eastbound bridge deck. The second construction season will complete the widening of the eastbound bridge deck toward the median. Construct 1/2 of the westbound bridge deck in a construction season. 2 years for new westbound bridge deck, 5 year total, bridge construction project, four years with IR 480 traffic constrictions. Additional duration required after the final phase to remove crossover pavement, plane, resurface, final markings for the area within the required MOT limits. 6 year total duration.	Cost: 571,610,000 Impact: High Three construction seasons to build the new bridge in the median between the existing bridges. Construct 1/2 of the westbound bridge deck, 5 year total, bridge construction project. 2 years with minor IR 480 traffic constrictions. Additional duration required after the final phase to remove pavement, plane, resurface, final markings for the area within the required MOT limits. 5 year total duration.
Significant Impacts to Earthwork, Retaining Walls, Pier Clearances, Profile Differences, etc.	Impact: Very Low Minor earthwork required to construct crossovers and temporary pavement to maintain E 98th St. ramps.	Impact: Very Low Minor earthwork required to construct crossovers and temporary pavement to maintain E 98th St. ramps. Temporary pavement to shift traffic and utilize the widened bridge deck.	Impact: Very Low Minor earthwork required to construct crossovers and temporary pavement to maintain E 98th St. ramps. Temporary pavement to shift traffic and utilize the widened bridge deck.	Impact: Low Minor earthwork required to construct crossovers and temporary pavement to maintain E 98th St. ramps. Temporary pavement to shift traffic and utilize the widened bridge deck. Temporary pavement to accommodate shifts and tapers.	Impact: Low Additional earthwork required to construct temporary/new alignments at both bridge ends of the new bridge along with additional earthwork for new bridge abutments.
Ability to Maintain Existing Drainage Systems - Bridge Deck, Storm Sewer Collection, Storm Sewer Trunk line	Impact: Low Grind the existing bridge deck shoulders to 1/4*/ft. prior to Phase 1 MOT to avoid adding additional scuppers. The existing drainage at piers 3, 6, 9 and 12 needs reconstructed to provide adequate positive drainage to the trunk sewer. The existing drainage trunk sewer needs minor repairs.	Impact: Medium Grind the existing bridge deck shoulders to 1/4"/ft. prior to Phase 1 MOT to minimize adding additional scuppers. In addition to trunk sewer repairs and the reconstruction of bridge pier drainage at piers 3, 6, 9 and 12, additional bridge deck scuppers will be required to minimize spread into the MOT travel lanes and provide a 10 feet dry lane for the 2 yr. storm frequency. These additional scuppers will be conducted to the ground and additional catch basins will be required at pier legs 4, 5, 7, 8, 10 and 11 to collect bridge drainage. Additional connections into the existing storm sewer trunk line will be required, including new junction structures on the existing storm sever. This additional drainage will be required for both the MOT on the existing WB bridge and the new deck on the EB bridge to accommodate traffic in MOT Phases 1, 2, 3 & 4.	Impact: Medium Grind the existing bridge deck shoulders to 1/4*/ft. prior to Phase 1 MOT to avoid adding additional scuppers in the existing bridge deck. In addition to trunk sewer repairs and the reconstruction of bridge pier drainage at piers 3 6, 9 and 12, additional bridge deck scuppers will be required in the new eastbound bridge deck to minimize spread into the MOT travel lanes and provide a 10 feet dry lane for the 2 yr. storm frequency. These additional scuppers will be conducted to the ground and additional catch basins will be required at pier legs 4, 5, 7, 8, 10 and 11 to collect bridge drainage. Additional connections into the existing storm sewer trunk line will be required, including new junction structures on the existing storm sever. This additional drainage will be required for the MOT on the new deck on the EB bridge to accommodate traffic in MOT Phases 3 & 4.	Impact: Low Grind the existing bridge deck shoulders to 1/4*/ft. prior to Phase 1 MOT to avoid adding additional scuppers in the existing bridge deck. The existing drainage trunk sewer needs minor repairs. The drainage at piers 3, 6, 9 and 12 needs reconstructed to provide adequate positive drainage to the trunk sewer.	Impact: Medium The existing drainage trunk sewer needs minor repairs. The drainage at piers 3, 6, 9 and 12 for the existing eastbound bridge needs reconstructed to provide adequate positive drainage to the trunk sewer. The new bridge deck drainage may require additional drainage at piers to provide adequate positive drainage to the trunk sewer.
	Cost: \$70,000	Cost: \$1,542,000	Cost: \$1,013,000	Cost: \$56,000	Cost: No Additional Cost

### Work Zone Constraints

CUY-480-18.42 L/R, PID No. 90591

			Work Zone Alternatives		
Constraint	Alternative 1.a (70) - Same Width Deck Replacement - Maintain 3 Lanes WB (on bridge only) & 4 Lanes EB (5 + 2) Modify existing & proposed shoulder cross slope	Alternative 3.a (72) - Widen Existing and New Bridge - Maintain 4 Lanes WB & EB (6 + 2) Modify existing & proposed shoulder cross slope	Alternative 3.b (72) - Widen New Bridge - Phases 1 & 2 Maintain 3 Lanes WB & 4 Lanes EB (5 + 2); Phases 3 & 4 Maintain 4 Lanes WB & EB (6 + 2) Modify existing & proposed shoulder cross slope	Alternative 3.c - Widen EB Substructure & Superstructure - New EB Bridge (93)- Maintain 4 Lanes All Phases - Phase 1 & 3 (5 + 3); Phase 2 (4 + 4); Phases 4 & 5 (6 + 2)	Alternative 5 - New WB Bridge in Median - Maintain 4 Lanes WB & EB
Ability to Maintain Existing Lighting Systems	Impact: Medium The lighting on the bridge decks under construction will be near 50% during all phases when the existing parapet and lighting is removed for part width construction. To provide temporary lighting free standing trailer lights on the bridge deck sections beyond the PB or temporary poles mounted to the bridge framing through the bridge deck are options.	Impact: Medium Pre-phase 1 will remove and replace the existing westbound inside parapet and lighting. The widened westbound bridge deck in pre-phase 1 will require temporary lighting erected for MOT phases 1 & 2. The lighting on the bridge decks under construction will be near 50% during all phases when the existing parapet and lighting is removed for part width construction. To provide temporary lighting in phases 1 & 3 free standing trailer lights on the bridge deck sections beyond the PB or temporary poles mounted to the bridge framing through the bridge deck are options.	Impact: Medium The lighting on the bridge decks under construction will be near 50% during all phases when the existing parapet and lighting is removed for part width construction. To provide temporary lighting in phases 1 & 3 free standing trailer lights on the bridge deck sections beyond the PB or temporary poles mounted to the bridge framing through the bridge deck are options.	Impact: Medium The lighting on the eastbound bridge deck in Phase 1 & 2 will be near 50% when the existing parapet and lighting is removed for the superstructure widening. To provide temporary lighting in phases 1 & 2 free standing trailer lights on the bridge deck sections beyond the PB or temporary poles mounted to the bridge framing are options. The lighting on the bridge decks under construction in Phases 3 through 5 will be near 50% when the existing parapet and lighting is removed for part width construction. To provide temporary lighting free standing trailer lights on the bridge deck sections beyond the PB or temporary poles mounted to the bridge framing through the bridge deck are options.	Impact: Low Lighting will need to be provided on the new bridge. Lighting will be maintained on the existing bridge carrying the MOT traffic. Temporary lighting along the temporary alignments east of the Bridge will be needed during Phase 1.
	Cost: \$ 300,000	Cost: \$ 400,000	Cost: \$ 300,000	Cost: \$ 300,000	Cost: \$ 25,000
Constructability; and Construction Equipment Access	Impact: Low All proposed work on and below the bridges is within the existing L/A right of way. Access under the bridge is required to construct new bridge deck drainage to the ground. At piers 3, 6, 9 & 12 closed storm sewer systems are required to be constructed from the piers to the existing trunk sewer. Several trunk sewer repairs are necessary under the bridges. All bridge piers will require some degree of patching. Multiple work agreements to cross private property and gain access to the necessary areas under the bridge will be required.	Impact: Low All proposed work on and below the bridges is within the existing L/A right of way. Access under the bridge is required to construct new bridge deck drainage to the ground. At piers 3, 6, 9 & 12 closed storm sewer systems are required to be constructed from the piers to the existing trunk sewer. At piers 4, 5, 7, 8, 10 & 11 MOT storm drainage systems are required to be constructed from the piers to the existing trunk sewer. Several trunk sewer repairs are necessary under the bridges. All bridge piers will require some degree of patching. Multiple work agreements to cross private property and gain access to the necessary areas under the bridge will be required.	Impact: Low All proposed work on and below the bridges is within the existing L/A right of way. Access under the bridge is required to construct new bridge deck drainage to the ground. At piers 3, 6, 9 & 12 closed storm sewer systems are required to be constructed from the piers to the existing trunk sewer. At piers 4, 5, 7, 8, 10 & 11 MOT storm drainage systems are required to be constructed from the piers to the existing trunk sewer. Several trunk sewer repairs are necessary under the bridges. All bridge piers will require some degree of patching. Multiple work agreements to cross private property and gain access to the necessary areas under the bridge will be required.	Impact: Low All proposed work on and below the bridges is within the existing L/A right of way. Access under the bridge is required to construct new bridge widening substructure and piers. At piers 3, 6, 9 & 12 closed storm sewer systems are required to be constructed from the piers to the existing trunk sewer. Several trunk sewer repairs are necessary under the bridges. All bridge piers will require some degree of patching. Multiple work agreements to cross private property and gain access to the necessary areas under the bridge will be required.	Impact: Low All proposed work on and below the bridges is within the existing L/A right of way. Access under the bridges is required to construct new bridge footings, piers, drainage appurtenances. Several trunk sewer repairs are necessary under the bridge. The remaining eastbound bridge piers will require some degree of patching. Demolition of the existing westbound bridge after the completion of the new bridge construction and the eastbound bridge deck widening. Multiple work agreements to cross private property and gain access to the necessary areas under the bridge will be required.
Location of Crossovers (e.g., Can crossovers be located near the project?)	Impact: Low Locations for the crossovers can be provided that will allow for access to and from the ramps at E 98th Street. The crossover locations for IR 480 eastbound to the west of the project will not allow for IR 480 eastbound traffic to access the East 98th Street exit on the east side of the bridge in Phases 1 and 2 (the first two construction seasons). The crossover locations will minimize the resulting queues on the adjoining interchanges and provide a measure of lane continunity through the construction of the project.	Impact: Low Locations for the crossovers can be provided that will allow for access to and from the ramps at E 98th Street. The crossover locations for IR 480 eastbound to the west of the project will not allow for IR 480 eastbound traffic to access the East 98th Street exit on the east side of the bridge in Phases 1 and 2 (the first two construction seasons). The crossover locations will minimize the resulting queues on the adjoining interchanges and provide a measure of lane continunity through the construction of the project.	Impact: Low Locations for the crossovers can be provided that will allow for access to and from the ramps at E 98th Street. The crossover locations for IR 480 eastbound to the west of the project will not allow for IR 480 eastbound traffic to access the East 98th Street exit on the east side of the bridge in Phases 1 and 2 (the first two construction seasons). The crossover locations will minimize the resulting queues on the adjoining interchanges and provide a measure of lane continunity through the construction of the project.	<b>Impact: Low</b> Locations for the crossovers can be provided that will allow for access to and from the ramps at E 98th Street and IR 77. The crossover locations will minimize the resulting queues on the adjoining interchanges and provide a measure of lane continunity through the construction of the project.	Impact: None Crossovers not required. Temporary eastbound alignments in MOT Phase. New alignment for westbound final condition.
What are the Access Impacts to Important Traffic Generators such as Hospitals, Fire Departments, Industries, Sports Arenas, etc.	Impact: Low The crossover locations for IR 480 eastbound to the west of the project will not allow for IR 480 eastbound traffic to access the East 98th Street exit on the east side of the bridge in Phases 1 and 2 (the first two construction seasons). ODOT District 12 personnel and business along Transportation Boulevard will be impacted. Access for the IR 480 eastbound through traffic to Transportation Boulevard will be provided via IR 77 southbound to Rockside Road eastbound to IR 77 northbound to IR 480 eastbound.	Impact: Low The crossover locations for IR 480 eastbound to the west of the project will not allow for IR 480 eastbound traffic to access the East 98th Street exit on the east side of the bridge in Phases 1 and 2 (the first two construction seasons). ODOT District 12 personnel and business along Transportation Boulevard will be impacted. Access for the IR 480 eastbound through traffic to Transportation Boulevard will be provided via IR 77 southbound to Rockside Road eastbound to IR 77 northbound to IR 480 eastbound.	Impact: Low The crossover locations for IR 480 eastbound to the west of the project will not allow for IR 480 eastbound traffic to access the East 98th Street exit on the east side of the bridge in Phases 1 and 2 (the first two construction seasons). ODOT District 12 personnel and business along Transportation Boulevard will be impacted. Access for the IR 480 eastbound through traffic to Transportation Boulevard will be provided via IR 77 southbound to Rockside Road eastbound to IR 77 northbound to IR 480 eastbound.	Impact: Very Low No ramp closures or restrictions.	Impact: Very Low No ramp closures or restrictions. Temporary eastbound alignments in MOT Phase will merge the IR 77 ramps into one lane prior to the entrance onto the bridge. New alignments for westbound final condition.
Parallel Route Improvements	Impact: Medium Upgrade intersections along Granger Road and Rockside Road to mitigate level of service degradation from IR 480 traffic diversion.	Impact: Low Minor intersection improvements along Granger Road and Rockside Road to provide acceptable level of service for the existing traffic conditions.	Impact: Medium Upgrade intersections along Granger Road and Rockside Road to mitigate level of service degradation from IR 480 traffic diversion.	Impact: Low Minor intersection improvements along Granger Road and Rockside Road to provide acceptable level of service for the existing traffic conditions.	Impact: None No Intersection improvements along Granger Road and Rockside Road. Level of service for the existing intersections will remain.
	Cost: \$19,419,900	Cost: \$5,516,300	Cost: \$19,419,900	Cost: \$5,516,300	Cost: \$0
Exit Ramps - Can the existing number of ramp lanes be maintained?	Impact: wedium All existing exit ramps are maintained. 480 EB traffic approaching the bridge will be crossed over to the left bridge and will not have access to the E 98th St. (Transportation Bvld.) Exit ramp during phases 1 and 2 (the first two construction seasons). During phases 3 & 4 exit Ramp EN/ES (IR 480 WS to IR 77 North and IR 77 South Exit ramps) will be reduced from 2 lanes to 1.	Impact: Low All existing exit ramps are maintained. 480 EB traffic approaching the bridge will be crossed over to the left bridge and will not have access to the E 98th St. (Transportation BVId.) Exit ramp during phases 1 and 2 (the first two construction seasons). During phases 3 & 4 exit Ramp EN/ES (IR 480 WB to IR 77 North and IR 77 South Exit ramps) will be reduced from 2 lanes to 1.	Impact: Low All existing exit ramps are maintained. 480 EB traffic approaching the bridge will be crossed over to the left bridge and will not have access to the E 98th St. (Transportation Bvid.) Exit ramp during phases 1 and 2 (the first two construction seasons). During phases 3 & 4 exit Ramp EN/ES (IR 480 WB to IR 77 North and IR 77 South Exit ramps) will be reduced from 2 lanes to 1.	Impact: LOW All existing exit ramps are maintained. During phases 4 & 5 exit Ramp EN/ES (IR 480 WB to IR 77 North and IR 77 South Exit ramps) will be reduced from 2 lanes to 1.	Impact: Low Yes, all existing number of exit ramp lanes are maintained. Ramps fromIR 480 WB to IR 77 NB & SB closed in Phase 2 for pavement tie-in work. Short term (approximately 7-day) closure of westbound IR 480 ramps to 77 NB and 77 SB will be required in order to construct new ramp alignment tie- ins.
Estimated Maintenance of Traffic Cost	Impact: Maintain existing bridge widths (70 feet toe to toe)	Impact: Pre-Phase 1 will widen existing bridge deck width. New eastbound and westbound bridge decks will be constructed to 72 feet width.	Impact: No widening of the existing westbound bridge deck. Widen the new eastbound bridge deck to 72 feet. Allow for 4 MOT lanes in Phases 3 & 4.	Impact: Widen the eastbound bridge substructure and superstructure to allow for a 93 feet toe to toe bridge deck.	Impact: Construct a new westbound bridge substructure, superstructure and 72 feet toe to toe bridge deck width.
	Cost: \$2.267.321	Cost: \$ 23.637.859	Cost: \$10.648.868	Cost: \$ 65.563.081	Cost: \$ 111.996.569

### Bridge Information CUY-480-18.42 L/R, PID No. 90591

		Bridge Des	cription				Alternativ	ve 1.a(70) - Sa La	ame Width Do nes WB & 4 I (5 + 2)	eck Replaceme .anes EB	ent - Maintain 3	Alternative	9 3.a (72) - Widen Existin Lanes WB (6 + 2	g and New Brid & EB )	dge - Maintain 4	l Alternativ	e 3.b (72) - W La Phases 3	/iden New Br Ines WB & 4 & 4 Maintain (5 + 2) & ((	idge - Phases 1 Lanes EB; 4 Lanes WB & 6 + 2)	l & 2 Maintain : EB	3 Alternativ Bridge (93)-	e 3.c - Widen EE Maintain 4 Lan (4 + 4	3 Substructure es All Phases ); Phases 4 & 5	& Superstruct Phase 1 & 3 (5 i (6 + 2)	ure - New EB 5 + 3); Phase 2	Alternati	ive 5 - New Bri	idge in Median ·	Maintain 4 La	nes WB & EB
BRIDGE NAME	EXTENT OF WORK	TYPE OF BRIDGE	LENGTH OF BRIDGE (Feet)	EXISTING PIER SPACING (Feet)	EXISTING BRIDGE WIDTH (Feet)	FUTURE BRIDGE WIDTH (Feet)	BRIDGE WIDTH NEEDED (Feet)	COST OF 32" PCB BRIDGE MOUNTED (4 Phases)	COST OF 50" PCB BRIDGE MOUNTED (4 Phases)	COST OF ADDITIONAL BRIDGE DECK DRAINAGE	COST OF ADDITIONAL BRIDGE WIDENING	BRIDGE WIDTH NEEDED (Feet)	COST OF 32" PCB 50" PCB BRIDGE BRIDGE MOUNTED (4 Phases) (4 Phases	COST OF ADDITIONAL BRIDGE D DECK ) DRAINAGE	COST OF ADDITIONAL NEW BRIDGE WIDENING	BRIDGE WIDTH NEEDED (Feet)	COST OF 32" PCB BRIDGE MOUNTED (4 Phases	COST OF 50" PCB BRIDGE MOUNTEE (4 Phases)	COST OF ADDITIONAL BRIDGE DECK DRAINAGE	COST OF ADDITIONAL NEW BRIDGI WIDENING	BRIDGE WIDTH NEEDED (Feet)	COST OF 32" PCB BRIDGE MOUNTED (4 Phases)	COST OF 50' PCB BRIDGE MOUNTED (4 Phases)	COST OF ADDITIONAL BRIDGE DECK DRAINAGE	COST OF ADDITIONAL NEW BRIDGE WIDENING	BRIDGE WIDTH NEEDED (Feet)	COST OF 32 PCB BRIDGE MOUNTED ( Phases)	COST OF 50 PCB BRIDGE MOUNTED (4 Phases)	COST OF ADDITIONAL BRIDGE DECK DRAINAGE	ADDITIONAL COST OF NEW BRIDGE
CUY-480-1842L SFN 1812521 Westbound	DECK REPLACEMENT	CONTINUOUS STEEL GIRDER	4,155	220; 11 @ 300; 2 @ 225; 180	69.5	70 (minimum)	70	\$477.754	\$C45.000	\$400.000	NA	72	8520 050 8000 400	£2 202 000	\$11,870,000	70	\$470.000	\$C45 000	64 570 000	NA	70	\$504 500	\$504 C4C	¢97.000	NA	72	\$454.04D	\$20.44E	<b>*</b> 0	\$404 047 000
CUY-480-1842R SFN 1812548 Eastbound	DECK REPLACEMENT	CONTINUOUS STEEL GIRDER	4,155	220; 11 @ 300; 2 @ 225; 180	69.5	70 (minimum)	70	\$477,751	φ015,220	\$108,000	NA	72	- \$530,050 \$000,188	\$2,393,000	\$6,827,000	72	\$476,232	\$015,208	\$1,572,000	\$6,827,000	93	- \$364,508	\$604,646	\$87,000	\$62,919,000	72	\$154,812	\$32,115	20	\$104,347,000

### **Ramp Information** CUY-480-18.42 L/R, PID No. 90591

															l	RAMP CI	LOSURE	S (c)											
RAMP DESIGNATION (LOCATION)	ODOT Short Term Traffic Volume count	RAMP NAME	NUMBER OF LANES (a)	RAMP VOLUME (% TRUCKS)	Alternative 1.a (70) - Same Width Deck Replacement - Maintain 3 Lanes WB (on bridge only) & 4 Lanes EB (5 + 2) Modify E existing & proposed shoulder cross slope					Alternative 3.a (72) - Widen Existing and New Bridge - Maintain 4 Lanes WB & EB y (6 + 2) Modify existing & proposed shoulder cross slope				Alternative 3.b (72) - Widen New Bridge - Phases 1 & 2 Maintain 3 Lanes WB & 4 Lanes EB (5 + 2); Phases 3 & 4 Maintain 4 Lanes WB & EB (6 + 2) Modify existing & proposed shoulder cross slope					Alternative 3.c - Widen EB Substructure & Superstructure - New EB Bridge (93)- Maintain 4 Lanes All Phases - Phase 1 & 3 (5 + 3); Phase 2 (4 + 4); Phases 4 & 5 (6 + 2)						Alternative 5 - New WB Bridge in Median / Remove Existing WB Bridge - Maintain 4 Lanes WB & El				
	(c)				PHASE 1	PHASE 2	PHASE 3	PHASE 4	DUKATION OF IMPACT (DAYS) (h)	PHASE 1	PHASE 2	PHASE 3	PHASE 4	DUKATION OF IMPACT (DAYS) (b)	PHASE 1	PHASE 2	PHASE 3	PHASE 4	DURATION OF IMPACT (DAYS) (h)	PHASE 1	PHASE 2	PHASE 3	PHASE 4	PHASE 5	DURATION OF IMPACT (DAYS) (h)	PHASE 1	PHASE 2	DURATION OF IMPACT (b) OR CLOSURE	
IR 77 NB to IR 480 EB	78618	S-E	1	14965(6%)	1 (h)	1 (h)	1	1		1 (h)	1 (h)	1	1		1 (h)	1 (h)	1	1		1	1	1	1 (h)	1 (h)		1 (i,k)	1	480	480' sign
IR 77 SB to IR 480 EB	78218	N-E	2	24015(10%)	2 (h)	2 (h)	2	2		2 (h)	2 (h)	2	2		2 (h)	2 (h)	2	2		2	2	2	2 (h)	2 (h)		1 (l,k)	2	480	480 sign
IR 480 WB to IR 77 NB	77918	E-N	2	10280(12%)	2	2	2 (f)	2 (f)	480	2	2	2 (e)	2 (e)	480	2	2	2 (e)	2 (e)	480	2	2	2	2 (f)	2 (f)	480	2	2 (I)	7 (p)	480 sign
IR 480 WB to IR 77 SB	78418	E-S	1	400(14%)	1	1	1 (f)	1 (f)	480	1	1	1 (e)	1 (e)	480	1	1	1 (e)	1 (e)	480	1	1	1	1 (f)	1 (f)	480	1	1 (I)	7 (p)	480 sign
IR 480 EB to East 98th St.	74818	W-98	1	10955(3%)	1 (d)	1 (d)	1	1	480	1 (d)	1 (d)	1	1	480	1 (d)	1 (d)	1	1	480	1	1	1	1	1		1	1		480 77N
East 98th St. to IR 480 EB	74918	98-E	1	7945(8%)	1	1	1 (g)	1 (g)		1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1		1	1		
East 98th St. to IR 480 WB	75118	98-W	1	11005(5%)	1	1	1 (g)	1 (g)	480	1	1	1 (g)	1 (g)	480	1	1	1 (g)	1 (g)	480	1	1	1	1 (g)	1 (g)	480	1	1 (l)	7 (p)	Alte Left
IR 480 WB to East 98th St.	75018	E-98	1	7910(6%)	1 (o)	1 (o)	1 (o)	1 (o)		1 (o)	1 (o)	1 (o)	1 (o)		1 (o)	1 (o)	1 (o)	1 (o)		1	1	1	1	1		1	1		

240 DAYS = 1 Construction season

The number of lanes indicated reflects the 'normal' number of ramp lanes along the ramp. Turn lanes at intersections and merge lanes with adjoining ramps are not included. (a)

Duration of Impact refers to the number of days that a ramp will have a major operational change such as a lane reduction or the ramp traffic will be entering an MOT zone with a reduced number of mainline lanes thereby reducing the amount of merge gaps. The number indicates the lanes to be maintained in the construction phase. An "X" indicates closure of the ramp. (b)

(C) Access to the ramp exit is only provided to the 480EB traffic entering the bridge from the 77 ramps at the west end of the bridge. 480EB traffic on 480EB @ 77 will be crossed-over and will not have access to the E98th St. exit ramp. (d)

Access to the ramp exit is only provided to the 480WB traffic staying in the right two lanes on the left bridge under construction. 480WB crossed-over traffic will not have access to the ramp. (e)

Access to the ramp exit is only provided to the 480WB traffic staying in the right lane of the two lanes on the left bridge under construction. 480WB crossed-over traffic will not have access to the ramp. (f)

One lane will be maintained however, MOT condition is a merge into a reduced number of through lanes and may be restrictive. Temporary pavement will be required to achieve DSD and Minimum MOT Ramp lane and shoulder widths. (g)

The entrance ramp will function as an "add" lane and no merge of ramp traffic into 480EB traffic is required. The efficiency of the entrance ramp will be enhanced during this MOT phase. (h)

Single lane from each ramp would be merged together prior to the bridge and a single "add" lane would be carried onto the bridge. (i)

Both exit ramps will share the right lane which functions as an IR 480 through/exit Ramp Decision lane. (j)

(k) Temporary pavement on temporary alignment required.

New ramp alignment and new ramp pavement required. (1)

Temporary Exit Ramp requires Speed Reduction and Advisory Signing. (m)

Temporary pavement on temporary alignment required. Temporary Alignment will pass beneath existing Overpass Structure Exit ramp operation changes from a diverging taper exit to a drop lane exit (n) (o)

The Ramps will require a closure in order to perform tie in work between new ramp alignment and the existing ramp alignment (p)

0.0	TO	
1)	()	IIR -
		···

WB to Granger, Left on Granger at Exit ramp signal, through the Granger/Tuxedo alized intersection, to 480EB entrance ramp. WB to Granger, Left on Granger at Exit ramp signal, through the Granger/Tuxedo alized intersection, to 480EB entrance ramp. WB to Granger, Left on Granger at Exit ramp signal, through the Granger/Tuxedo alized intersection, to 480EB entrance ramp, 480EB to 77NB. WB to Granger, Left on Granger at Exit ramp signal, through the Granger/Tuxedo alized intersection, to 480EB entrance ramp, 480EB to 77SB. EB to 77SB, to Rockside Rd., left at the signal to Rockside EB, immediate right to B, to 480EB

rnate access to 480WB is provided by: 480EB at Transportation Blvd. to Granger Rd., at signal onto Granger, right from Granger to 480EB entrance ramp.

# Cost Comparision CUY-480-18.42 L/R, PID No. 90591

	Alternative 1.a (70) - Same Width Deck Replacement - Maintain 3 Lanes WB (on bridge only) & 4 Lanes EB (5 + 2)	Alternative 3.a(72) - Widen Existing and New Bridge - Maintain 4 Lanes WB & EB (6 + 2)	Alternative 3.b(72) - Widen New Bridge - Phases 1 & 2 Maintain 3 Lanes WB & 4 Lanes EB; Phases 3 & 4 Maintain 4 Lanes WB & EB (6 + 2)	Alternative 3.c - Widen Existing EB Substructure and Superstructure - Maintain 4 Lanes WB & EB All Phases (5 + 3), (4 + 4) & (6 + 2)	Alternative 5 - New Bridge in Median - Maintain 4 Lanes WB & EB
	Cost	Cost	Cost	Cost	Cost
Additional Bridge Structure	\$0	\$12,042,649.00	\$4,397,123.00	\$40,527,575.00	\$71,609,284.00
Lighting	\$304,215	\$429,215.00	\$304,215.00	\$329,215	\$25,000
Temporay Drainage	\$69,700	\$1,541,255	\$1,012,410	\$55,750	\$0
Temporary Pavement	\$211,718	\$300,844	\$263,576	\$359,628	\$353,680
Temporary Pavement Marking	\$150,774	\$155,038	\$158,844	\$184,680	\$27,996
Portable Concrete Barrier	\$724,025	\$756,674	\$723,007	\$773,800	\$123,537
Subtotal:	\$1,460,432	\$15,225,674	\$6,859,174	\$42,230,648	\$72,139,497
15% Contingency	\$219,065	\$2,283,851	\$1,028,876	\$6,334,597	\$10,820,925
Subtotal:	\$1,679,497	\$17,509,525	\$7,888,051	\$48,565,246	\$82,960,421
35% Inflation	\$587,824	\$6,128,334	\$2,760,818	\$16,997,836	\$29,036,147
Parallel Route Improvements	\$19,419,900	\$5,516,300	\$19,419,900	\$5,516,300	\$0
MOT RELATED COST	\$21,687,221	\$29,154,159	\$30,068,768	\$71,079,381	\$111,996,569
Additional User Costs due to Delays and Queues/per day	\$746,500 4 years with additional costs	\$479,500 4 years with additional costs	\$531,500 4 years with additional costs	\$467,000 5 years with additional costs	\$279,000 1 years with additional costs
Project Duration	5 years	5 1/2 Years	5 Years	6 Years	5 Years

CUY-480-18.42 L/R, PID No. 90591																
					ODO	CQueue Spreadshee	et Results		QUEWZ S	oftware	HCS	Computer Softv	vare	ODO.	F PLCM Chart Info	ormation
		Number of Lanes	Description	AM Peak	Number of	PM Peak	Number of PM	Number of	Capacity in	Additional	AM/PM	LOS/MPH	LOS/MPH	Capacity:	AM Closure	PM Closure
		Maintained		hour/Max AM	AM hours	hour/Max PM	hours w/queue	occurrences of 1/4	Vehicles/Lane/	User	Capacity in	based upon	based upon	Vehicles/	Restrictions	Restrictions
Alternative - Phase	- Direction			Queue	w/queue	Queue		mile queue in non-	Hour	Costs/Day	Vehicles/Lane/	AM Peak	PM Peak	Lane/Hour		
								peak hours		from QUEWZ	Hour	volume	volume			
Existing Condition 4 Lanes F	B and 4 Lanes W	<u>в</u>														
Existing condition 4 Lanes L	Eastbound			7:00/0 Miles	0 Hours	5:00/0 Miles	0 Hours	0	1800	¢Ω	1882/1670	D/61	D/64	1		
	Westbound	4 Lanes		7:00/0 Miles	0 Hours	4:00/0 Miles	0 Hours	0	1800	0Ç \$0	182/10/0	D/61	D/61			
Existing Condition 4 Lanes E	B and 4 Lanes W	B with Work Zone Ir	troduced	7.00/0 Miles	0110013	4.00/0 Willes	0110013	0	1800	ψÇ	1823/1907	D/02	D/01			
Existing Condition 4 Lanes L	Eastbound		litouuceu	7:00/1 8 Miles	2 Hours	5:00/2 0 Miles	3 Hours	0	1/188	\$126,000				1/190	6 Thru 10	12 Thru 7
	Westbound	4 Lanes		7:00/1.3 Miles	2 Hours	4:00/7.0 Miles	5 Hours	1	1560	\$153,000				1490	7 Thru 10	12 Thru 7
Alternative 1.a (70) - Same W	idth Deck Replace	ement - Maintain 3 L	anes WB (on bride	ne only) & 4 Lanes	EB(5+2)		5 110010	-	1000	<i><i><i>q</i>100,000</i></i>	<u> </u>			1100	7 1110 10	12 1110 /
		2 Lanes	(IR 480 Thru)	7:00/12 2 Miles	6 Hours	3:00/9 8 Miles	8 Hours	6 Hours	1413	\$188.000	2245/1964	E/52	D/57			
	Eastbound	2 201100	(From IR 77	7.00/12.2 111103	0 Hours	5.0075.0 Miles	0 Hours		1415	\$100,000	2243/1504	2,32	0,5,			
Phases 1 & 2		2 Lanes	Ramps)	7:00/0.0 Miles	0 Hours	4:00/3.6 Miles	3 Hours	0	1413	\$63,000	1504/1899	C/60	D/58			
	Westbound	3 Lanes		7:00/11.2 Miles	6 Hours	4:00/47.4 Miles	12 Hours	12 Hours	1482	\$341,000	2439/2616	F/47	F/41			
	Eastbound	4 Lanes		7:00/2.5 Miles	2 Hours	4:00/4.1 Miles	4 Hours	0	1413	\$175,000	1882/1783	D/58	D/59			
Phases 3 & 4		1 Lane	(IR 480 Thru)	7:00/11.9 Miles	5 Hours	4:00/48.9 Miles	11 Hours	* 9 Hours	1482	\$331,000	2439/2616	F/47	F/41			
	Westbound	2 Lanes	(To IR 77 Ramps	7:00/11 9 Miles	8 Hours	4.00/48 9 Miles	12 Hours	* 13 Hours	1482	\$395.000	2439/2616	F/47	F/41			
		2 Editor	+ 480 Thru)	7.00/11.5 101105	oriours	4.00740.5 Miles	12 110013	15 110015	1402	\$353,000	2433/2010	1/4/	1/41	-		
Alternative 3.a (72) - Widen E	xisting and New I	Bridge - Deck Repla	cement - Maintain	4 (10') Lanes WB &	EB (6 + 2) - Mo	dify Shoulder Cro	ss Slopes			1			1			
	Footbound	2 Lanes	(IR 480 Thru)	7:00/14.3 Miles	7 Hours	3:00/18.1 Miles	8 Hours	7 Hours	1339	\$220,000	2245/1964	E/52	D/57	_		
Phases 1 & 2	Easibouriu	2 Lanes	(From IR 77 Ramps)	7:00/0.0 Miles	0 Hours	4:00/2.2 Miles	3 Hours	0	1488	\$40,000	1504/1899	C/60	D/58			
	Westbound	4 Lanes	Kamps)	7:00/3 2 Miles	3 Hours	4:00/12 7 Miles	7 Hours	3 Hours	1404	\$228.000	1829/1962	D/59	D/58	-		
	Fastbound	4 Lanes		7:00/4 5 Miles	5 Hours	4:00/6 2 Miles	5 Hours	0	1339	\$212,000	1882/1783	D/58	D/59			
	Eddbound	2 Jane	(IR 480 Thru)	7:00/3.2 Miles	2 Hours	4:00/12 7 Miles	7 Hours	3 Hours	1333	\$159,000	1820/1062	D/50	D/59	-		
Phases 3 & 4	Westbound	2 Lane	(To IR 77 Ramps	7.00/3.2 Willes	5110013	4.00/12.7 Willes	7 110013	5110013	1404	\$139,000	1823/1902	0/33	D/38	-		
		2 Lanes	+ 480 Thru)	7:00/1.1 Miles	2 Hours	4:00/6.2 Miles	5 Hours	1 Hours	1560	\$100,000	1829/1962	D/59	D/58			
Alternative 3.b (72) - Widen N	lew Bridge - Deck	Replacement - Pha	ses 1 &2 Maintain	3 Lanes WB & 4 La	nes EB (5 + 2);	Phases 3 & 4 Mair	ntain 4 (10') Lanes	WB & EB (6 + 2) - N	Iodify Shoulder	Cross Slopes						
		2 Lanes	(IR 480 Thru)	7:00/12.2 Miles	6 Hours	3:00/9.8 Miles	8 Hours	6 Hours	1413	\$188,000	2245/1964	E/52	D/57			
Phases 1 & 2	Eastbound	2 Lanes	(From IR 77	7:00/0 0 Miles	0 Hours	4:00/3 6 Miles	3 Hours	0	1413	\$63,000	1504/1899	C/60	D/58			
		2 20.100	Ramps)						1110	\$00,000	100 1/ 1000	- /	5,55	-		
	vvestbound	3 Lanes		7:00/11.2 Miles	6 Hours	4:00/47.4 Miles	12 Hours	12 Hours	1482	\$341,000	2439/2616	F/47	F/41			
	Eastbound	4 Lanes	()	7:00/4.5 Miles	5 Hours	4:00/6.2 Miles	5 Hours	0	1339	\$212,000	1882/1/83	D/58	D/59	-		
Phases 3 & 4	Westbound	2 Lane	(IR 480 Thru)	7:00/3.2 Miles	3 Hours	4:00/12.7 Miles	7 Hours	3 Hours	1404	\$159,000	1829/1962	D/59	D/58			
	Westbound	2 Lanes	(10 IR 77 Ramps + 480 Thru)	7:00/1.1 Miles	2 Hours	4:00/6.2 Miles	5 Hours	1 Hours	1560	\$100,000	1829/1962	D/59	D/58			
Alternative 3.c - Widen Easth	ound Substructu	re and Superstructu	re - Deck Replacer	nent - Maintain 4 L	anes WB & EB	all Phases: Phases	s 1 & 3 (5 + 3): Ph	ase 2 (4 + 4): Phases	4 & 5 (6 + 2)							
		1 Lanes	(IR 480 Thru)	7:00/2.5 Miles	4 Hours	3:00/0.4 Miles	1 Hour	0	1488	\$46.000	1835/1883	D/59	D/59			
<b>D</b> I	Eastbound		(480 & IR 77	, 100, 215 Willes		5100, 014 141103	2.1001	-	1.00	÷ .0,000	1005	=,00	=,00	1		
Phases 1 & 3		3 Lanes	Ramps)	7:00/3.2 Miles	2 Hours	4:00/8.1 Miles	4 Hours	0	1413	\$129,000	1835/1883	D/59	D/59			
	Westbound	4 Lanes		7:00/1.8 Miles	2 Hours	4:00/9.1 Miles	5 Hours	1 Hour	1482	\$191,000	1829/1962	D/59	D/58			
Bhase 2	Eastbound	4 Lanes		7:00/2.5 Miles	2 Hours	4:00/4.1 Miles	4 Hours	0	1413	\$16,000	1882/1783	D/58	D/59			
Filase 2	Westbound	4 Lanes		7:00/1.3 Miles	2 Hours	4:00/7.0 Miles	5 Hours	1	1560	\$153,000	1829/1907	D/62	D/61			
	Eastbound	4 Lanes		7:00/2.5 Miles	2 Hours	4:00/4.1 Miles	4 Hours	0	1413	\$129,000	1882/1783	D/58	D/59	]		
<b>_</b>		2 ane	(To IR 77 Ramps	7:00/1 8 Miles	2 Hours	4.00/9 1 Miles	5 Hours	1 Hours	1487	\$122.000			D/58	1		
Phases 4 & 5	Westbound	2 Edite	+ 480 Thru)	7.00/1.0 101103	2110013	4.00/ 5.1 Miles	5 110013	THOUS	1402	\$122,000	1829/1962	D/59	0/50	_		
		2 Lanes	(IR 480 Thru)	7:00/1.1 Miles	2 Hours	4:00/6.2 Miles	5 hours	1 hour	1560	\$100,000	1829/1962	D/59	D/58			
Alternative 5 - New Westbour	nd Bridge in Medi	an - Maintain 4 Lane	s WB & EB											1		
	Eastbound	4 Lanes		7:00/1 8 Miles	2 Hours	5:00/2 0 Miles	3 Hours	0	1488	\$126,000	1882/1670	D/61	D/64			
All Phases	Westbound	4   anes		7:00/13 Miles	2 Hours	4.00/7.0 Miles	5 Hours	1	1560	\$153,000	1829/1907	D/62	D/61	1		
	wesibuulu			7.00/ 1.3 WIIIES	2110015	+.00/ /.0 WIIIes	5110015	Ť	1000	000,CCT¢	1023/1307	0/02	0/01	I		

# Work Zone Alternatives - Operational Comparison

Notes

Traffic volumes used were from the peak Friday in August 2012. This day had the peak daily traffic for 2012. 4 months (March to June) in 2013 have been reviewed. There are 6 days in 2013 in the 4 months review above the peak day in 2013. Peak hour/Max AM Queue The peak hour and the maximum queue length are not always coincidential. Queue length accumulates as traffic continues to exceed Quewz work zone volumes.

\* Although the traffic distribution shown in the ODOT spreadsheet results in different queue lengths in the non-peak hours traffic flow will distribute equally and the result for 1/4 mile queues in non-peak hours will be similar to Alternative 1.a (70) Phases 1 & 2 (12 Hours)

# Flow rate beyond limits for which HCS provides results

# Alternative 1.a(70)

# QUEWZ-98

Phase 1 & 2 –	3 (11') lanes WB 2 (11') lanes EB from IR 77 Ram 2 (11') lanes EB crossover
Phase 3 & 4 -	1 (12') lane WB crossover 4 (11') lanes EB 2 (11') lanes WB

nps

## QUEWZ-98 Alternative 1.a(70) – Phase 1 & 2 – 3 lanes WB and 2 lanes EB from IR 77 Ramps

ir480_23.OUT INPUT DATA SUMMARY: ROAD USER COST OUTPUT PAGE 1 OF 6 ALT 1 Phase 1 & 2 WB & 77 ramps EB QUEWZ-98
LANE CLOSURE CONFIGURATION:
TOTAL NUMBER OF LANES INBOUND 4 OUTBOUND 4
NUMBER OF OPEN LANES         INBOUND       3 WB         OUTBOUND       2 (2 LANES FROM THE IR 77 RAMPS)
LENGTH OF WORK ZONE 2.00 MILES
INBOUND CAPACITY (WESTBOUND) E=1.5 NORMAL 8000. (VPH) RESTRICTED 5400. (VPH) WORKING HOURS 4446. (VPH)/3= 1482 VEH/HR/LN
OUTBOUND CAPACITY (IR 77 RAMPS) E=2.5 NORMAL 8000. (VPH) RESTRICTED 3600. (VPH) WORKING HOURS 2826. (VPH)/2= 1413 VEH/HR/LN
TRAFFIC PARAMETERS:
PERCENTAGE TRUCK 5.
SCHEDULE OF WORK ACTIVITY:
HOURS OF RESTRICTED CAPACITY BEGINNING 0 ENDING 24
HOURS OF WORK ZONE ACTIVITY BEGINNING 0 ENDING 24
IDLE HC CAR 34.9 (g/hr) IDLE HC TRUCK 12.6 (g/hr)
IDLE CO CAR 218.5 (g/hr) IDLE CO TRUCK 94.6 (g/hr)
IDLE NOX CAR 4.7 (g/hr) IDLE NOX TRUCK 53.1 (g/hr)
SUMMARY OF ADDITIONAL ROAD USER COSTSPAGE 2 OF 6ALT 1 phase 1&2 WB & 77 rampsQUEWZ-98
ADDITIONAL ROAD USER COSTS (\$) HOUR INBOUND (WB) OUTBOUND (77 Ramps) TOTAL
Page 1

f

	ir480_23.0UT	
14-15	30815.	
15-16	34065.	
16-17	35653.	
17-18	34918.	
18-19	33809.	
19-20	15991.	
20-21	562.	
21-22	327.	
22-23	222.	
23-24	100.	
TOTAL	340257.	
		1

۴

		11400 /	<b>1</b> . UIII		
14-15 15-16 16-17 17-18 18-19 19-20 20-21 21-22 22-23 23-24		30815. 34065. 35653. 34918. 33809. 15991. 562. 327. 222. 100.	6 34 118 208 185 25	57. 90. 61. 67. 60. 64. 90. 76. 32. 16.	31471. 37555. 47514. 55785. 52369. 18554. 652. 402. 255. 116.
TOTAL		340257.	621	54.	402412.
SUMMARY O ALT 1 pha HOUR	F TRAFFIC CO see 1&2 WB & APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	NDITIONS I 77 ramps CAPACITY (VPH)	NBOUND DIREC APPROACH SPEED (MPH)	TION WORK ZONE SPEED (MPH)	PAGE 3 OF 6 QUEWZ-98 QUEUE LENGTH (MILES)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	770. 486. 418. 441. 688. 1763. 4397. 6709. 5923. 4566. 4121. 4309. 4664. 4879. 5902. 6763. 7197. 6995. 6694. 3789. 2717. 2741. 2403. 1787.	$\begin{array}{c} 4446.\\ 44$	59.         59.         59.         57.         52.         47.         49.         51.         52.         51.         52.         51.         52.         51.         52.         51.         52.         51.         52.         51.         52.         51.         52.         51.         52.         51.         52.         51.         52.         51.         52.         53.         55.         55.         57.	57. 58. 59. 58. 38. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
NOTE: TRA	FFIC DIVERSI	ON IS PREDICT	ED, SEE SUMM	ARY OF TRAF	FIC VOLUMES
SUMMARY O ALT 1 pha	DF TRAFFIC CO ase 1&2 WB &	NDITIONS C 77 ramps	UTBOUND DIRE	CTION	PAGE 4 OF 6 QUEWZ-98
HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	CAPACITY (VPH)	APPROACH SPEED (MPH)	WORK ZONE SPEED (MPH)	QUEUE LENGTH (MILES)
0- 1 1- 2 2- 3 3- 4 4- 5 5- 6 6- 7	288. 170. 162. 164. 285. 695. 2017.	2826. 2826. 2826. 2826. 2826. 2826. 2826.	59. 60. 60. 59. 59. 55.	58. 59. 59. 58. 56. 49.	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0$

16-17 17-18 18-19 19-20 20-21 21-22 22-23 23-24 TOTAL		35653. 34918. 33809. 15991. 562. 327. 222. 100. 340257.	111 200 18 2 2	430. 861. 867. 560. 564. 90. 76. 32. 16. 	47514. 55785. 52369. 18554. 652. 402. 255. 116. 402412.
SUMMAR ALT 1	Y OF TRAFFIC phase 1&2 WB	CONDITIONS & 77 ramps	INBOUND DIREC	TION	PAGE 3 OF 6 QUEWZ-98
HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	CAPACITY (VPH)	APPROACH SPEED (MPH)	WORK ZONE SPEED (MPH)	E QUEUE LENGTH (MILES)
0-1 1-2 2-3 3-4 4-5 5-6-7 7-8 9-10 10-111 11-12 12-13 13-14 14-15 15-16 16-17 17-18 18-19 19-20 20-21 21-22 22-23 23-24	770. 486. 418. 441. 688. 1763. 4397. 6709. 5923. 4566. 4121. 4309. 4664. 4121. 4309. 4664. 4879. 5902. 6763. 7197. 6995. 6694. 3789. 2717. 2741. 2403. 1787.	$\begin{array}{c} 4446.\\ 44$	59. 59. 59. 59. 57. 52. 47. 49. 51. 52. 51. 52. 51. 47. 47. 47. 47. 55. 55. 55. 57.	57. 58. 58. 58. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.8 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 0.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
NOTE:	TRAFFIC DIVER	SION IS PREDIC	TED, SEE SUM	MARY OF TRAF	FIC VOLUMES
SUMMAR ALT 1	Y OF TRAFFIC phase 1&2 WB	CONDITIONS & 77 ramps	OUTBOUND DIR	ECTION	PAGE 4 OF 6 QUEWZ-98
HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	CAPACITY (VPH)	APPROACH SPEED (MPH)	WORK ZONE SPEED (MPH)	QUEUE LENGTH (MILES)
0- 1 1- 2 2- 3 3- 4 4- 5 5- 6 6- 7	288. 170. 162. 164. 285. 695. 2017.	2826. 2826. 2826. 2826. 2826. 2826. 2826. 2826.	59. 60. 60. 59. 59. 56.	58. 59. 59. 58. 58. 56. 49.	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0$

7- 8 8- 9 9-10 10-11 11-12 12-13 13-14 14-15 15-16 16-17 17-18 18-19 19-20 20-21 21-22 22-23 23-24	2719. 2174. 2076. 1818. 1967. 2049. 2167. 2397. 2834. 3432. 2990. 2529. 1497. 1224. 1143. 801. 575.	ir480_ 2826. 2826. 2826. 2826. 2826. 2826. 2826. 2826. 2826. 2826. 2826. 2826. 2826. 2826. 2826. 2826. 2826. 2826. 2826.	23.0UT 55. 56. 57. 56. 56. 55. 54. 54. 54. 54. 54. 54. 55. 54. 55. 54. 55. 54. 55. 54. 55. 55	44. 48. 49. 49. 49. 48. 47. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 53. 54. 55.	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0$
NOTE: TRAF SUMMARY OF ALT 1 phas HOUR	FIC DIVERSION IS TRAFFIC VOLUMES te 1&2 WB & 77 ra APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	S PREDIC G INBC amps	TED, SEE SUM DUND DIRECTI VOLUME REMAINING ON FREEWAY (VPH	MARY OF TRAF	FIC VOLUMES PAGE 5 OF 6 QUEWZ-98 
0- 1 1- 2 2- 3 3- 4 4- 5 5- 6 6- 7 7- 8 8- 9 9-10 10-11 11-12 12-13 13-14 14-15 15-16 16-17 17-18 18-19 19-20 20-21 21-22 22-23 23-24 	770. 486. 418. 441. 688. 1763. 4397. 6709. 5923. 4566. 4121. 4309. 4664. 4879. 5902. 6763. 7197. 6995. 6694. 3789. 2717. 2741. 2403. 1787. E ESTIMATES ASSU	JME THAT	770. 486. 418. 688. 1763. 4397. 5238. 4446. 4446. 4446. 4446. 4446. 4446. 4446. 4446. 4446. 4446. 4446. 4446. 4446. 7787. 2741. 2755. 2755. 2755. 2755. 2755. 2755. 2755. 2755. 2755. 2755	L DIVERT SUC	0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 1471. 1477. 120. 0. 189. 1456. 2317. 2751. 2549. 2248. 0. 0. 0. H THAT
QUEU SUMMARY OF ALT 1 phas	IE LENGTHS NEVER TRAFFIC VOLUMES Se 1&2 WB & 77 ra	EXCEED 5 OUTE amps	1.50 MILES BOUND DIRECT	ION	PAGE 6 OF 6 QUEWZ-98
HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)		VOLUME REMAINING ON FREEWAY (VPH	DIV ) FR	VOLUME ERTING FROM EEWAY (VPH)
0- 1	288.	Pag	288. e 3		0.

ቶ

ዮ

ir480_23.0UT					
$\begin{array}{c} 1-2\\ 2-3\\ 3-4\\ 4-5\\ 5-6\\ 6-7\\ 7-8\\ 9\\ 9-10\\ 10-11\\ 11-12\\ 12-13\\ 13-14\\ 14-15\\ 15-16\\ 16-17\\ 17-18\\ 18-19\\ 19-20\\ 20-21\\ 21-22\\ 22-23\\ 23-24 \end{array}$	170. 162. 164. 285. 695. 2017. 2719. 2174. 2076. 1818. 1967. 2049. 2167. 2397. 2834. 3432. 2990. 2529. 1497. 1224. 1143. 801. 575.	$\begin{array}{c} 170,\\ 162,\\ 164,\\ 285,\\ 695,\\ 2017,\\ 2719,\\ 2174,\\ 2076,\\ 1818,\\ 1967,\\ 2049,\\ 2167,\\ 2397,\\ 2834,\\ 3432,\\ 2990,\\ 2529,\\ 1497,\\ 1224,\\ 1143,\\ 801,\\ 575,\\ \end{array}$		0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0	
NOTE: THESE	ESTIMATES ASSUME	THAT TRAFFIC WILL	DIVERT SUCH	ТНАТ	
QUEUE	LENGTHS NEVER EXC	EED 1.50 MILES.			
	EXCESS	EMISSIONS (DIFFE	RENCE)		
Inbound Outbound	HC (Kgs) 215.9 56.1	CO (Kgs) 1561.8 344.7	NOX (Kgs) -137.2 -50.1		
		BASE EMISSIONS			
Inbound Outbound	HC (Kgs) 291.1 99.6	CO (Kgs) 1688.9 624.1	NOX (Kgs) 488.2 194.7		
	CONSTR	UCTION RELATED EM	ISSIONS		
Inbound Outbound	HC (Kgs) 510.0 174.6	CO (Kgs) 3269.5 1087.7	NOX (Kgs) 351.7 148.7		

## QUEWZ-98 Alternative 1.a(70) – Phase 1 & 2 – 3 lanes WB and 2 lanes EB on crossover

	ir480_24.OUT INPUT DATA SUMMARY: ROAD USER COST OUTPUT ALT 1 Phase 1 & 2 WB & IR 480 EB thru	PA	GE 1 OF 6 QUEWZ-98		14-15 15-16 16-17 17-18 18-19 19-20		30815. 34065. 35653. 34918. 33809. 15991.	
	LANE CLOSURE CONFIGURATION:				20-21		327.	
	TOTAL NUMBER OF LANES INBOUND 4 OUTBOUND 4				22-23 23-24		222. 100.	
	NUMBER OF OPEN LANES INBOUND 3 WB OUTBOUND 2 EB IR	480 Crossover -	Thru	Ŷ	SUMMARY	OF TRAFFIC CO	DNDITIONS	INBOUND DI
	LENGTH OF WORK ZONE 2.00 MI INBOUND CAPACITY (WESTBOUND) E= 1.5 NORMAL 8000. (VPH RESTRICTED 5400. (VPH	LES			ALT I P HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	480 thru CAPACITY (VPH)	APPROAC SPEED (MPH)
	WORKING HOURS 4446. (VPH OUTBOUND CAPACITY (EASTBOUND CROSSOVER - NORMAL 8000. (VPH RESTRICTED 3600. (VPH WORKING HOURS 2826. (VPH	)/3= 1482 VEH/HR/ THRU) E= 2.5 ) )/2= 1413 VEH/HR/	'LN		0- 1 1- 2 2- 3 3- 4 4- 5 5- 6	770. 486. 418. 441. 688. 1763.	4446. 4446. 4446. 4446. 4446. 4446.	59. 59. 59. 59. 59.
	TRAFFIC PARAMETERS: PERCENTAGE TRUCK 5.				6- 7 7- 8 8- 9	4397. 6709. 5923.	4446. 4446. 4446.	52. 47. 49.
	SCHEDULE OF WORK ACTIVITY:				9-10 10-11 11-12	4566. 4121. 4309.	4446. 4446. 4446.	51. 52. 52.
	BEGINNING 0 ENDING 24				12-13 13-14 14-15	4664. 4879. 5902.	4446. 4446. 4446.	51. 51. 49.
	HOURS OF WORK ZONE ACTIVITY BEGINNING 0 ENDING 24				15-16 16-17 17-18 18-19	6763. 7197. 6995. 6694.	4446. 4446. 4446. 4446.	47. 46. 47. 47.
	IDLE HC CAR 34.9 (g/hr) IDLE HC TRUCK	12.6 (g/hr)			19-20 20-21	3789. 2717.	4446. 4446.	53. 55.
	IDLE CO CAR 218.5 (g/hr) IDLE CO TRUCK	94.6 (g/hr) 53.1 (g/hr)			21-22 22-23 23-24	2741. 2403. 1787.	4446. 4446. 4446.	55. 55. 57.
우	SUMMARY OF ADDITIONAL ROAD USER COSTS ALT 1 phase 1&2 WB & 480 thru	PA	GE 2 OF 6 QUEWZ-98		NOTE: T	RAFFIC DIVERS	ION IS PREDI	CTED, SEE S
	ADDITIONAL ROAD USER CO HOUR INBOUND O	DSTS (\$) UTBOUND	TOTAL		SUMMARY ALT 1 p	OF TRAFFIC C hase 1&2 WB &	ONDITIONS 480 thru	OUTBOUND E
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	12. 6.	29. 14.		HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	CAPACITY (VPH)	APPROAC SPEED (MPH)
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5. 6. 14. 186. 14288. 31057. 27327. 17476. 9044. 1168. 720.	11. 12. 28. 282. 17015. 50526. 58222. 43014. 29597. 16187. 17188.		0- 1 1- 2 2- 3 3- 4 4- 5 5- 6 6- 7	495. 312. 270. 306. 534. 1593. 3633.	2826. 2826. 2826. 2826. 2826. 2826. 2826. 2826. 2826. Pag	59. 59. 59. 59. 59. 57. 53. ge 2
	13-14 22979. Page 1	1048.	24027.					

11 100_21		
.5.	5513.	36328.
55.	16242.	50308.
3.	23880.	59533.
.8.	24132.	59050.
9.	13608.	47418.
91.	524.	16515.
52.	100.	662.
27.	183.	509.
2.	520.	742.
0.	610.	709.
57.	187668.	527925.

ir480\_24.0UT

EONS thru	INBOUND DIREC	TION	PAGE 3 OF 6 QUEWZ-98
PACITY (VPH)	APPROACH SPEED (MPH)	WORK ZONE SPEED (MPH)	QUEUE LENGTH (MILES)
$\begin{array}{c} 4446.\\ 446.\\ 446$	59.         59.         59.         57.         52.         47.         49.         51.         52.         51.         52.         51.         52.         51.         52.         51.         52.         51.         52.         51.         52.         51.         52.         51.         52.         51.         52.         51.         52.         51.         52.         51.         52.         55.         55.         55.         57.	57. 58. 59. 58. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.8\\ 1.5\\ 1.5\\ 1.2\\ 0.8\\ 0.8\\ 1.3\\ 1.5\\ 1.5\\ 1.5\\ 1.5\\ 1.5\\ 1.5\\ 1.5\\ 1.5$
S PREDIO IONS thru	CTED, SEE SUMM OUTBOUND DIRE	ARY OF TRAF	PAGE 4 OF 6 OUEWZ-98
PACITY (VPH)	APPROACH SPEED (MPH)	WORK ZONE SPEED (MPH)	QUEUE LENGTH (MILES)
2826. 2826. 2826. 2826. 2826. 2826. 2826. 2826.	59. 59. 59. 59. 59. 57. 53.	57. 58. 59. 57. 51. 30.	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.8 \end{array}$

	ir480_24.0UT				
7- 8 8- 9 9-10 10-11 11-12 12-13 13-14 14-15 15-16 16-17 17-18 18-19 19-20 20-21 21-22 22-23 23-24 	4019. 3463. 2425. 2531. 2359. 2464. 2696. 2983. 3517. 2954. 2991. 2142. 1821. 1274. 1583. 2232. 2344.	2826.       52.         2826.       53.         2826.       55.         2826.       55.         2826.       55.         2826.       55.         2826.       54.         2826.       54.         2826.       54.         2826.       54.         2826.       54.         2826.       54.         2826.       54.         2826.       56.         2826.       57.         2826.       57.         2826.       56.         2826.       56.         2826.       56.         2826.       56.         2826.       56.         2826.       56.         2826.       56.         2826.       56.         2826.       56.         2826.       56.         2826.       56.         2826.       56.         2826.       56.         2826.       56.         2826.       56.         2826.       56.         2826.       56.	30. 30. 30. 44. 47. 45. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 48. 53. 52. 48. 47.	1.5 1.5 1.1 0.5 0.1 0.0 0.0 0.1 0.9 1.5 1.5 0.9 0.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	
SUMMARY OF	F TRAFFIC VOLUMES se 1&2 WB & 480 t	INBOUND DIRE	CTION	PAGE 5 OF 6 QUEWZ-98	
HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	VOLUME REMAINING FREEWAY (	ON DIV VPH) FR	VOLUME /ERTING FROM REEWAY (VPH)	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 770.\\ 486.\\ 418.\\ 441.\\ 688.\\ 1763.\\ 4397.\\ 6709.\\ 5923.\\ 4566.\\ 4121.\\ 4309.\\ 4564.\\ 4121.\\ 4309.\\ 4664.\\ 4879.\\ 5902.\\ 6763.\\ 7197.\\ 6995.\\ 6694.\\ 3789.\\ 2717.\\ 2741.\\ 2403.\\ 1787.\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 770.\\ 486.\\ 418.\\ 441.\\ 688.\\ 1763.\\ 4397.\\ 5238.\\ 4446.\\ 4446.\\ 4446.\\ 4121.\\ 4309.\\ 4664.\\ 4446.\\ 4446.\\ 4446.\\ 4446.\\ 4446.\\ 4446.\\ 3789.\\ 2717.\\ 2741.\\ 2403.\\ 1787.\\ \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 1471.\\ 1477.\\ 120.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 189.\\ 1456.\\ 2317.\\ 2751.\\ 2549.\\ 2248.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0$	
SUMMARY OF	TRAFFIC VOLUMES	EXCEED 1.50 MI	ECTION	PAGE 6 OF 6	
HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	VOLUME REMAINING FREEWAY (	ON DIV VPH) FF	VOLUME /ERTING FROM REEWAY (VPH)	
0- 1	495.	495. Page 3		0.	

f

4

	ir	480_24.OUT
$ \begin{array}{r} 1-2\\ 2-3\\ 3-4\\ 4-5\\ 5-6\\ 6-7\\ 7-8\\ 8-9\\ 9-10\\ 10-11\\ 11-12\\ 12-13\\ 13-14\\ 14-15\\ 15-16\\ 16-17\\ 17-18\\ 18-19\\ 19-20\\ 20-21\\ 21-22\\ 22-23\\ 23-24\\$	312. 270. 306. 534. 1593. 3633. 4019. 3463. 2425. 2531. 2359. 2464. 2696. 2983. 3517. 2954. 2991. 2142. 1821. 1274. 1583. 2232. 2344.	$\begin{array}{c} 312\\ 270\\ 306\\ 534\\ 1593\\ 3618\\ 2826\\ 2826\\ 2425\\ 2531\\ 2359\\ 2464\\ 2696\\ 2983\\ 3461\\ 2826\\ 2826\\ 2142\\ 1821\\ 1274\\ 1583\\ 2232\\ 2344\\ \end{array}$
NOTE: THESE ES QUEUE LE	STIMATES ASSUME	THAT TRAFFIC EED 1.50 MI
Inbound Outbound	EXCESS HC (Kgs) 215.9 163.7	EMISSIONS (D CO (Kgs) 1561.8 1081.7
Inbound Outbound	HC (Kgs) 291.1 162.2	BASE EMISSI CO (Kgs) 1688.9 981.8
Inbound Outbound	CONSTR HC (Kgs) 510.0 334.4	UCTION RELATE CO (Kgs) 3269.5 2117.4

Page 4



### (DIFFERENCE)

NOX
(Kgs)
-137.2
-87.2

IONS

	NOX
	(Kgs)
4	488.2
	311.6

### ED EMISSIONS

	Ν	0	×
. 1	(K	g	s)
3	51	•	7
22	26		2

## QUEWZ-98 Alternative 1.a(70) – Phase 3 & 4 – 1 lane WB crossover and 4 lanes EB

INPUT DATA SUMMARY: F ALT 1 phase 3 & 4 4 F	ir480_3 ROAD USER COS EB/1 WB	25.0UT T OUTPUT		P	AGE 1 OF 6 QUEWZ-98
LANE CLOSURE CONFIGU	RATION:				
TOTAL NUMBER OF LAM INBOUND OUTBOUND	NES	4 5			
NUMBER OF OPEN LANE INBOUND OUTBOUND	ES	1 WB 4 EB			
LENGTH OF WORK ZONE	E.	2.00 MILES	5		
INBOUND CAPACITY NORMAL RESTRICTED WORKING HOURS	(WESTBOUND) 81 14 14	E=1.5 000. (VPH) 800. (VPH) 482. (VPH)/:	L= 1482	2 VEH/HR	/LN
OUTBOUND CAPACITY NORMAL RESTRICTED WORKING HOURS	(EASTBOUND) 10 7 5	E=2.5 000. (VPH) 200. (VPH) 652. (VPH)/4	4= 1413	3 VEH/HR	/LN
TRAFFIC PARAMETERS:					
PERCENTAGE TRUCK		5.			
SCHEDULE OF WORK ACT	IVITY:				
HOURS OF RESTRICTED BEGINNING ENDING	CAPACITY	0 24			
HOURS OF WORK ZONE BEGINNING ENDING	ACTIVITY	0 24			
IDLE HC CAR 34.9	(g/hr) IDLE	HC TRUCK	12.6	(g/hr)	
IDLE CO CAR 218.5	(g/hr) IDLE	CO TRUCK	94.6	(g/hr)	
IDLE NOX CAR 4.7	(g/hr) IDLE	NOX TRUCK	53.1	(g/hr)	
SUMMARY OF ADDITIONAL ALT 1 phase 3&4 4 EB/	ROAD USER CO	osts		P/	AGE 2 OF 6 QUEWZ-98
HOUR	ADDITIONAL RO	AD USER COST	rs (\$) BOUND		TOTAL
0- 1 1- 2 2- 3 3- 4 4- 5 5- 6 6- 7 7- 8 8- 9 9-10 10-11 11-12 12-13 13-14	0. 0. 0. 0. 1797. 12024. 26547. 21613. 21087. 21087. 22047. 22992	20 33 14	14. 7. 6. 7. 16. 5786. 0410. 1214. 4073. 824. 810. 931.		14. 7. 6. 7. 128. 7583. 32435. 57761. 35686. 21912. 21898. 22978. 224191

f

Page 1

14-15 5-16 16-17 77-18 18-19 19-20 10-21 11-22 12-23 13-24	ir480_25.00 27464. 31221. 33103. 32227. 30920. 21087. 5014. 0. 0. 0.	UT
OTAL	330231.	
UMMARY OF T	RAFFIC CONDITIONS INBOL	JND

<del>Ŷ</del>

SUMMAR ALT 1	RY OF TRAFFIC CONDI phase 3&4 4 EB/1 N	TIONS WB	INBOUND DIREC	TION	PAGE 3 OF 6 QUEWZ-98
HOUR	APPROACH ( VOLUME (VPH)	CAPACITY (VPH)	APPROACH SPEED (MPH)	WORK ZONE SPEED (MPH)	QUEUE LENGTH (MILES)
0-1 1-2 2-3 3-4 4-5 5-6 6-7 7-8 8-9 9-101 10-11 12-13 13-14 14-15 15-16 16-17 17-18 18-19 20-21 21-22 22-23 23-24	$\begin{array}{c} 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 1482.\\ 2237.\\ 1975.\\ 1522.\\ 1482.\\ 1482.\\ 1482.\\ 1482.\\ 1555.\\ 1627.\\ 1968.\\ 2255.\\ 2399.\\ 2332.\\ 2232.\\ 1482.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0$	$1482.\\1482$	60. 60. 60. 57. 56. 57. 57. 57. 57. 57. 57. 57. 55. 56. 55. 56. 55. 56. 56. 56. 56. 56	60. 60. 60. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 3	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.7 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5
NOTE:	TRAFFIC DIVERSION	IS PREDIC	OUTBOUND DIRE	ARY OF TRAF	FIC VOLUMES
ALT 1 HOUR	Phase 3&4 4 EB/1 V APPROACH ( VOLUME (VPH)	WB CAPACITY (VPH)	APPROACH SPEED (MPH)	WORK ZONE SPEED (MPH)	QUEWZ-98 QUEUE LENGTH (MILES)
0- 1 1- 2 2- 3 3- 4 4- 5 5- 6 6- 7	783. 482. 432. 470. 819. 2288. 5650.	5652. 5652. 5652. 5652. 5652. 5652. 5652.	59. 59. 59. 59. 59. 57. 51.	58. 59. 59. 58. 54. 32.	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0$

SUMMAR ALT 1	RY OF TRAFFIC COND phase 3&4 4 EB/1	UTIONS WB	INBOUND DIREC	TION	PAGE 3 OF 6 QUEWZ-98
HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	CAPACITY (VPH)	APPROACH SPEED (MPH)	WORK ZONE SPEED (MPH)	QUEUE LENGTH (MILES)
0-1 1-2 2-3 3-4 4-5 5-6 6-7 7-8 8-9 9-10 10-11 11-12 12-13 13-14 14-15 15-16 16-17 17-18 18-19 19-20 20-21 21-22 22-23 23-24 -2-23 23-24	$\begin{array}{c} 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 1482.\\ 2237.\\ 1975.\\ 1522.\\ 1482.\\ 1482.\\ 1482.\\ 1482.\\ 1482.\\ 1555.\\ 1627.\\ 1968.\\ 2255.\\ 2399.\\ 2332.\\ 2232.\\ 1482.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0$	$1482.\\1482$	60. 60. 60. 60. 57. 56. 57. 57. 57. 57. 57. 57. 55. 56. 55. 56. 55. 56. 56. 56. 56. 56	60. 60. 60. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 3	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.7\\ 1.5\\ 1.5\\ 1.5\\ 1.5\\ 1.5\\ 1.5\\ 1.5\\ 1.5$
NOTE:	TRAFFIC DIVERSION	IS PREDI	CTED, SEE SUMM	MARY OF TRAF	FIC VOLUMES
ALT 1	phase 3&4 4 EB/1	WB	OUTBOUND DIRE	CTION	QUEWZ-98
HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	CAPACITY (VPH)	APPROACH SPEED (MPH)	WORK ZONE SPEED (MPH)	QUEUE LENGTH (MILES)
0- 1 1- 2 2- 3 3- 4 4- 5 5- 6 6- 7	783. 482. 432. 470. 819. 2288. 5650.	5652. 5652. 5652. 5652. 5652. 5652. 5652.	59. 59. 59. 59. 59. 57. 51.	58. 59. 59. 58. 54. 32.	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0$

1823. 16167. 29692. 32725. 17915. 363. 161. 204. 274.	29287. 47388. 62795. 64952. 48835. 21451. 5175. 204. 274.
246.	246.
174997.	505227.

		ir480_25.0UT	
7- 8 8-9 9-10 10-11 11-12 12-13 13-14 14-15 15-16 16-17 17-18 18-19 19-20 20-21 21-22 22-23 23-24 NOTE: TRAK	6738. 5 5637. 5 4501. 5 4326. 5 4326. 5 4326. 5 4863. 5 5380. 5 6351. 5 6386. 5 5981. 5 4671. 5 3318. 5 2498. 5 2726. 5 3033. 5 2919. 5 5 FFIC DIVERSION IS	652.       50.         652.       51.         652.       53.         652.       53.         652.       53.         652.       53.         652.       53.         652.       53.         652.       53.         652.       50.         652.       50.         652.       51.         652.       51.         652.       55.         652.       56.	30.       0.8         30.       1.5         33.       0.7         48.       0.0         48.       0.0         48.       0.0         48.       0.0         47.       0.0         45.       0.0         30.       1.5         30.       1.5         30.       1.5         30.       1.5         30.       1.5         30.       0.8         51.       0.0         53.       0.0         53.       0.0         52.       0.0         52.       0.0         52.       0.0         52.       0.0         52.       0.0
SUMMARY OF ALT 1 phas	TRAFFIC VOLUMES se 3&4 4 EB/1 WB	INBOUND DIRECTION	PAGE 5 OF 6 QUEWZ-98
HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	VOLUME REMAINING ON FREEWAY (VPH)	VOLUME DIVERTING FROM FREEWAY (VPH)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 1482.\\ 2237.\\ 1975.\\ 1522.\\ 1482.\\ 1482.\\ 1482.\\ 1482.\\ 1482.\\ 1482.\\ 1627.\\ 1968.\\ 2255.\\ 2399.\\ 2332.\\ 2232.\\ 1482.\\ 1482.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 1482.\\ 2237.\\ 1519.\\ 1482.\\ 1482.\\ 1482.\\ 1482.\\ 1482.\\ 1482.\\ 1482.\\ 1482.\\ 1482.\\ 1482.\\ 1482.\\ 1482.\\ 1482.\\ 1482.\\ 1482.\\ 1482.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0$	0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 456. 40. 0. 0. 73. 145. 486. 773. 917. 850. 750. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.
NOTE: THE	SE ESTIMATES ASSUM JE LENGTHS NEVER E	E THAT TRAFFIC WILL D XCEED 1.50 MILES.	DIVERT SUCH THAT
ALT 1 pha	se 3&4 4 EB/1 WB		QUEWZ-98
HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	VOLUME REMAINING ON FREEWAY (VPH)	VOLUME DIVERTING FROM FREEWAY (VPH)
0- 1	783.	783. Page 3	0.

f

4

	ir4	480_25.OUT
1 - 2 $2 - 3$ $3 - 4$ $4 - 5$ $5 - 6$ $6 - 7$ $7 - 8$ $8 - 9$ $9 - 10$ $10 - 11$ $11 - 12$ $12 - 13$ $13 - 14$ $14 - 15$ $15 - 16$ $16 - 17$ $17 - 18$ $18 - 19$ $19 - 20$ $20 - 21$ $21 - 22$ $22 - 23$ $23 - 24$	482. 470. 819. 2288. 5650. 6738. 5637. 4501. 4349. 4326. 4513. 4863. 5380. 6351. 6386. 5981. 4671. 3318. 2498. 2726. 3033. 2919.	482 432 470 819 2288 5650 6642 5637 4501 4326 4513 4863 5380 6351 5943 5652 4671 3318 2498 2726 3033 2919
NOTE: THESE QUEUE	ESTIMATES ASSUME LENGTHS NEVER EXCE	THAT TRAFFIC
Inbound Outbound	EXCESS HC (Kgs) 313.2 127.8	EMISSIONS (1 CO (Kgs) 1943.9 928.3 BASE EMISSI
Inbound Outbound	HC (Kgs) 100.2 262.0	CO (Kgs) 680.5 1532.7
	CONSTRU	JCTION RELATE
Inbound Outbound	HC (Kgs) 413.4 542.0	CO (Kgs) 2624.5 3417.6

Page 4



### (DIFFERENCE)

NOX
(Kgs)
-35.6
-117.6

IONS

NOX
(Kgs)
198.2
468.3

### ED EMISSIONS

	NC	)X
(	Kg	IS)
16	2.	6
38	3.	0

INPUT DATA SUM ALT 1 phase 3	i MARY: ROAD USE & 4 4 EB/2 WB	r480_26.OUT R COST OUTPUT		PAGE 1 OF 6 QUEWZ-98
LANE CLOSURE	ONFIGURATION:			
TOTAL NUMBER INBOUND OUTBOUND	OF LANES	4 5		
NUMBER OF OF INBOUND OUTBOUND	PEN LANES	2 WB 4 EB		
LENGTH OF WO	DRK ZONE	2.00 MIL	ES	
INBOUND CAF NORMAL RESTRICTEL WORKING HO	PACITY (WESTBOU ) DURS	ND) E=1.5 8000. (VPH) 3600. (VPH) 2964. (VPH)	/2= 1482 VEF	i/HR/LN
OUTBOUND CAP NORMAL RESTRICTED WORKING HO	PACITY (EASTBOU ) DURS	ND) E=2.5 10000. (VPH) 7200. (VPH) 5652. (VPH)	/4= 1413 VEH	i/HR/LN
TRAFFIC PARAME	TERS:			
PERCENTAGE 1	RUCK	5.		
SCHEDULE OF WO	ORK ACTIVITY:			
HOURS OF RES BEGINNING ENDING	STRICTED CAPACI	TY 0 24		
HOURS OF WOR BEGINNING ENDING	RK ZONE ACTIVIT	Y 0 24		
IDLE HC CAR	34.9 (g/hr)	IDLE HC TRUCK	12.6 (g/ł	ır)
IDLE CO CAR	218.5 (g/hr)	IDLE CO TRUCK	94.6 (g/ł	ır)
IDLE NOX CAR	4.7 (g/hr)	IDLE NOX TRUCK	53.1 (g/ł	nr)
SUMMARY OF ADD ALT 1 phase 3&	ITIONAL ROAD U 4 4EB/2 WB	SER COSTS		PAGE 2 OF 6 QUEWZ-98
HOUR	ADDITION INBOUND	AL ROAD USER COS	STS (\$) FBOUND	TOTAL
0- 1 1- 2 2- 3 3- 4 4- 5 5- 6 6- 7 7- 8 8- 9 9-10 10-11 11-12 12-13 12-14	28. 11. 9. 10. 22. 225. 3540. 17021. 29519. 23713. 23196. 24140. 24140.		14. 7. 6. 7. 128. 5786. 20410. 31214. 14073. 824. 810. 931.	42. 18. 15. 16. 37. 353. 9326. 37431. 60733. 37786. 24020. 24006. 25071.
13-14	25062.	Page 1	1199.	26261.
		rage I		

f

	ir480_26.0UT
14-15	29429.
15-16	33061.
16-17	34874.
17-18	34035.
18-19	32772.
19-20	23196.
20-21	19778.
21-22	14002.
22-23	3814.
23-24	234.
TOTAL	394885.

۴

SUMMAR ALT 1	Y OF TRAFFIC COND phase 3&4 4EB/2 W	ETIONS B	INBOUND DIRE	CTION	PAGE 3 OF 6 QUEWZ-98
HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	CAPACITY (VPH)	APPROACH SPEED (MPH)	WORK ZONE SPEED (MPH)	QUEUE LENGTH (MILES)
0- 1 1- 2 2- 3 3- 4 4- 5 5- 6 6- 7 7- 8 8- 9 9-10 10-11 11-12 12-13 13-14 14-15 15-16 16-17 17-18 18-19 19-20 20-21 21-22 22-23 23-24 	$\begin{array}{c} 770.\\ 486.\\ 418.\\ 441.\\ 688.\\ 1763.\\ 2964.\\ 4473.\\ 3949.\\ 3044.\\ 2964.\\ 3110.\\ 3253.\\ 3935.\\ 4509.\\ 4798.\\ 4664.\\ 4463.\\ 2964.\\ 2717.\\ 2741.\\ 2403.\\ 1787.\\ \end{array}$	2964. 2964.	59. 59. 59. 59. 52. 52. 53. 54. 54. 54. 54. 54. 54. 51. 51. 51. 51. 51. 51. 51. 55. 55. 55	56. 58. 58. 56. 51. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 1.5\\ 1.5\\ 1.5\\ 1.5\\ 1.5\\ 1.5\\ 1.5\\ 1.5$
NOTE: SUMMAR	TRAFFIC DIVERSION	IS PREDI ITIONS B	CTED, SEE SUM OUTBOUND DIR	MARY OF TRAF ECTION	FIC VOLUMES PAGE 4 OF 6 OUEWZ-98
HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	CAPACITY (VPH)	APPROACH SPEED (MPH)	WORK ZONE SPEED (MPH)	QUEUE LENGTH (MILES)
0- 1 1- 2 2- 3 3- 4 4- 5 5- 6 6- 7	783. 482. 432. 470. 819. 2288. 5650.	5652. 5652. 5652. 5652. 5652. 5652. 5652.	59. 59. 59. 59. 59. 57. 51.	58. 59. 59. 58. 54. 32.	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0$

SUMMARY O ALT 1 pha	OF TRAFFIC COM ase 3&4 4EB/2	WB	NBOUND DIREC	TION P	AGE 3 OF 6 QUEWZ-98
HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	CAPACITY (VPH)	APPROACH SPEED (MPH)	WORK ZONE SPEED (MPH)	QUEUE LENGTH (MILES)
0- 1 1- 2 2- 3 3- 4 4- 5 5- 6 6- 7 7- 8 8- 9 9-10 10-11 11-12 12-13 13-14 14-15 15-16 16-17 17-18 18-19 19-20 20-21 21-22 22-23 23-24 	770. 486. 418. 441. 688. 1763. 2964. 4473. 3949. 3044. 2964. 2964. 3110. 3253. 3935. 4509. 4798. 4664. 2964. 2717. 2741. 2403. 1787.	2964. 2964.	59. 59. 59. 59. 57. 54. 52. 53. 54. 54. 54. 54. 54. 54. 51. 51. 51. 51. 52. 54. 55. 55. 55. 57.	56. 58. 58. 56. 51. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0$
SUMMARY OF TRAFFIC CONDITIONS OUTBOUND DIRECTION PAGE 4 OF 6 ALT 1 phase 3&4 4EB/2 WB QUEWZ-98					
HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	CAPACITY (VPH)	APPROACH SPEED (MPH)	WORK ZONE SPEED (MPH)	QUEUE LENGTH (MILES)
0- 1 1- 2 2- 3 3- 4 4- 5 5- 6 6- 7	783. 482. 432. 470. 819. 2288. 5650.	5652. 5652. 5652. 5652. 5652. 5652. 5652. 5652. Page	59. 59. 59. 59. 59. 57. 51.	58. 59. 59. 58. 54. 32.	$0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 $

1823. 16167. 29692. 32725. 17915. 363. 161. 204. 274. 246.	31252. 49229. 64566. 66760. 23559. 19939. 14205. 4088. 481.
174997.	569882.

		ir480	_26.0UT		
7- 8 8- 9 9-10 10-11 11-12 12-13 13-14 14-15 15-16 16-17 17-18 18-19 19-20 20-21 21-22 22-23 23-24 NOTE: TRA	6738. 5637. 4501. 4349. 4326. 4513. 4863. 5380. 6351. 6386. 5981. 4671. 3318. 2498. 2726. 3033. 2919. 	5652. 5652.	50. 51. 53. 53. 53. 53. 52. 50. 50. 50. 51. 53. 55. 56. 56. 56. 56. 56. 56.	30. 30. 33. 48. 48. 48. 47. 45. 30. 30. 30. 51. 53. 52. 52. 52.	0.8 1.5 0.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.5 1.3 1.5 0.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
SUMMARY O	F TRAFFIC VOLUMES se 3&4 4EB/2 WB	S IN	BOUND DIRECTION	۱	PAGE 5 OF 6 QUEWZ-98
HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)		VOLUME REMAINING ON FREEWAY (VPH)	DI F	VOLUME VERTING FROM REEWAY (VPH)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 770.\\ 486.\\ 418.\\ 441.\\ 688.\\ 1763.\\ 2964.\\ 4473.\\ 3949.\\ 3044.\\ 2964.\\ 2964.\\ 2964.\\ 3110.\\ 3253.\\ 3935.\\ 4509.\\ 4798.\\ 4664.\\ 4463.\\ 2964.\\ 2717.\\ 2741.\\ 2403.\\ 1787.\\ \end{array}$		770. 486. 418. 441. 688. 1763. 2964. 3756. 2964. 2965. 2777. 2777.		$\begin{array}{c} 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 717.\\ 985.\\ 80.\\ 0.\\ 146.\\ 289.\\ 971.\\ 1545.\\ 1834.\\ 1700.\\ 1499.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0.\\ 0$
NOTE: THE QUE SUMMARY O	SE ESTIMATES ASS UE LENGTHS NEVER F TRAFFIC VOLUMES	UME THA EXCEED	T TRAFFIC WILL 1.50 MILES. FBOUND DIRECTIO	DIVERT SU	PAGE 6 OF 6
ALT 1 pha HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME		VOLUME REMAINING ON	DĪ	QUEWZ-98 VOLUME VERTING FROM
0- 1	(VPH) 783.	 Po	783.		0.
		гa	90 5		

Ŷ

4

	ir	480_26.OUT
1-2	482.	482.
2- 3 3- 4	432.	432.
4-5	819.	819.
5-6	2288.	2288.
6-7	5650.	5650.
7- 0 8- 9	5637	5637
9-10	4501.	4501.
10-11	4349.	4349.
11-12	4326.	4326.
13-14	4863	4515.
14-15	5380.	5380.
15-16	6351.	6351.
16-17	6386.	5943.
18-19	4671.	4671
19-20	3318.	3318.
20-21	2498.	2498.
21-22	2726.	2726.
23-24	2919.	2919.
QUEUE LEI	NGTHS NEVER EXC	EED 1.50 MI
	EACESS	EMISSIONS (L
	HC	CO
Tnbound	(Kgs) 316.8	2139.8
Outbound	127.8	028.2
		928.5
		928.3 BASE EMISSI
		928.5 BASE EMISSI
	HC	BASE EMISSI
Inbound	НС (Kgs) 229.6	928.3 BASE EMISSI CO (Kgs) 1350.6
Inbound Outbound	НС (Kgs) 229.6 262.0	928.3 BASE EMISSI CO (Kgs) 1350.6 1532.7
Inbound Outbound	НС (кgs) 229.6 262.0	928.3 BASE EMISSI CO (Kgs) 1350.6 1532.7
Inbound Outbound	HC (Kgs) 229.6 262.0 CONSTR	928.3 BASE EMISSI (Kgs) 1350.6 1532.7 UCTION RELATE
Inbound Outbound	HC (KgS) 229.6 262.0 CONSTR	928.3 BASE EMISSI (Kgs) 1350.6 1532.7 UCTION RELATE
Inbound Outbound	HC (KgS) 229.6 262.0 CONSTR HC (KgS) 540.4	928.3 BASE EMISSI (Kgs) 1350.6 1532.7 UCTION RELATE CO 25002
Inbound Outbound Inbound Outbound	HC (KgS) 229.6 262.0 CONSTR HC (KgS) 549.4 465.4	928.3 BASE EMISSI (Kgs) 1350.6 1532.7 UCTION RELATE CO (Kgs) 3509.1 2936.3
Inbound Outbound Inbound Outbound	HC (KgS) 229.6 262.0 CONSTR HC (KgS) 549.4 465.4	928.3 BASE EMISSI (Kgs) 1350.6 1532.7 UCTION RELATE CO (Kgs) 3509.1 2936.3
Inbound Outbound Inbound Outbound	HC (Kgs) 229.6 262.0 CONSTR HC (Kgs) 549.4 465.4	928.3 BASE EMISSI (Kgs) 1350.6 1532.7 UCTION RELATE CO (Kgs) 3509.1 2936.3

Page 4



## (DIFFERENCE)

	NOX
	(Kgs)
-1	126.6
-1	117.6

IONS

NOX
(Kgs)
427.1
468.3

### ED EMISSIONS

		N	0	x	
	(	ĸ	g	s	)
3	0	1	•	2	
3	6	6		8	

# Alternative 1.a(70)

# ODOT Queue Spreadsheets

Phase 1 & 2 –	3 (11') lanes WB 2 (11') lanes EB from IR 77 Ram 2 (11') lanes EB crossover
Phase 3 & 4 -	1 (12') lane WB crossover 4 (11') lanes EB 2 (11') lanes WB

nps
## Alternative 1.a(70) – Phase 1 & 2 – 2 (11') lanes EB from IR 77 Ramps

## Alternative 1.a(70) – Phase 1 & 2 – 2 (11') lanes EB on crossover



Queue Calc. Worksheet for Freeway Work Zones	Queue Calc. Worksheet for Freeway Work Zones
Alternative 1, phase 3 & 4	Alternative 1, Phase 3 & 4
CUY 480 Eastbound 2.62%	Add 1/3rd to the max volume of the 1 left lane
Free Flow Mork Zone Canacity (veh/ln/hr)	
Queued Work Zone Capacity (veh/ln/hr) 1413	Wednesday in May for NB and Thursday in July for SB
One Way AADT (veh) 89114	Pree Flow Work Zone Capacity (veh/in/nr) 1482
Percent of Peak Period (>1000 vph) Traffic Diverted (%) 0	
Number of Lanes for Queued Vehicles(lanes) 4	Percent of Peak Period (>1000 vph) Traffic Diverted (%)
Number of vehicles in Queue per Lane Mile (veh) 108	Number of Lanes for Queued Vehicles(lanes) 1
	Number of vehicles in Queue per Lane Mile (veh) 108
Estimated	
Time or Actual Reduced Queue Queue	
Begining Volume (Veh) (miles)	Time or Actual Reduced Queue Queue
0:00 783 783 0 0.0	Begining Volume Volume (Veh) (miles)
1:00 482 482 0 0.0	
2:00 432 432 0 0.0	
	2:00 0 0 0.0
4:00 819 819 0 0.0	3:00 0 0 0.0
6:00 5650 5650 0 0.0	
7:00 6738 6738 1086 2.5	
8:00 5637 5637 1071 2.5	7.00 2237 2237 755 7.0
9:00 4501 4501 0 0.0	8:00 1975 1975 1248 11.6
10:00 4349 4349 0 0.0	9:00 1522 1522 1288 11.9
	10:00 1482 1482 1288 11.9
	11:00 1482 1482 1288 11.9
14:00 5380 5380 0 0.0	
15:00 6351 6351 699 1.6	
16:00 6386 6386 1433 3.3	14.00 1900 1900 1992 10.4
17:00 5981 5981 1762 4.1	16:00 2399 2399 3682 34.1
18:00 4671 4671 781 1.8	17:00 2332 2332 4532 42.0
	18:00 2232 2232 5282 48.9
	19:00 1482 1482 5282 48.9
22:00 3033 3033 0 0.0	
23:00 2919 2919 0 0.0	

## Alternative 1.a(70) – Phase 3 & 4 - 2(11') lanes WB



# Alternative 3.a(72)

# QUEWZ-98

Phase 1 & 2 –	4 (10') lanes WB
	2 (10') lanes EB crossover
	2 (12') lanes EB from IR 77 Ran

Phase 3 & 4 -

4 (10') lanes EB 2 (10') lanes WB crossover 2 (12') lanes WB

amps

## QUEWZ-98 Alternative 3.a(72) – Phase 1 & 2 – 4 lanes WB and 2 lanes EB crossover

INPUT DATA SUMMARY ALT3a72 phase 1&2	i ROAD USE WB & 480 t	r480_3 R COST hru	32.0 r ou	UT TPUT		F	PAGE 1 OF 6 QUEWZ-98
LANE CLOSURE CONFI	GURATION:						
TOTAL NUMBER OF INBOUND OUTBOUND	LANES		5 4				
NUMBER OF OPEN L INBOUND OUTBOUND	ANES		4	wB EB			
LENGTH OF WORK Z	ONE		2.	00 MILE	S		
INBOUND CAPACIT NORMAL RESTRICTED WORKING HOURS	Y (WESTBOU	IND) E= 100 72 56	= 1. 000. 200. 516.	5 (VPH) (VPH) (VPH)/	4= 1404	1 VЕН/НГ	R/LN
OUTBOUND CAPACIT NORMAL RESTRICTED WORKING HOURS	Y (EASTBOU	IND) E= 80 36 26	= 2. 000. 500. 578.	5 (VPH) (VPH) (VPH)/	2= 1339	Э VEH/НГ	R/LN
TRAFFIC PARAMETERS	:						
PERCENTAGE TRUCK			5.				
SCHEDULE OF WORK A	CTIVITY:						
HOURS OF RESTRIC BEGINNING ENDING	TED CAPACI	TY	0 24				
HOURS OF WORK ZO BEGINNING ENDING	NE ACTIVIT	Y	0 24				
IDLE HC CAR 34	.9 (g/hr)	IDLE	HC	TRUCK	12.6	(g/hr)	
IDLE CO CAR 218	.5 (g/hr)	IDLE	CO .	TRUCK	94.6	(g/hr)	
IDLE NOX CAR 4	.7 (g/hr)	IDLE	NOX	TRUCK	53.1	(g/hr)	
SUMMARY OF ADDITIO ALT3a72 phase 1&2	NAL ROAD U WB & 480 t	SER CO hru	STS			P	AGE 2 OF 6 QUEWZ-98
HOUR	ADDITION INBOUND	IAL ROA	AD U	SER COS OUT	TS (\$) BOUND		TOTAL
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	14. 7. 6. 12. 69. 870. 20391. 32607. 15972. 705. 814. 1059. 1235.			1 3 2 1 1	13. 6. 15. 208. 4714. 2378. 8434. 9311. 4528. 8559. 1694. 3454.		27. 13. 11. 12. 277. 15584. 52769. 61041. 35284. 15233. 9373. 2753. 4689.
		Page	2 1				

f

		ir480_	32.OUT		
14-15 15-16 16-17 17-18 18-19 19-20 20-21		10460. 24677. 37577. 36802. 35638. 8477. 205	78 196 247 250 154 15	03. 31. 93. 59. 31. 66.	18263. 44307. 62370. 61861. 51069. 10043. 316
21-22 22-23 23-24		210. 147. 71.	2 5 6	05. 93. 98.	414. 740. 769.
TOTAL		228031.	2192	14.	447245.
SUMMARY ALT3a72	OF TRAFFIC CO phase 1&2 WB	NDITIONS & 480 thru	INBOUND DIREC	LION	PAGE 3 OF 6 QUEWZ-98
HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	CAPACITY (VPH)	APPROACH SPEED (MPH)	WORK ZONE SPEED (MPH)	QUEUE LENGTH (MILES)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	770. 486. 418. 441. 688. 1763. 4397. 6709. 5923. 4566. 4121. 4309. 4664. 4879. 5902. 6763. 7197. 6995. 6694. 3789. 2717. 2741. 2403. 1787.	5616. 5	59. 59. 59. 57. 53. 53. 53. 53. 53. 53. 53. 53. 53. 53	58.         59.         59.         58.         48.         30.         31.         49.         47.         30.         53.         54.         55.	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0$
NOTE: T SUMMARY ALT3a72	RAFFIC DIVERSI OF TRAFFIC CO phase 1&2 WB	ON IS PREDIC NDITIONS & 480 thru	OUTBOUND DIRE	ARY OF TRAF	FIC VOLUMES PAGE 4 OF 6 OUEWZ-98
HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	CAPACITY (VPH)	APPROACH SPEED (MPH)	WORK ZONE SPEED (MPH)	QUEUE LENGTH (MILES)
0- 1 1- 2 2- 3 3- 4 4- 5 5- 6 6- 7	495. 312. 270. 306. 534. 1593. 3633.	2678. 2678. 2678. 2678. 2678. 2678. 2678.	59. 59. 59. 59. 59. 57. 53.	57. 58. 58. 57. 51. 30.	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.8 \end{array}$

Ŷ

7- 8 8- 9 9-10 10-11 11-12 12-13 13-14 14-15 15-16 16-17 17-18 18-19 19-20 20-21 21-22 22-23 23-24 NOTE: TRAF	4019. 3463. 2425. 2531. 2359. 2464. 2696. 2983. 3517. 2954. 2991. 2142. 1821. 1274. 1583. 2232. 2344. TRAFFIC VOLUME	ir480 2678.	_32.OUT 52. 53. 55. 55. 55. 54. 54. 54. 54. 54	30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30.	1.5 1.5 1.3 0.9 0.4 0.1 0.0 0.3 1.1 1.5 1.5 1.0 0.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 8AFFIC VOLUMES
HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)		VOLUME REMAINING ON FREEWAY (VP)	н)	VOLUME DIVERTING FROM FREEWAY (VPH)
0- 1 1- 2 2- 3 3- 4 4- 5 5- 6 6- 7 7- 8 8- 9 9-10 10-11 11-12 12-13 13-14 14-15 15-16 16-17 17-18 18-19 19-20 20-21 21-22 22-23 23-24 	770. 486. 418. 418. 419. 688. 1763. 4397. 6709. 5923. 4566. 4121. 4309. 4664. 4879. 5902. 6763. 7197. 6995. 6694. 3789. 2717. 2741. 2403. 1787. 55 ESTIMATES ASS	UME THA	770. 486. 418. 441. 688. 1763. 4397. 6606. 5616. 4566. 4121. 4309. 4664. 4879. 5902. 6320. 5616.	LL DIVERT S	0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 103. 307. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0
SUMMARY OF ALT3a72 pl HOUR	TRAFFIC VOLUME nase 1&2 WB & 48 APPROACH	s 001 0 thru 1	VOLUME	TION	PAGE 6 OF 6 QUEWZ-98 VOLUME
	VOLUME (VPH)		REMAINING OF FREEWAY (VPI	N [ H) 	DIVERTING FROM FREEWAY (VPH)
0- 1	495.	Pa	495. ge 3		0.

ዮ

Ŷ

$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ira 312. 270. 306. 534. 1593. 3633. 4019. 3463. 2425. 2531. 2359. 2464. 2696. 2983. 3517. 2954. 2991. 2142. 1821.	480_32.0UT 312. 270. 306. 534. 1593. 3470. 2678. 2678. 245. 2531. 2359. 2464. 2696. 2983. 3147. 2678. 26
20-21 21-22 22-23 23-24 	1274. 1583. 2232. 2344. ESTIMATES ASSUME LENGTHS NEVER EXCL	1274. 1583. 2232. 2344. THAT TRAFFIC V EED 1.50 MIL
	EXCESS	EMISSIONS (D)
Inbound Outbound	HC (Kgs) 157.8 191.8	CO (Kgs) 1134.4 1269.0
		BASE EMISSIC
Inbound Outbound	HC (Kgs) 272.1 165.6	CO (Kgs) 1588.9 1004.5
	CONSTRU	JCTION RELATED
Inbound Outbound	HC (Kgs) 498.9 377.1	CO (Kgs) 3157.2 2397.8

Page 4



## DIFFERENCE)

NOX
(Kgs)
-126.1
-89.3

## IONS

NOX
(Kgs)
476.2
318.6

## ED EMISSIONS

NC	)x
(Kg	js)
364.	8
233.	5

## QUEWZ-98 Alternative 3.a(72) – Phase 1 & 2 – 4 lanes WB and 2 lanes EB from IR 77 Ramps

	INPUT DATA SUMMARY: ROAD US ALT 3a72 phase 1&2 WB & 77 LANE CLOSURE CONFIGURATION: TOTAL NUMBER OF LANES	ir480_31.OUT ER COST OUTPUT ramps 	PA( (	GE 1 OF 6 QUEWZ-98		14-15 15-16 16-17 17-18 18-19 19-20 20-21 21-22		10460. 24677. 37577. 36802. 35638. 8477. 205. 210.	_31.001
	OUTBOUND	5				22-23 23-24		147. 71.	
	NUMBER OF OPEN LANES INBOUND OUTBOUND	4 WB 2 EB (77 RAM	MPS)			TOTAL		228031.	
	LENGTH OF WORK ZONE	2.00 MILES			Ŷ	SUMMARY	OF TRAFFIC C	ONDITIONS	INBOUND [
	INBOUND CAPACITY (WESTBO NORMAL RESTRICTED WORKING HOURS	UND) E= 1.5 10000. (VPH) 7200. (VPH) 5616. (VPH)/4 =	= 1404 VEH/HR/	/LN		ALT 3a7. HOUR	2 phase 1&2 W APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	CAPACITY (VPH)	APPRO SPEE (MPH
	OUTBOUND CAPACITY (EASTBO NORMAL RESTRICTED WORKING HOURS	UND) 77 RAMPS E= 2.5 8000. (VPH) 3600. (VPH) 2976. (VPH)/2=	5 1488 VEH/HR/L	-N		0- 1 1- 2 2- 3	770. 486. 418.	5616. 5616. 5616.	59. 59. 59.
	TRAFFIC PARAMETERS:					3-4 4-5	688.	5616.	59.
	PERCENTAGE TRUCK	5.				6-7	4397.	5616.	53.
	SCHEDULE OF WORK ACTIVITY:					8-9	5923.	5616.	51.
	HOURS OF RESTRICTED CAPAC BEGINNING ENDING	1TY 0 24				9-10 10-11 11-12 12-13	4566. 4121. 4309. 4664.	5616. 5616. 5616.	53. 54. 53. 53.
	HOURS OF WORK ZONE ACTIVI BEGINNING ENDING	TY 0 24				13-14 14-15 15-16 16-17	4879. 5902. 6763. 7197.	5616. 5616. 5616. 5616.	53. 51. 50. 49.
	IDLE HC CAR 34.9 (g/hr)	IDLE HC TRUCK	12.6 (g/hr)			17 - 18 18 - 19	6995. 6694.	5616. 5616.	49. 50.
	IDLE CO CAR 218.5 (g/hr)	IDLE CO TRUCK	94.6 (g/hr)			19-20 20-21	3789. 2717.	5616. 5616.	54. 56.
	IDLE NOX CAR 4.7 (g/hr)	IDLE NOX TRUCK	53.1 (g/hr)			21-22 22-23	2741. 2403.	5616. 5616.	56. 56.
Ŷ	SUMMARY OF ADDITIONAL ROAD	JSER COSTS	PAG	E 2 OF 6		23-24	1787.	5616.	57.
	ALT 3a72 phase 1&2 WB & 77	ramps 	(	QUEWZ-98		NOTE: T	RAFFIC DIVERS	ION IS PREDI	CTED, SEE
	ADDITIO HOUR INBOUND	NAL ROAD USER COSTS OUTBOL	(\$) UND 	TOTAL		SUMMARY ALT 3a7	OF TRAFFIC C 2 phase 1&2 W	ONDITIONS B & 77 ramps	OUTBOUND
	0-1 14 1-2 7 2-3 6 3-4 6		5. 2. 2. 2.	19. 10. 8. 9.		HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	CAPACITY (VPH)	APPRO SPEE (MPH
	4-5         12           5-6         69           6-7         870           7-8         20391           8-9         32607           9-10         15972           10-11         705           11-12         814           12-13         1059	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5. 22. 34. 80. 22. 65. 44. 10.	17. 91. 1204. 21271. 33029. 16337. 949. 1123. 1410.		0- 1 1- 2 2- 3 3- 4 4- 5 5- 6 6- 7	288. 170. 162. 164. 285. 695. 2017.	2976. 2976. 2976. 2976. 2976. 2976. 2976. 2976.	59. 60. 60. 59. 59. 59. 56.
	13-14 1235	. 41	18.	1653.				Pag	ge 2
		Page 1							

ir480_3	1.0UT		
10460. 24677. 37577. 36802. 35638. 8477. 205. 210. 147. 71.	5 10 97 150 91 1	77. 76. 65. 10. 56. 82. 69. 30. 15.	11037. 25753. 47353. 51867. 44748. 8633. 287. 279. 177. 86.
228031.	393	19.	267350.
CONDITIONS I WB & 77 ramps CAPACITY	NBOUND DIREC	TION WORK ZON	PAGE 3 OF 6 QUEWZ-98 E QUEUE
(VPH)	SPEED	SPEED	LENGTH
	(МРП)	(МРП)	(MILES)
5616. 5	59. 59. 59. 57. 53. 50. 51. 53. 53. 53. 53. 53. 53. 53. 53. 53. 53	58. 59. 59. 58. 30. 31. 48. 47. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 53. 55.	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
RSION IS PREDICT	ED, SEE SUMM	ARY OF TRA	FFIC VOLUMES
CONDITIONS 0 WB & 77 ramps	DUTBOUND DIRE	CTION	PAGE 4 OF 6 QUEWZ-98
CAPACITY (VPH)	APPROACH SPEED (MPH)	WORK ZON SPEED (MPH)	E QUEUE LENGTH (MILES)
2976. 2976. 2976. 2976. 2976. 2976. 2976.	59. 60. 60. 59. 59. 56.	59. 59. 59. 59. 59. 56. 50.	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0

ir480\_31.0UT 10460. 24677. 37577. 36802. 35638. 8477. 205. 210. 147. 71.

7- 8 8- 9 9-10 10-11 11-12 12-13 13-14 14-15 15-16 16-17 17-18 18-19 19-20 20-21 21-22 22-23 23-24 	2719. 2174. 2076. 1818. 1967. 2049. 2167. 2397. 2834. 3432. 2990. 2529. 1497. 1224. 1143. 801. 575. TC DIVERSION D	i r480. 2976.	_31.OUT 55. 56. 56. 56. 56. 56. 55. 55. 54. 54. 55. 57. 58. 58. 58. 58. 59. CTED, SEE SUMM.	46. 49. 51. 50. 50. 49. 48. 45. 30. 30. 30. 52. 54. 56. 57. ARY OF TR	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.4 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)		VOLUME REMAINING ON FREEWAY (VPH)	D	VOLUME IVERTING FROM FREEWAY (VPH)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	770. 486. 418. 441. 688. 1763. 4397. 6709. 5923. 4566. 4121. 4309. 4664. 4879. 5902. 6763. 7197. 6694. 3789. 2717. 2741. 2403. 1787.		770. 486. 418. 441. 688. 1763. 4397. 6606. 5616. 4566. 4121. 4309. 4664. 4879. 5902. 6320. 5616. 5616. 5616. 5616. 5616. 5616. 5616. 5616. 5616. 5616. 5616. 5617. 2741. 2741. 2403. 1787.		$\begin{array}{c} 0,\\ 0,\\ 0,\\ 0,\\ 0,\\ 0,\\ 0,\\ 103,\\ 307,\\ 0,\\ 0,\\ 0,\\ 0,\\ 0,\\ 0,\\ 0,\\ 0,\\ 0,\\ 0$
NOTE: THESE QUEUE SUMMARY OF ALT 3a72 pł	E ESTIMATES AS LENGTHS NEVER TRAFFIC VOLUME Dase 1&2 WB & 7	SUME THA R EXCEED S OUT 77 ramps	T TRAFFIC WILL 1.50 MILES. FBOUND DIRECTIC	DIVERT S	UCH THAT PAGE 6 OF 6 QUEWZ-98
HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	1	VOLUME REMAINING ON FREEWAY (VPH)	D	VOLUME IVERTING FROM FREEWAY (VPH)
0- 1	288.	Pa	288. ge 3		0.

f

4

	ir	480_31.OUT
1- 2 2- 3 3- 4 4- 5 5- 6 6- 7 7- 8 8- 9 9-10 10-11 11-12 12-13 13-14 14-15 15-16 16-17 17-18 18-19 19-20 20-21 21-22 22-23 23-24 	ir 170. 162. 164. 285. 695. 2017. 2719. 2174. 2076. 1818. 1967. 2049. 2167. 2397. 2834. 3432. 2990. 2529. 1497. 1224. 1143. 801. 575. 	480_31.0UT 170. 162. 164. 285. 695. 2017. 2719. 2719. 2174. 2076. 1818. 1967. 2049. 2167. 2397. 2834. 3432. 2990. 2529. 1497. 1224. 1143. 801. 575. 
QUEUE LE	NGTHS NEVER EXC	EED 1.50 MI
Inbound Outbound	EXCESS HC (Kgs) 157.8 31.5	EMISSIONS (D CO (Kgs) 1134.4 183.5
		BASE EMISSI
Inbound Outbound	HC (KgS) 272.1 91.2	CO (Kgs) 1588.9 571.9
	CONSTR	UCTION RELATE
Inbound Outbound	HC (Kgs) 498.9 183.0	CO (Kgs) 3157.2 1134.8

Page 4



## DIFFERENCE)

NOX
(Kgs)
-126.1
-42.5

## IONS

NOX
(Kgs)
476.2
178.5

## ED EMISSIONS

NC	x
(Kg	js)
364.	8
148.	8

## QUEWZ-98 Alternative 3.a(72) – Phase 3 & 4 – 4 lanes EB and 2 lanes WB crossover

								ir480	_33.OUT		
QUEW	INPUT DATA SUMMARY: ROAD ALT 3a72 phase 3 & 4 4 EB /Z-98	ir480_33.0UT USER COST OUTPUT & & 2 WB crossover		PAGE 1 OF 6		14-15 15-16 16-17 17-18 18-19		5301. 14762. 26820. 27685. 26666.	66 202 354 337 216	03. 21. 03. '17. 519.	11904. 34983. 62224. 61401. 48284.
	LANE CLOSURE CONFIGURATIO	N:				20-21		9869. 121.	12	40. 181.	301.
	TOTAL NUMBER OF LANES INBOUND OUTBOUND	4 5				21-22 22-23 23-24		123. 87. 42.	2	30. 11. 279.	353. 398. 321.
	NUMBER OF OPEN LANES					TOTAL		158305.	2120	197.	370402.
	INBOUND OUTBOUND LENGTH OF WORK ZONE	2 WB CR0 4 EB 2.00 MIL	SSOVER		۴	SUMMARY ( ALT 3a72	DF TRAFFIC C phase 3&4 4	CONDITIONS 4 EB & 2 WB	INBOUND DIREC	TION F	PAGE 3 OF 6 QUEWZ-98
	INBOUND CAPACITY (WEST NORMAL RESTRICTED WORKING HOURS	BOUND) CROSSOVER E 8000. (VPH) 3600. (VPH) 2808. (VPH)	= 1.5 /2= 1404 VEH/	HR/LN		HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	CAPACITY (VPH)	APPROACH SPEED (MPH)	WORK ZONE SPEED (MPH)	QUEUE LENGTH (MILES)
	OUTBOUND CAPACITY (EAST NORMAL RESTRICTED WORKING HOURS TRAFFIC PARAMETERS:	BOUND) E= 2.5 10000. (VPH) 7200. (VPH) 5356. (VPH)	/4= 1339 VEH/	HR/LN		0- 1 1- 2 2- 3 3- 4 4- 5	385. 243. 209. 220. 344.	2808. 2808. 2808. 2808. 2808. 2808.	59. 60. 60. 60. 59.	58. 59. 59. 59. 59. 58.	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
	PERCENTAGE TRUCK	5.				5-6 6-7	881. 2198.	2808. 2808.	58. 56.	55. 48.	0.0
	SCHEDULE OF WORK ACTIVITY	:				7-8 8-9	3354. 2961.	2808. 2808.	54. 54.	30. 30.	0.5
	HOURS OF RESTRICTED CAP BEGINNING ENDING	ACITY 0 24				9-10 10-11 11-12 12-13	2283. 2060. 2154. 2332.	2808. 2808. 2808. 2808.	56. 56. 56.	30. 45. 48. 47.	0.8 0.2 0.0 0.0
	HOURS OF WORK ZONE ACTI BEGINNING ENDING	VITY 0 24				13-14 14-15 15-16 16-17	2439. 2951. 3381. 3598.	2808. 2808. 2808. 2808.	55. 54. 54. 53.	47. 30. 30. 30.	$0.0 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.8 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.4$
	IDLE HC CAR 34.9 (g/h	r) IDLE HC TRUCK	12.6 (g/hr	)		17-18 18-19	3497.	2808.	54.	30. 30.	1.5
	IDLE CO CAR 218.5 (g/h	r) IDLE CO TRUCK	94.6 (g/hr	)		19-20 20-21	1894.	2808. 2808.	56.	53.	0.8
	IDLE NOX CAR 4.7 (g/h	r) IDLE NOX TRUCK	53.1 (g/hr	)		21-22 22-23	1370. 1201.	2808. 2808.	57. 58.	53. 54.	0.0
۴	SUMMARY OF ADDITIONAL ROA	D USER COSTS		PAGE 2 OF 6		23-24		2808.	58.	55.	0.0
	ALT 3a/2 phase 3&4 4 EB &	¢ Z WB		QUEWZ-98		NOTE: TR	AFFIC DIVERS	SION IS PREDI	CTED, SEE SUMM	ARY OF TRAF	FIC VOLUMES
	ADDIT HOUR INBOU	IONAL ROAD USER CO	FBOUND	TOTAL		SUMMARY ALT 3a72	OF TRAFFIC ( phase 3&4 4	CONDITIONS 4 EB & 2 WB	OUTBOUND DIRE	CTION I	PAGE 4 OF 6 QUEWZ-98
	0- 1 1- 2 2- 3 3- 4	8. 4. 3.	15. 7. 6. 7.	23. 11. 10. 11.		HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	CAPACITY (VPH)	APPROACH SPEED (MPH)	WORK ZONE SPEED (MPH)	QUEUE LENGTH (MILES)
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7. 41. 02. 13. 01. 70. 91. 71. 09. 07.	/. 16. 143. 10289. 25288. 32270. 19289. 1540. 939. 1082. 1401	11. 23. 184. 10791. 36101. 51271. 32758. 2731. 1409. 1691. 2108		0- 1 1- 2 2- 3 3- 4 4- 5 5- 6 6- 7	783. 482. 432. 470. 819. 2288. 5650.	5356. 5356. 5356. 5356. 5356. 5356. 5356. 5356.	59. 59. 59. 59. 59. 57. 51. ae 2	58. 59. 59. 59. 58. 54. 30.	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.2
		Page 1		2100.							

6603.	11904.
20221.	34983.
35403.	62224.
33717.	61401.
21619.	48284.
1240.	11109.
181.	301.
230.	353.
311.	398.
279.	321.
212097.	370402.

	ī	r480_33.0UT			
$\begin{array}{c} 7-8\\ 8-9\\ 9-10\\ 10-11\\ 11-12\\ 12-13\\ 13-14\\ 14-15\\ 15-16\\ 16-17\\ 17-18\\ 18-19\\ 19-20\\ 20-21\\ 21-22\\ 22-23\\ 23-24\\$	6738.       53         5637.       53         4501.       53         4349.       53         4326.       53         4513.       53         4863.       53         5380.       53         6351.       53         5981.       53         3318.       53         2498.       53         2726.       53         3033.       53	356.       50.         356.       51.         356.       53.         356.       53.         356.       53.         356.       53.         356.       53.         356.       53.         356.       53.         356.       50.         356.       50.         356.       50.         356.       51.         356.       51.         356.       53.         356.       53.         356.       53.         356.       56.         356.       56.         356.       56.         356.       56.         356.       56.         356.       56.         356.       56.         356.       56.         356.       56.	30. 30. 45. 48. 47. 46. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 52. 51. 52.	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.0\\ 1.5\\ 0.9\\ 0.1\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.8\\ 1.5\\ 1.5\\ 1.0\\ 0.2\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0$	
NOTE: TRAFFIC DIVERSION IS PREDICTED, SEE SUMMARY OF TRAFFIC VOLUMES SUMMARY OF TRAFFIC VOLUMES INBOUND DIRECTION PAGE 5 OF 6 ALT 3a72 phase 3&4 4 EB & 2 WB QUEWZ-98					
HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	VOLUME REMAINING ON FREEWAY (VPH)	DIVI FRI	VOLUME ERTING FROM EEWAY (VPH)	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	385. 243. 209. 220. 344. 881. 2198. 3354. 2961. 2283. 2060. 2154. 2332. 2439. 2951. 3381. 3598. 3497. 3347. 1894. 1358. 1370. 1201. 893.	385. 243. 209. 220. 344. 881. 2198. 3354. 2961. 2283. 2060. 2154. 2332. 2439. 2951. 3381. 2884. 2808. 2808. 1894. 1358. 1370. 1201. 893.		0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	
SUMMARY OF	ESTIMATES ASSUME LENGTHS NEVER EX	CEED 1.50 MILES.	DIVERT SUCH	AGE 6 OF 6	
HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	VOLUME REMAINING ON FREEWAY (VPH)	N DIVI FRI	VOLUME ERTING FROM EEWAY (VPH)	

Ŷ

f

	ir48	0 33 007
1-2 $2-3$ $3-4$ $4-5$ $5-6$ $6-7$ $7-8$ $8-9$ $9-10$ $10-11$ $11-12$ $12-13$ $13-14$ $14-15$ $15-16$ $16-17$ $17-18$ $18-19$ $19-20$ $20-21$ $21-22$ $22-23$ $23-24$	482. 432. 470. 819. 2288. 5650. 6738. 5637. 4501. 4349. 4326. 4513. 4863. 5380. 6351. 6386. 5981. 4671. 3318. 2498. 2726. 3033. 2919.	482 432 470 819 2288 5650 6052 5356 4501 4349 4326 4513 4863 5380 6322 5356 4671 3318 2498 2726 3033 2919
NOTE: THESE E QUEUE I	ESTIMATES ASSUME TH. LENGTHS NEVER EXCEE	AT TRAFFIC D 1.50 M
	EXCESS E	MISSIONS (I
Inbound Outbound	HC (Kgs) 137.9 157.6	CO (Kgs) 903.9 1135.2
		BASE EMISS
Inbound Outbound	HC (Kgs) 137.9 271.2	CO (Kgs) 843.0 1587.2
	CONSTRUC	TION RELATI
Inbound Outbound	НС (Kgs) 303.4 465.8	CO (Kgs) 1920.1 2954.8
	Ρ	age 4



## (DIFFERENCE)

NOX
(Kgs)
-73.5
-127.7

## SIONS

NC	x
(Kg	IS)
265.	1
485.	7

### ED EMISSIONS

N	0	X
(к	g	s)
197	•	4
365		9

INPUT DATA SUMMARY ALT 3a72 phase 3 &	i ROAD USE 4 4 EB &	r480_34.0 R COST OU 2 WB (12'	UT TPUT lanes)		1	PAGE 1 OF 6 QUEWZ-98
LANE CLOSURE CONFI	GURATION:					
TOTAL NUMBER OF INBOUND OUTBOUND	LANES	4 5				
NUMBER OF OPEN L INBOUND OUTBOUND	ANES	2 4	WB EB			
LENGTH OF WORK Z	ONE	2.	00 MILES			
INBOUND CAPACIT NORMAL RESTRICTED WORKING HOURS	Y (WESTBOU	JND) E= 1. 8000. 3600. 3120.	5 (VPH) (VPH) (VPH)/2	= 1560	) VEH/H	R/LN
OUTBOUND CAPACIT NORMAL RESTRICTED WORKING HOURS	Y (EASTBOU	JND) E= 2. 10000. 7200. 5356.	5 (VPH) (VPH) (VPH)/4	= 1339	) veh/h	R/LN
TRAFFIC PARAMETERS	:					
PERCENTAGE TRUCK		5.				
SCHEDULE OF WORK A	CTIVITY:					
HOURS OF RESTRIC BEGINNING ENDING	TED CAPACI	1TY 0 24				
HOURS OF WORK ZO BEGINNING ENDING	NE ACTIVIT	ry 24				
IDLE HC CAR 34	.9 (g/hr)	IDLE HC	TRUCK	12.6	(g/hr)	
IDLE CO CAR 218	.5 (g/hr)	IDLE CO	TRUCK	94.6	(g/hr)	
IDLE NOX CAR 4	.7 (g/hr)	IDLE NOX	TRUCK	53.1	(g/hr)	
SUMMARY OF ADDITIO ALT 3a72 phase 3&4	NAL ROAD U 4 EB & 2	SER COSTS WB (12' 1	anes)		F	PAGE 2 OF 6 QUEWZ-98
HOUR	ADDITION INBOUND	AL ROAD U	SER COST OUTE	S (\$) OUND		TOTAL
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7. 4. 3. 6. 35. 390. 6905. 7367. 640. 320. 367. 470. 543.		10 25 32 19 1 1	15. 7. 16. 143. 289. 288. 270. 289. 540. 939. 540. 939. 401.		23. 11. 9. 23. 178. 10679. 32193. 39637. 19928. 1860. 1305. 1552. 1944.

우

	1r480_34.	OUT
4-15	1059.	
5-16	7274.	
6-17	16785.	
7-18	24744.	
8-19	24791.	
9-20	7266.	
0-21	98.	
1-22	101.	
2-23	72.	
3-24	36.	
OTAL	99285.	

4

		ir480_3	4.0UT		
14-15 15-16 16-17 17-18 18-19 19-20 20-21 21-22 22-23 23-24		1059. 7274. 16785. 24744. 24791. 7266. 98. 101. 72. 36.	66 202 354 337 216 12 1 2 3 3 2	03. 21. 03. 17. 19. 40. 81. 30. 11. 79.	7662. 27494. 52189. 58461. 46410. 8506. 279. 330. 383. 314.
TOTAL		99285.	2120	97.	311381.
SUMMARY ALT 3a72	OF TRAFFIC CO phase 3&4 4	NDITIONS I EB & 2 WB (12	NBOUND DIREC ' lanes)	TION P	AGE 3 OF 6 QUEWZ-98
HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	CAPACITY (VPH)	APPROACH SPEED (MPH)	WORK ZONE SPEED (MPH)	QUEUE LENGTH (MILES)
0-1 1-2 2-3 3-4 4-5 5-6 6-7 7-8 8-9 9-10 10-11 11-12 12-13 13-14 14-15 15-16 16-17 17-18 18-19 19-20 20-21 21-22 22-23 23-24	385. 243. 209. 220. 344. 881. 2198. 3354. 2961. 2283. 2060. 2154. 2332. 2439. 2951. 3381. 3381. 3598. 3497. 3347. 1894. 1358. 1370. 1201. 893.	3120. 31	59. 60. 60. 59. 58. 56. 56. 56. 56. 56. 55. 54. 54. 55. 54. 55. 54. 53. 54. 53. 54. 53. 54. 53. 54. 55. 55. 55. 55. 55. 55. 55. 55. 55	58. 59. 59. 58. 56. 49. 30. 30. 47. 50. 50. 49. 48. 45. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 37. 53. 53. 54. 56.	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0$
NOTE: TR SUMMARY ALT 3a72	OF TRAFFIC CO phase 3&4 4	ON IS PREDICT NDITIONS O EB & 2 WB (12	ED, SEE SUMM UTBOUND DIRE ' lanes)	ARY OF TRAFF	AGE 4 OF 6 QUEWZ-98
HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	CAPACITY (VPH)	APPROACH SPEED (MPH)	WORK ZONE SPEED (MPH)	QUEUE LENGTH (MILES)
0- 1 1- 2 2- 3 3- 4 4- 5 5- 6 6- 7	783. 482. 432. 470. 819. 2288. 5650.	5356. 5356. 5356. 5356. 5356. 5356. 5356.	59. 59. 59. 59. 59. 57. 51.	58. 59. 59. 58. 54. 30.	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.2

SUMMARY (	DF TRAFFIC	CONDITIONS -	- OUTBOUNI
ALT 3a72	phase 3&4	4 EB & 2 WB	(12' lanes
HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	CAPACITY (VPH)	APPRO SPEI (MPI

792	5256	FO
/05.	5550.	59.
482.	5356.	59.
432.	5356.	59.
470.	5356.	59.
819.	5356.	59.
2288.	5356.	57.
5650.	5356.	51.

Page 1

$\begin{array}{c} 1-2\\ 2-3\\ 3-4\\ 4-5\\ 5-6\\ 6-7\\ 7-8\\ 8-9\\ 9-10\\ 10-11\\ 11-12\\ 12-13\\ 13-14\\ 14-15\\ 15-16\\ 16-17\\ 17-18\\ 18-19\\ 19-20\\ 20-21\\ 21-22\\ 22-23\\ 23-24\\ \end{array}$		ir480_ 482. 470. 819. 2288. 5650. 6738. 5637. 4501. 4349. 4326. 4513. 4863. 5380. 6351. 6386. 5981. 4671. 3318. 2498. 2726. 3033. 2919.	34. OUT 482. 470. 819. 2288. 5650. 6052. 5356. 4501. 4349. 4326. 4513. 4863. 5380. 6322. 5356. 5356. 4671. 3318. 2498. 2726. 3033. 2919.
NOTE:	THESE QUEUE	ESTIMATES ASSUME THAT LENGTHS NEVER EXCEED	TRAFFIC WIL
Inbou Outbo	und bund	EXCESS EMI HC (Kgs) 86.5 157.6	SSIONS (DIFF CO (Kgs) 570.8 1135.2
		BA	SE EMISSIONS
Inbou Outbo	und bund	HC (Kgs) 126.5 271.2	CO (Kgs) 771.3 1587.2
		CONSTRUCTI	ON RELATED E
Inbou Outbo	und bund	HC (Kgs) 236.0 463.3	CO (Kgs) 1486.3 2938.6

7-8 8-9 9-10 10-11 11-12 12-13 13-14 14-15 15-16 16-17 17-18 18-19 19-20 20-21 21-22 22-23 23-24 	6738. 5637. 4501. 4349. 4326. 4513. 4863. 5380. 6351. 6386. 5981. 4671. 3318. 2498. 2726. 3033. 2919.	ir480_34.0UT 5356. 50. 5356. 53. 5356. 53. 5356. 53. 5356. 53. 5356. 53. 5356. 52. 5356. 50. 5356. 50. 5356. 50. 5356. 51. 5356. 55. 5356. 56. 5356. 55. 5356. 55. 5356. 55.	30. 30. 30. 45. 48. 47. 46. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 52. 51. 52.	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.0\\ 1.5\\ 0.9\\ 0.1\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.8\\ 1.5\\ 1.5\\ 1.0\\ 0.2\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0$
NOTE: SUMMAR	TRAFFIC DIVERSION IS Y OF TRAFFIC VOLUMES 72 phase 3&4 4 FR &	PREDICTED, SEE SU INBOUND DIRECT 2 WR (12' lanes)	IMMARY OF TRAFF	AGE 5 OF 6
HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	VOLUME REMAINING C FREEWAY (VF	N DN DIVE PH) FRE	VOLUME ERTING FROM EEWAY (VPH)
0- 1 1- 2 2- 3 3- 4 4- 5 5- 6 6- 7 7- 8 8- 9 9-10 10-11 11-12 12-13 13-14 14-15 15-16 15-16 15-16 15-16 16-17 17-18 18-19 19-20 20-21 21-22 22-23 23-24 NOTE:	385. 243. 209. 220. 344. 881. 2198. 3354. 2961. 2283. 2060. 2154. 2332. 2439. 2951. 3381. 3598. 3497. 3347. 1894. 1358. 1370. 1201. 893. THESE ESTIMATES ASSU QUEUE LENGTHS NEVER	385. 243. 209. 220. 344. 881. 2198. 3354. 2961. 2283. 2060. 2154. 2332. 2439. 2951. 3381. 3598. 3173. 3120. 1894. 1358. 1370. 1201. 893. ME THAT TRAFFIC WI EXCEED 1.50 MILE	CLL DIVERT SUCK	0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.
SUMMAR ALT 3a	Y OF TRAFFIC VOLUMES 72 phase 3&4 4 EB &	OUTBOUND DIREC 2 WB (12' lanes)	TION F	AGE 6 OF 6 QUEWZ-98
HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	VOLUME REMAINING C FREEWAY (VF	ON DIVE PH) FRE	OLUME RTING FROM EWAY (VPH)

f

۴

	VOLUME (VPH)	REMAINING ON FREEWAY (VPH)	DIVERTING FR FREEWAY (VP
0- 1	783.	783.	0.
		Page 3	

Page 4



## FERENCE)

NOX
(Kgs)
-64.2
-127.7

### NS

NOX
(Kgs)
242.8
485.7

## EMISSIONS

N	0	X
(К	g	s)
183	•	5
365		3

# Alternative 3.a(72)

# ODOT Queue Spreadsheets

Phase 1 & 2 –	4 (10') lanes WB 2 (10') lanes EB crossover 2 (12') lanes EB from IR 77 Ram

Phase 3 & 4 -

4 (10') lanes EB 2 (10') lanes WB crossover 2 (12') lanes WB nps

Queue Calc. Worksheet for Freeway Work Zones	Queue Calc. Worksheet for Freeway Work Zones	Queue Calc. Worksheet for Freeway Work Zones
Alternative 3.a(/2), Phase 1 & 2 CUY 480 Westbound (total volume)	CUY 480 Eastbound Through (Total - Ramps) 2.62%	CUY 480 Eastbound (from 77 Ramps) 2.62%
	Friday in August-Tuesday in June	Tuesday in June
Friday in August	Queued Work Zone Capacity (veh/in/hr) 1339	Queued Work Zone Capacity (veh/ln/hr) 1488
Queued Work Zone Capacity (veh/in/hr) 1404	One Way AADT (veh) 50941	One Way AADT (veh) 38173
One Way AADT (veh) 91122	Parent of Paak Pariod (>1000 up) Traffic Divorted (%)	Persent of Peak Period (>1000 upb) Traffic Diverted (%)
Parcent of Peak Pariod (>1000 yph) Traffic Divorted (%)	Number of Lanes for Queued Vehicles(lanes) 2	Number of Lanes for Queued Vehicles(lanes)
Number of Lanes for Queued Vehicles(lanes) 4	Number of vehicles in Queue per Lane Mile (veh) 108	Number of vehicles in Queue per Lane Mile (veh) 108
Number of vehicles in Queue per Lane Mile (veh) 108		
	Estimated	Estimated
Estimated	Time or Actual Reduced Queue Queue	Time or Actual Reduced Queue Queue
Time or Actual Reduced Queue Queue	0:00 495 495 0 0.0	0:00 288 288 0 0.0
	1:00 312 312 0 0.0	1:00 170 170 0 0.0
1:00 486 486 0 0.0		
	4:00 534 534 0 0.0	4:00 285 285 0 0.0
4:00 688 688 0 0.0	5:00 1593 1593 0 0.0	5:00 695 695 0 0.0
5:00 1763 1763 0 0.0	6:00 3633 3633 955 4.4	6:00 2017 2017 0 0.0
6:00 4397 4397 0 0.0	8:00 3463 3463 3081 14.3	8:00 2174 2174 0 0.0
8:00 5923 5923 1400 3.2	9:00 2425 2425 2828 13.1	9:00 2076 2076 0 0.0
9:00 4566 4566 350 0.8		
	12:00 2464 2464 2148 9.9	12:00 2049 2049 0 0.0
12:00 4664 4664 0 0.0	13:00 2696 2696 2166 10.0	13:00 2167 2167 0 0.0
13:00 4879 4879 0 0.0	14:00 2983 2983 2471 11.4	
14:00 5902 5902 286 0.7	16:00 2954 2954 3586 16.6	16:00 3432 3432 456 2.1
15:00 6763 6763 1433 3.3 16:00 7197 7197 3014 7.0	17:00 2991 2991 3899 18.1	17:00 2990 2990 470 2.2
17:00 6995 6995 4393 10.2	18:00 2142 2142 3363 15.6	18:00 2529 2529 23 0.1 18:00 14:07 14:07 0.00
18:00 6694 6694 5471 12.7	19:00 1821 1821 2506 11.6	
19:00 3789 3789 3644 8.4 20:00 2717 2717 745 17	21:00 1583 1583 7 0.0	21:00 1143 1143 0 0.0
21:00 2741 2741 0 0.0		22:00 801 801 0 0.0
	23:00 2344 2344 0 0.0	

# Alternative 3.a(72) – Phase 1 & 2 – 2 (12') lanes EB from IR 77 Ramps

Alternative 3.a(72). Phase 3.8.4         CUY 480 Estabund       2.62%         Fridgi in August       1339         Pree Flow Work Zone Capacity (veh/In/hr)       1339         Oue Way AADT (veh)       1339         Percent of Peak Period (>1000 vph) Traffic Diverted (%)       0         Number of Lanes for Queued Vehicles(innes)       4         Number of vehicles in Queue per Lane Mile (veh)       108         Time       Estimated       Queued Work Zone Capacity (veh/in/hr)       1404         One Way AADT (veh)       108       108         Time       For Actual       Reduced       Queued Work Zone Capacity (veh/in/hr)       1404         One Way AADT (veh)       108       108       108       0         Number of vehicles in Queue per Lane Mile (veh)       108       0       0       0         100       422       422       0       <	c. Worksheet for Freeway Work Zones	Queue Calc. Worksheet for Freeway Work Zones
CUY 480 Eastbound       2.62%         Friday in August       128 total through volume         Free Flow Work Zone Capacity (veh/nhr)       1339         One Way AADT (veh)       89114         Percent of Peak Pendo (>1000 vph) Traffic Diverted (%)       0         Number of Lanes for Queued Work Zone Capacity (veh/nhr)       1404         Number of Lanes for Queued Wehlces(anes)       4         Number of Lanes for Queued Vehicles(anes)       4         Number of Lanes for Queued Vehicles(anes)       0         Number of Lanes for Queued Vehicles(anes)       2         Number of Values       000         1000       783       783       0       0         1000       783       783       0       0       2         100       482       482       0       0       2       0         100       482       482       0       0       2       0       0       2       0       2       0       2       0       100       2       2       2       0       0       2       0       0       2       0       0       2       0       0       2       0       0       2       2       2       0       0       0	a(72), Phase 3 & 4	Alternative 3.a(72), Phase 3 & 4
Probaging Augustar       1339         Price Flow Work Zone Capacity (vehilnhr)       1339         Ouewed Work Zone Capacity (vehilnhr)       1339         One Way AADT (veh)       1404         Percent of Peak Period (>1000 vph) Traffic Diverted (%)       0         Number of Lanes for Queued Vehicles(inanes)       4         Number of vehicles in Queue pt Lane Mile (veh)       108         Percent of Peak Period (>1000 vph) Traffic Diverted (%)       0         Number of vehicles in Queue pt Lane Mile (veh)       108         Procent of Peak Period (>1000 vph) Traffic Diverted (%)       0         0.00       783       783       0       0         108       0       0       0       0       0       0         100       442       442       0	stbound 2.62%	CUY 480 Westbound (2 lanes on crossover)
The Provide Zone Capacity (Vertinitit)       1339         One Way AADT (veh)       1339         Ball 14       88114         Percent of Peak Period (>1000 uph) Traffic Diverted (%)       0         Number of Lanes for Queue Uwhicks(anes)       4         Number of Lanes for Queue Uwhicks(anes)       0         Number of Lanes for Queue Uwhicks(anes)       0         Number of Lanes for Queue Uwhicks(anes)       0         Number of Lanes for Queue Uweue       Queue         Use dwitch 2000       783       783       0       0         100       482       482       0       0       0         0303       470       470       0       0       0       0       0         0400       819       0	ust (ork Zono Canacity (yoh/lp/br)	1/2 the total through volume
One Way AADT (veh)         89114           Percent of Peak Period (>1000 vph) Traffic Diverted (%) Number of vehicles in Queue per Lane Mile (veh)         0         4           Mumber of vehicles in Queue per Lane Mile (veh)         0         0         0         0           Number of vehicles in Queue per Lane Mile (veh)         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0           108         108         0 <td< td=""><td>k Zone Capacity (veh/ln/hr) 1339</td><td>Wednesday in May for NB and Thursday in July for SB</td></td<>	k Zone Capacity (veh/ln/hr) 1339	Wednesday in May for NB and Thursday in July for SB
Percent of Peak Period (>1000 vph) Traffic Diverted (%) Number of Lanes for Queued Vehicles(lanes) Number of vehicles in Queue per Lane Mile (veh)     0 4 108       Time Begining 0.00     Cone Way AADT (veh) 108     Percent of Peak Period (>1000 vph) Traffic Diverted (%) Number of vehicles in Queue per Lane Mile (veh)     0 2 108       Time Begining 0.00     Cone Way AADT (veh) 108     Percent of Peak Period (>1000 vph) Traffic Diverted (%) Number of vehicles in Queue per Lane Mile (veh)     0 2 108       Time Begining 0.00     Traffic Diverted (%) Number of vehicles in Queue per Lane Mile (veh)     0 108       Time 0.00     Traffic Diverted (%) 0.00     0 200     0 200       108     Time 0.00     Traffic Diverted (%) 0.00     0 200       109     243     243     0 0.00       100     243     243     0 0.00       100     243     244     0 0.00       100     243     243     0 0.00       100     243     244     0 0.00       100     243     243     0 0.00       100     243     243     0 0.00 </td <td>DT (veh) 89114</td> <td>Oueued Work Zone Capacity (vehin/m) 1404</td>	DT (veh) 89114	Oueued Work Zone Capacity (vehin/m) 1404
Percent of Peak Period (>1000 vph) Traffic Diverted (%)       0         Number of Lanes for Queue Vehicles(anes)       4         Number of Lanes for Queue Vehicles(anes)       0         Image of Actual Vehicles(anes)       0         Image of Actual Vehicles(anes)       0         0.00       783       773       0       0         1.00       432       432       0       0       0         0.00       100       343       345       0       0         0.00       430       432       0       0       0         0.00       432       432       0       0       0         0.00       432       432       0       0       0         0.00       433       434       0       0       0         0.00       5695       5595       244       0       0       0         0.00       6337       5637       1102       2.6       0       0       0         10.00       4450       4501       1102       2.6       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0		One Way AADT (yeh) 45553
Number of Lafes for Queue develocies (afters)       4         108       108         Number of Lafes broueue per Lane Mile (veh)       108         Percent of Peak Period (>1000 vph) Traffic Diverted (%)       0         0.00       783       783       0       0.0         100       422       482       0       0.0         200       432       432       0       0.0         200       432       432       0       0.0         200       2288       0.00       0.0       3.00       200         200       5650       5650       294       0.7       0.00         6:00       5637       1957       4.5       0       0.0         0:00       4349       4349       95       0.2       0.0         11:00       4328       4328       0       0.0       10.00       2881       2835       0       0.0         12:00       4513       1457       4.5       0       0.0       0.00       2334       3345       546       2.5         9:00       4501       10102       2.6       0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0	eak Period (>1000 vph) Traffic Diverted (%) 0	
Tumber of vehicles in Cueue part Laite Wine (vehi)       Too       Number of vehicles in Cueue d Vehicles(anes)       2         Image: Begining Volume Volume (vehi)       Queue (miles)       0.00       108       108         100       482       482       0       0.00       0.00       100       200       208       288       0       0.00         100       432       432       0       0.00       0.00       200       208       208       0       0.00         100       432       432       0       0.00       0.00       200       209       200       0.00         100       432       432       0       0.00       200       208       228       0       0.00         100       208       2288       2288       0       0.00       3.00       220       200       0.00         100       4341       400       0.00       0.00       3.00       220       220       0       0.00         1100       4326       4326       0       0.00       10.00       2431       3354       546       2.5         1100       4349       4349       9.00       0.00       10.00       2080       0.00 </td <td>thes for Queued Vehicles(lanes) 4</td> <td>Percent of Peak Period (&gt;1000 vph) Traffic Diverted (%) 0</td>	thes for Queued Vehicles(lanes) 4	Percent of Peak Period (>1000 vph) Traffic Diverted (%) 0
Estimated or Actual Volume         Queue Vehices         Queue (miles)           1.00         442         482         0         0.0         0.0         0.00         3:00         2:0         3:00         2:0         3:00         2:0         2:0         3:00         2:0         2:0         0:0.0           4:00         8:19         8:19         0         0:0         0:0         2:0         2:0         0:0.0           6:00         5:650         5:650         2:94         0.0         0:0         2:0         2:0         0:0           7:00         6:381         6:76         3:9         0:0         5:00         881         881         0:0.0           10:00         4:349         4:349         0:0.0         0:0         0:0         1:0:0         2:961         6:99         3:2           9:00         4:501         1:0:0         2:382         2:30         0:0         0:0           11:00         4:324		Number of Lanes for Queued Vehicles(lanes) 2
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Number of vehicles in Queue per Lane Mile (veh) 108
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	stimated	
beginning         Volume         (Volume         (Volume)         (Ven)         (miles)           0:00         783         0         0.0         0 <td>or Actual Reduced Queue Queue</td> <td>Estimated</td>	or Actual Reduced Queue Queue	Estimated
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	783 783 0 00	Time or Actual Reduced Queue Queue
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	482 482 0 0.0	Begining Volume Volume (Veh) (miles)
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	432 432 0 0.0	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	470 470 0 0.0	2:00 209 209 0 0.0
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	819 819 0 0.0	3:00 220 220 0 0.0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<u>2288</u> <u>2288</u> <u>0</u> <u>0.0</u> 5650 5650 294 <u>0.7</u>	4:00 344 344 0 0.0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6738 6738 1676 3.9	5:00 881 881 0 0.0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5637 5637 1957 4.5	7:00 3354 3354 546 2.5
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4501 4501 1102 2.6	8:00 2961 2961 699 3.2
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4349 4349 95 0.2	9:00 2283 2283 174 0.8
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4513 4513 0 0.0	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4863 4863 0 0.0	12:00 2332 2332 0 0.0
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5380 5380 24 0.1	13:00 2439 2439 0 0.0
10.00       0300       2049       4.7         17:00       5981       5981       2674       6.2         18:00       4671       1989       4.6         19:00       3318       3318       0       0.0         20:00       2498       2498       0       0.0         21:00       2726       2726       0       0.0         22:00       3033       3033       0       0.0	<u>6351</u> <u>6351</u> <u>1019</u> <u>2.4</u>	14:00 2951 2951 143 0.7
18:00       4671       1989       4.6         19:00       3318       3318       0       0.0         20:00       2498       2498       0       0.0         21:00       2726       2726       0       0.0         22:00       3033       3033       0       0.0	5981 5981 2674 6.2	15:00 3381 3381 716 3.3
19:00       3318       3318       0       0.0         20:00       2498       2498       0       0.0         21:00       2726       2726       0       0.0         22:00       3033       3033       0       0.0         21:00       2726       1358       370       1.7         22:00       3033       0       0.0       21:00       1370       1370       0	4671 4671 1989 4.6	
20:00         2498         2498         0         0.0           21:00         2726         2726         0         0.0           22:00         3033         3033         0         0.0           21:00         1358         1358         370         1.7           22:00         3033         0         0.0         21:00         1370         1370         0         0.0	3318 3318 0 0.0	18:00 3347 3347 2734 12.7
21:00         21:20         21:20         21:20         1358         370         1.7           22:00         3033         3033         0         0.0         20:00         1358         1370         0         0.0	2498 2498 0 0.0	19:00 1894 1894 1820 8.4
		20:00 1358 1358 370 1.7
	2919 2919 0 0.0	
		23:00 893 893 0 0.0

## Alternative 3.a(72) – Phase 3 & 4 - 2(12') lanes WB



# Alternative 3.b(72)

# QUEWZ-98

Phase 1 & 2 –	3 (11') lanes WB 2 (11') lanes EB from IR 77 Ramp 2 (11') lanes EB crossover
	The Phase 1 and 2 MOT lat 3.b(72) are the same as for QUEWZ-98 output files we 1.a(70), QUEWZ-98, Phase 1
Phase 3 & 4 -	4 (10') lanes EB 2 (10') lanes WB crossover 2 (12') lanes WB
	The Phase 3 and 4 MOT lat 3.b(72) are the same as for QUEWZ-98 output files we 3.a(72), QUEWZ-98, Phase 3

ips

ane configurations and lane widths for Alternative Alternative 1.a(70), Phase 1 and 2. No separate ere generated for these Phases. See Alternative and 2 for results.

ane configurations and lane widths for Alternative Alternative 3.a(72), Phase 3 and 4. No separate ere generated for these Phases. See Alternative and 4 for results.

# Alternative 3.b(72)

# ODOT Queue Spreadsheets

Phase 1 & 2 –	3 (11') lanes WB 2 (11') lanes EB from IR 77 Ram 2 (11') lanes EB crossover
	The Phase 1 and 2 MOT la 3.b(72) are the same as for ODOT Queue spreadsheet file 1.a(70), ODOT Queue spreads
Phase 3 & 4 -	4 (10') lanes EB 2 (10') lanes WB crossover 2 (12') lanes WB
	The Phase 3 and 4 MOT la 3.b(72) are the same as for ODOT Queue spreadsheet file 3.a(72), ODOT Queue spreads

nps

ane configurations and lane widths for Alternative Alternative 1.a(70), Phase 1 and 2. No separate les were generated for these Phases. See Alternative Isheet, Phase 1 and 2 for results.

ane configurations and lane widths for Alternative Alternative 3.a(72), Phase 3 and 4. No separate les were generated for these Phases. See Alternative Isheet, Phase 3 and 4 for results.

# Alternative 3.c

# QUEWZ-98

Phase 1 & 3 –	4 (11') lanes WB 1 (12') lane EB crossover 3 (11') lanes EB
Phase 2 -	4 (12') lanes WB 4 (11') lanes EB
	The Phase 2 MOT lane configurations same as the existing condition for Alternative 1.a(70), Phase 3 and QUEWZ-98 output files were ge QUEWZ-98, Phase 3 and 4 for response to the phase 3 and 4 for response to th
Phase 4 & 5 –	4 (11') lanes EB 2 (12') lanes WB crossover 2 (11') lanes WB
	The Phase 4 and 5 MOT lane control the same as Alternative 1.a(70), 2 separate QUEWZ-98 output files 1.a(70), QUEWZ-98, Phase 3 and

ration and lane widths for Alternative 3.c are the for westbound traffic and are the same as d 4 for the eastbound traffic. No separate enerated for this Phase. See Alternative 1.a(70), results.

onfiguration and lane widths for Alternative 3.c are , Phase 3 and 4 for the eastbound traffic. No es were generated for this Phase. See Alternative nd 4 for results.

INPUT DATA SUM ALT 3c Phase 1	ir480_35.0UT MARY: ROAD USER COST OUTPUT & 3 4 WB & 3 lanes EB		PAGE 1 OF 6 QUEWZ-98
LANE CLOSURE C	ONFIGURATION:		
TOTAL NUMBER INBOUND OUTBOUND	OF LANES 5 4		
NUMBER OF OP INBOUND OUTBOUND	EN LANES 4 WB 3 EB		
LENGTH OF WO	RK ZONE 2.00 MI	LES	
INBOUND CAP NORMAL RESTRICTED WORKING HO	ACITY (WESTBOUND) E= 1.5 10000. (VPH 7200. (VPH URS 5928. (VPH	H) H) H)/4= 1482 VEH	//HR/LN
OUTBOUND CAP NORMAL RESTRICTED WORKING HO	ACITY (EASTBOUND) E=2.5 8000. (VPH 5400. (VPH URS 4239. (VPH	H) H) H)/3= 1413 VEH	I/HR/LN
TRAFFIC PARAME	TERS:		
PERCENTAGE T	RUCK 5.		
SCHEDULE OF WO	RK ACTIVITY:		
HOURS OF RES BEGINNING ENDING	FRICTED CAPACITY 0 24		
HOURS OF WOR BEGINNING ENDING	K ZONE ACTIVITY 0 24		
IDLE HC CAR	34.9 (g/hr) IDLE HC TRUCK	( 12.6 (g/h	r)
IDLE CO CAR	218.5 (g/hr) IDLE CO TRUCK	( 94.6 (g/h	r)
IDLE NOX CAR	4.7 (g/hr) IDLE NOX TRUC	CK 53.1 (g/h	r)
SUMMARY OF ADD ALT 3c Phase 1	TIONAL ROAD USER COSTS \$2 WB & 3 lanes EB		PAGE 2 OF 6 QUEWZ-98
HOUR	ADDITIONAL ROAD USER C INBOUND C	COSTS (\$) DUTBOUND	TOTAL
0- 1 1- 2 2- 3 3- 4 4- 5 5- 6 6- 7 7- 8 8- 9 9-10 10-11	13. 7. 6. 6. 11. 63. 750. 17586. 26421. 8129. 610.	10. 5. 4. 5. 11. 79. 1340. 14436. 20040. 6494. 604. 638	24. 12. 10. 11. 22. 142. 2090. 32022. 46461. 14623. 1213. 1340.

	ir480_35	.OUT
14-15	4549.	
15-16	18330.	
16-17	33819.	
17-18	35656.	
18-19	34563.	
19-20	7188.	
20-21	181.	
21-22	186.	
22-23	131.	
23-24	65.	
TOTAL	190938.	

4

100K	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	CAPACITY (VPH)	APPROACH SPEED (MPH)	WORK ZONE SPEED (MPH)	QUEUE LENGTH (MILES)
0-1 1-2 2-3 3-4 4-5 5-6 6-7 7-8 8-9 9-10 10-11 11-12 12-13 13-14 12-13 13-14 14-15 15-16 16-17 17-18 18-19 19-20 20-21 21-22 22-23 22-23 22-23 22-23 22-24	770. 486. 418. 441. 688. 1763. 4397. 6709. 5923. 4566. 4121. 4309. 4664. 4879. 5902. 6763. 7197. 6995. 6694. 3789. 2717. 2741. 2743. 1787.	5928. 5928.	59. 59. 59. 59. 57. 53. 50. 51. 53. 53. 53. 53. 53. 51. 50. 49. 50. 54. 56. 56. 56. 56. 57.	58. 59. 59. 58. 55. 49. 30. 30. 30. 38. 49. 48. 49. 48. 35. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 53. 53. 53.	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0$

HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	CAPACITY (VPH)	APPROACH SPEED (MPH)	WORK ZONE SPEED (MPH)	QUEUE LENGTH (MILES)
0- 1 1- 2 2- 3 3- 4 4- 5 5- 6 6- 7	560. 342. 311. 332. 579. 1571. 4015.	4239. 4239. 4239. 4239. 4239. 4239. 4239. 4239.	59. 59. 59. 59. 59. 57. 52.	58. 59. 59. 58. 54. 45.	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0

Page 2

1409	5959
12215.	30545.
23908.	57728.
26506.	62162.
17460.	52024.
956.	8144.
134.	315.
151.	337.
154.	286.
123.	187.
128342.	319280.

	7- 8 8- 9 9-10 10-11 11-12 12-13 13-14 14-15 15-16	4929. 4079. 3410. 3210. 3264. 3404. 3650. 4038. 4768.	ir480 4239. 4239. 4239. 4239. 4239. 4239. 4239. 4239. 4239.	_35.OUT 51. 52. 54. 54. 54. 54. 53. 52. 51.	30. 36. 49. 48. 48. 48. 45. 30.	0.7 1.2 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.5
	16-17 17-18 18-19 19-20 20-21 21-22 22-23 23-24	5057. 4635. 3707. 2499. 1925. 2014. 2029. 1864.	4239. 4239. 4239. 4239. 4239. 4239. 4239. 4239. 4239.	50. 51. 53. 55. 56. 56. 56. 56.	30. 30. 48. 53. 53. 53. 53. 53.	1.3 1.5 1.0 0.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
Ŷ	NOTE: TRAFFI SUMMARY OF T ALT 3c Phase	C DIVERSION RAFFIC VOLUM 2 1&2 WB & 3	IS PREDI ES IN lanes EB	CTED, SEE SUMM	ARY OF TRAF	FIC VOLUMES PAGE 5 OF 6 QUEWZ-98
	HOUR	APPROAC VOLUME (VPH)	CH	VOLUME REMAINING ON FREEWAY (VPH)	DIV FF	VOLUME (ERTING FROM (EEWAY (VPH)
	0- 1 1- 2 2- 3 3- 4 4- 5 5- 6 6- 7 7- 8 8- 9 9-10 10-11 11-12 12-13 13-14 14-15 15-16 16-17 17-18 18-19 19-20 20-21 21-22 22-23 23-24 	770. 486. 418. 441. 688. 1763. 4397. 6709. 5923. 4566. 4121. 4309. 4664. 4879. 5902. 6763. 7197. 6995. 6694. 3789. 2717. 2741. 2403. 1787.		770. 486. 418. 441. 688. 1763. 4397. 6709. 5923. 4566. 4121. 4309. 4664. 4879. 5902. 6763. 6083. 5928. 5928. 5928. 3789. 2717. 2741. 2403. 1787.		0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.
Ŷ	QUEUE	RAFFIC VOLUM	ER EXCEED	1.50 MILES.	DIVERT SOC	PAGE 6 OF 6
	HOUR	APPROAC VOLUME (VPH)		VOLUME REMAINING ON FREEWAY (VPH)	DIV FF	VOLUME (ERTING FROM REEWAY (VPH)
	0- 1	560.	Pa	560. ge 3		0.

1-2 $2-3$ $3-4$ $4-5$ $5-6$ $6-7$ $7-8$ $8-9$ $9-10$ $10-11$ $11-12$ $12-13$ $13-14$ $14-15$ $15-16$ $16-17$ $17-18$ $18-19$ $19-20$ $20-21$ $21-22$ $22-23$ $23-24$	ir4 342. 311. 332. 579. 1571. 4015. 4929. 3410. 3210. 3264. 3404. 3650. 4038. 4768. 5057. 4635. 3707. 2499. 1925. 2014. 2029. 1864.	480_35.0UT 342. 311. 332. 579. 1571. 4015. 4929. 4079. 3410. 3210. 3264. 3404. 3650. 4038. 4768. 4502. 4239. 3707. 2499. 1925. 2014. 2029. 1864.		0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 555. 3966. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	
NOTE: THESE ESTIMATES ASSUME THAT TRAFFIC WILL DIVERT SUCH THAT					
QUEUE					
	EXCESS	EMISSIONS (DIFF	ERENCE)		
Inbound Outbound	HC (Kgs) 133.3 97.0	CO (Kgs) 970.0 684.7	NOX (Kgs) -119.3 -88.5		
		BASE EMISSIONS			
Inbound Outbound	HC (Kgs) 266.7 192.0	CO (Kgs) 1557.1 1129.2	NOX (Kgs) 466.0 347.9		
	CONSTRU	UCTION RELATED E	MISSIONS		
Inbound Outbound	HC (Kgs) 466.2 345.4	CO (Kgs) 2943.0 2168.8	NOX (Kgs) 360.8 271.4		

## QUEWZ-98 Alternative 3. c – Phase 1 & 3 – 4 lanes WB and 1 lane EB crossover

ir480_36.OUT INPUT DATA SUMMARY: ROAD USER COST OUTPUT PAGE 1 OF ALT 3c Phase 1 & 3 4 WB & 1 lane EB crossover QUEWZ-9						PAGE 1 OF 6 QUEWZ-98
LANE CLOSURE CONFIGURATION:						
TOTAL NUMBER INBOUND OUTBOUND	OF LANES	5 4				
NUMBER OF OPE INBOUND OUTBOUND	N LANES	4 1	WB EB cross	over		
LENGTH OF WOR	K ZONE	2.	00 MILES			
INBOUND CAPA NORMAL RESTRICTED WORKING HOU	CITY (WESTBOU RS	JND) E=1.5 10000. 7200. 5928.	(VPH) (VPH) (VPH)/4:	= 1482	2 VEH/H	R/LN
OUTBOUND CAPA NORMAL RESTRICTED WORKING HOU	CITY (EASTBOU RS	JND) E=2.5 8000. 1800. 1488.	(VPH) (VPH) (VPH)/1:	= 1488	3 VEH/H	R/LN
TRAFFIC PARAMET	ERS:					
PERCENTAGE TR	UCK	5.				
SCHEDULE OF WOR	K ACTIVITY:					
HOURS OF REST BEGINNING ENDING	RICTED CAPACI	1TY 0 24				
HOURS OF WORK BEGINNING ENDING	ZONE ACTIVIT	ГҮ 24				
IDLE HC CAR	34.9 (g/hr)	IDLE HC	TRUCK	12.6	(g/hr)	
IDLE CO CAR	218.5 (g/hr)	IDLE CO	TRUCK	94.6	(g/hr)	
IDLE NOX CAR	4.7 (g/hr)	IDLE NOX	TRUCK	53.1	(g/hr)	
SUMMARY OF ADDI ALT 3c Phase 1&	TIONAL ROAD U 2 WB & 1 lane	JSER COSTS e EB			I	PAGE 2 OF 6 QUEWZ-98
HOUR	ADDITION INBOUND	NAL ROAD U	SER COST	5 (\$) DUND		TOTAL
0- 1 1- 2 2- 3 3- 4 4- 5 5- 6 6- 7 7- 8 8- 9 9-10 10-11 11-12 12-13 13-14	13 6 6 11 63 750 17586 26421 8129 610 702 909		3 9 14 9 1	5. 2. 2. 70. 776. 724. 157. 534. 217. 248. 248.		19. 10. 8. 9. 133. 4525. 27310. 40578. 17664. 1859. 919. 1157. 1386

f

Page 1

		ir480 3	6.OUT		
14-15 15-16 16-17 17-18 18-19 19-20 20-21 21-22 22-23 23-24		4549. 18330. 33819. 35656. 34563. 7188. 181. 186. 131. 65.	4 30 16 4 1 1 2	58. 77. 87. 63. 62. 01. 39. 69. 83. 12.	5008. 21407. 35506. 36119. 34725. 7289. 220. 254. 314. 277.
TOTAL		190938.	457	74.	236713.
SUMMARY ALT 3c F	OF TRAFFIC COM Phase 1&2 WB &	NDITIONS I 1 lane EB	NBOUND DIREC	TION	PAGE 3 OF 6 QUEWZ-98
HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	CAPACITY (VPH)	APPROACH SPEED (MPH)	WORK ZONE SPEED (MPH)	QUEUE LENGTH (MILES)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	770. 486. 418. 441. 688. 1763. 4397. 6709. 5923. 4566. 4121. 4309. 4664. 4879. 5902. 6763. 7197. 6995. 6694. 3789. 2717. 2741. 2403. 1787.	5928. 5928.	59. 59. 59. 59. 57. 53. 50. 51. 53. 54. 53. 53. 54. 53. 53. 54. 53. 54. 55. 50. 49. 49. 54. 56. 56. 56. 56. 57.	58. 59. 59. 58. 55. 49. 30. 30. 38. 49. 48. 48. 35. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 53. 53. 54. 55.	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0$
NOTE: TR SUMMARY ALT 3c F	AFFIC DIVERSIO OF TRAFFIC CO Phase 1&2 WB &	ON IS PREDICT NDITIONS C 1 lane EB	ED, SEE SUMM	ARY OF TRAF CTION	FIC VOLUMES PAGE 4 OF 6 QUEWZ-98
HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	CAPACITY (VPH)	APPROACH SPEED (MPH)	WORK ZONE SPEED (MPH)	QUEUE LENGTH (MILES)
0- 1 1- 2 2- 3 3- 4 4- 5 5- 6 6- 7	223. 140. 122. 138. 240. 717. 1635.	1488. 1488. 1488. 1488. 1488. 1488. 1488.	60. 60. 60. 60. 59. 57.	58. 59. 59. 59. 58. 53. 30.	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.1

T 3C F	phase 1&2 WB &	a 1 lane EB	JUTBOON
UR	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	CAPACITY (VPH)	APPRO SPEI (MPI
)- 1 L- 2 2- 3 3- 4 1- 5	223. 140. 122. 138. 240. 717	$1488. \\ 1488$	60. 60. 60. 60. 60.
5-7	1635.	1488.	57

4

		ir480_36	5.0UT		
7-8	1809.	1488.	57.	30.	0.6
8-9	1558.	1488.	57.	30.	1.0
9-10	1091.	1488.	58.	30.	0.6
10-11	1139.	1488.	58.	41.	0.1
11-12	1062.	1488.	58.	49.	0.0
12-13	1109.	1488.	58.	49.	0.0
13-14	1213.	1488.	58.	48.	0.0
14-15	1342.	1488.	57.	46.	0.0
15-16	1583.	1488.	57.	30.	0.1
16-17	1329.	1488.	57.	37.	0.1
17-18	1346.	1488.	57.	46.	0.0
18-19	964.	1488.	58.	50.	0.0
19-20	819.	1488.	58.	52.	0.0
20-21	573.	1488.	59.	54.	0.0
21-22	712.	1488.	59.	53.	0.0
22-23	1004.	1488.	58.	50.	0.0
23-24	1055.	1488.	58.	49.	0.0

NOTE: TRAFFIC DIVERSION IS PREDICTED, SEE SUMMARY OF TRAFFIC VOLUMES

4	SUMMARY OF TRAFFIC VOLUMES INBOUND DIRECTION	PAGE 5 OF 6
	ALT 3C Phase 1&2 WB & 1 lane EB	QUEWZ-98

HOUR	APPROACH	VOLUME	VOLUME
	VOLUME	REMAINING ON	DIVERTING FROM
	(VPH)	FREEWAY (VPH)	FREEWAY (VPH)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	VOLUME (VPH) 770. 486. 418. 418. 441. 688. 1763. 4397. 6709. 5923. 4566. 4121. 4309. 4664. 4879. 5902. 6763. 7197. 6995. 6694. 3789.	REMAINING ON FREEWAY (VPH) 770. 486. 418. 441. 688. 1763. 4397. 6709. 5923. 4566. 4121. 4309. 4664. 4879. 5902. 6763. 6083. 5928. 5928. 3789.	DIVERTING FROM FREEWAY (VPH) 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.
20-21	2717.	2717.	0.
21-22	2741.	2741.	0.
22-23	2403.	2403.	0.
23-24	1787.	1787.	0.
NOTE . THESE	ECTTMATEC ACCUME	THAT TRAFETC WITH DTV	EDT SUCH THAT

NOTE: THESE ESTIMATES ASSUME THAT TRAFFIC WILL DIVERT SUCH THAT QUEUE LENGTHS NEVER EXCEED 1.50 MILES.

ቶ

SUMMARY OF	TRAFFIC VOLUMES	OUTBOUND DIRECTION	PAGE 6 OF 6
ALT 3c Phas	se 1&2 WB & 1 lane	EB	QUEWZ-98
HOUR	APPROACH	VOLUME	VOLUME
	VOLUME	REMAINING ON	DIVERTING FROM
	(VPH)	FREEWAY (VPH)	FREEWAY (VPH)
0- 1	223.	223.	0.
		Page 3	

	ir	480_36.OUT
1- 2 2- 3 3- 4 4- 5 5- 6	140. 122. 138. 240. 717.	140. 122. 138. 240. 717.
6-7 7-8 8-9 9-10	1635. 1809. 1558. 1091.	1635. 1809. 1558. 1091.
11-12 12-13 13-14 14-15	1062. 1109. 1213. 1342.	1062. 1062. 1109. 1213. 1342.
15-16 16-17 17-18 18-19 19-20	1583. 1329. 1346. 964. 819.	1583. 1329. 1346. 964. 819.
20-21 21-22 22-23 23-24	573. 712. 1004. 1055.	573. 712. 1004. 1055.
NOTE: THESE QUEUE	ESTIMATES ASSUME LENGTHS NEVER EXC	THAT TRAFFIC EED 1.50 MI
	EXCESS	EMISSIONS (D
Inbound Outbound	HC (Kgs) 133.3 46.2	CO (Kgs) 970.0 214.7
		BASE EMISSI
Inbound Outbound	HC (Kgs) 266.7 62.5	CO (Kgs) 1557.1 463.6
	CONSTR	UCTION RELATE

HC CO (Kgs) (Kgs) 466.2 2943.0 126.3 789.2

Inbound Outbound

Page 4



### DIFFERENCE)

NOX
(Kgs)
-119.3
-32.5

### IONS

NOX
(Kgs)
466.0
125.1

### ED EMISSIONS

N	0	x	
(K	g	s	)
360	).	8	
96	5.	4	

## QUEWZ-98 Alternative 3. c – Phase 4 & 5 – 4 lanes EB and 2 lanes WB

4

INPUT DATA SUM ALT 3c Phase 4	MARY: ROAD USI 4 & 5 4 EB & 2	ir480_37.0U ER COST OUT (11' lanes	T PUT )WB	Ĩ	PAGE 1 OF 6 QUEWZ-98
LANE CLOSURE C	ONFIGURATION:				
TOTAL NUMBER INBOUND OUTBOUND	OF LANES	4 5			
NUMBER OF OF INBOUND OUTBOUND	PEN LANES	2 w 4 e	/B I=80 (11 B I=80 (11	LANES)	
LENGTH OF WO	ORK ZONE	2.0	0 MILES R=	0	
INBOUND CAF NORMAL RESTRICTEL WORKING HO	PACITY (WESTBOU ) DURS	UND) E=1.5 8000. 3600. 2964.	(VPH) (VPH) (VPH)/2= 1	482 VEH/H	R/LN
OUTBOUND CAF NORMAL RESTRICTEL WORKING HO	PACITY (EASTBOU DURS	JND) E=2.5 10000. 7200. 5652.	(VPH) (VPH) (VPH)/4= 1	413 VEH/H	R/LN
TRAFFIC PARAME	TERS:				
PERCENTAGE 1	RUCK	5.			
SCHEDULE OF WO	ORK ACTIVITY:				
HOURS OF RES BEGINNING ENDING	STRICTED CAPAC	1TY 0 24			
HOURS OF WOR BEGINNING ENDING	RK ZONE ACTIVI	ГҮ 0 24			
IDLE HC CAR	34.9 (g/hr)	IDLE HC T	RUCK 12	.6 (g/hr)	
IDLE CO CAR	218.5 (g/hr)	IDLE CO T	RUCK 94	.6 (g/hr)	
IDLE NOX CAR	4.7 (g/hr)	IDLE NOX	TRUCK 53	.1 (g/hr)	
SUMMARY OF ADD ALT 3c Phase 4	ITIONAL ROAD U 4 & 5 EB & 2 (1	JSER COSTS 11' lanes)E	В	F	PAGE 2 OF 6 QUEWZ-98
HOUR	ADDITION INBOUND	NAL ROAD US	ER COSTS ( OUTBOUN	\$) D	TOTAL
0- 1 1- 2 2- 3 3- 4 4- 5 5- 6 6- 7 7- 8 8- 9 9-10 10-11 11-12 12-13 13-14	8 442 8870 13216 4111 360 414 533 618		14 7 6 128 5786 20410 31214 14073 824 810 931		22. 11. 9. 10. 22. 165. 6227. 29281. 44431. 18184. 1185. 1224. 1464. 1817.
		Page 1			

ir480_37.0UT						
14-15 15-16 16-17 17-18 18-19 19-20 20-21		2398. 9241. 20419. 26637. 25667. 8381. 109.	18 161 296 327 179 3 1	23. 67. 92. 25. 15. 63. 61.	4221. 25408. 50111. 59362. 43582. 8744. 270.	
22-23 23-24		79. 39.	2	274. 246.		
TOTAL		121707.	1749	97.	296704.	
SUMMARY ALT 3c F	OF TRAFFIC COP Phase 4 & 5 EB	NDITIONS I & 2 (11' lan	NBOUND DIREC es)EB	TION F	PAGE 3 OF 6 QUEWZ-98	
HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	CAPACITY (VPH)	APPROACH SPEED (MPH)	WORK ZONE SPEED (MPH)	QUEUE LENGTH (MILES)	
0- 1 1- 2 2- 3 3- 4 4- 5 5- 6 6- 7 7- 8 8- 9 9-10 10-11 11-12 12-13 13-14 14-15 15-16 16-17 17-18 18-19 19-20 20-21 21-22 22-23 23-24 	385. 243. 209. 221. 344. 882. 2199. 3355. 2962. 2283. 2061. 2155. 2332. 2440. 2951. 3382. 2440. 2951. 3382. 3599. 3498. 3347. 1895. 1359. 1359. 1371. 1202. 894. 2445FIC DIVERSIO	2964. 2966. 2966. 2966. 2966. 2066. 2066. 2066. 2066. 2066. 2066. 2066.	59. 60. 60. 59. 58. 56. 54. 56. 56. 55. 54. 54. 54. 53. 54. 53. 54. 55. 54. 55. 54. 55. 54. 55. 54. 55. 54. 55. 54. 55. 54. 55. 54. 55. 55	58. 59. 59. 59. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	
SUMMARY ALT 3c F	OF TRAFFIC CO Phase 4 & 5 EB	NDITIONS 0 & 2 (11' lan	UTBOUND DIRE es)EB	CTION I	PAGE 4 OF 6 QUEWZ-98	
HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	CAPACITY (VPH)	APPROACH SPEED (MPH)	WORK ZONE SPEED (MPH)	QUEUE LENGTH (MILES)	
0- 1 1- 2 2- 3 3- 4 4- 5 5- 6 6- 7	783. 482. 432. 470. 819. 2288. 5650.	5652. 5652. 5652. 5652. 5652. 5652. 5652.	59. 59. 59. 59. 59. 57. 51.	58. 59. 59. 58. 54. 32.	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	

Ŷ

	783.	5652.	59.
	482.	5652.	59.
	432.	5652.	59.
	470.	5652.	59.
	819.	5652.	59.
2	288.	5652.	57.
5	650.	5652.	51.

D I)	SPEED (MPH)	LENGTH (MILES)
	58.	0.0
	59.	0.0
	59.	0.0
	59.	0.0
	58.	0.0
	54.	0.0
	32.	0.0

SUMMARY ALT 3c HOUR	OF TRAFFIC VOLUMES Phase 4 & 5 EB & 2 (1 APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	- OUTBOUND DIRECTION 1' lanes)EB VOLUME REMAINING ON FREEWAY (VPH)	VOLUME DIVERTING FROM FREEWAY (VPH)
SUMMARY ALT 3c	OF TRAFFIC VOLUMES Phase 4 & 5 EB & 2 (1	- OUTBOUND DIRECTION 1' lanes)EB	PAGE 6 OF 6 QUEWZ-98
NOTE: T	THESE ESTIMATES ASSUME QUEUE LENGTHS NEVER EX	THAT TRAFFIC WILL D CEED 1.50 MILES.	IVERT SUCH THAT
22-23 23-24	1202. 894.	1202. 894.	0. 0.
21-22	1359.	1359.	0.
19-20	1895.	1895.	0.
17-18 18-19	3498. 3347	2964.	534.
16-17	3599.	3338.	261.
14 - 15 15 - 16	2951.	2951.	0.
13-14	2440.	2440.	ö.
11 - 12 12 - 13	2155.	2155.	0.
9-10 10-11	2283. 2061.	2283.	0.
8-9	2962.	2962.	0.
6-7 7-8	2199.	2199.	0.
5- 6	882.	882.	Ő.
3-4	221.	221.	0.
1- 2 2- 3	243.	243. 209.	0.
0- 1	385.	385.	0.
	(VPH)	FREEWAY (VPH)	FREEWAY (VPH)
HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME	VOLUME REMAINING ON	VOLUME DIVERTING FROM
ALT 5C		1 Tanes)EB	QUEW2-98
SUMMARY	OF TRAFFIC VOLUMES	- INBOUND DIRECTION	PAGE 5 OF 6
Steres 1			
NOTE: 7	RAFFIC DIVERSION IS P	REDICTED. SEE SUMMARY	Y OF TRAFFIC VOLUMES
23-24	2919. 56	52. 56.	52. 0.0
21-22	2726. 56	52. 56.	53. 0.0
19-20 20-21	3318. 56 2498. 56	52. 55. 52. 56.	51. 0.0 53. 0.0
18-19	4671. 56	52. 53.	30. 0.8
16-17	6386. 56	52. 50.	30. 1.3
14-15	6351. 56	52. 52. 52. 50.	45. 0.0 30. 0.5
13-14	4863. 56	52. 53.	47. 0.0
11-12	4326. 56 4513 56	52. 53. 52 53	48. 0.0 48. 0.0
10-11	4349. 56	52. 53.	48. 0.0
8-9	5637. 56	52. 51.	30. 1.5
7-8	6738. 56	52. 50.	30. 0.8
	i	r480_37.0UT	

7-8 8-9 9-10 10-11 11-12 12-13 13-14 14-15 15-16 16-17	6738. 5637. 4501. 4326. 4513. 4863. 5380. 6351. 6386.	5652. 5652. 5652. 5652. 5652. 5652. 5652. 5652. 5652. 5652.	50. 51. 53. 53. 53. 53. 53. 53. 53. 50. 50.	30. 30. 33. 48. 48. 48. 47. 45. 30. 30.		
17-18 18-19 19-20 20-21 21-22 22-23 23-24	5981. 4671. 3318. 2498. 2726. 3033. 2919.	5652. 5652. 5652. 5652. 5652. 5652. 5652.	51. 53. 55. 56. 56. 55. 56.	30. 30. 51. 53. 53. 52. 52.		1.5 0.8 0.0 0.0 0.0
NOTE: TRAFFI SUMMARY OF TF ALT 3c Phase	C DIVERSION C AFFIC VOLUME 4 & 5 EB & C	IS PREDIC ES INB 2 (11' la	TED, SEE SU OUND DIRECT nes)EB	MMARY OF <sup>-</sup> ION	FRAFFIC PAGE QU	5 OF EWZ-9
HOUR	APPROACI VOLUME (VPH)	H	VOLUME REMAINING O FREEWAY (VP	N H)	VOLU DIVERTI FREEWA	ME NG FF Y (VF
0- 1 1- 2 2- 3 3- 4 4- 5 5- 6 6- 7 7- 8 8- 9 9-10 10-11 11-12 12-13 13-14 14-15 15-16 16-17 17-18 18-19 19-20 20-21 21-22 22-23 23-24 NOTE: THESE 1	385. 243. 209. 221. 344. 882. 2199. 3355. 2962. 2283. 2061. 2155. 2332. 2440. 2951. 3382. 3599. 3498. 3347. 1895. 1359. 1371. 1202. 894.		385. 243. 209. 221. 344. 882. 2199. 3355. 2962. 2283. 2061. 2155. 2332. 2440. 2951. 3382. 2333. 2964. 2964. 2964. 2964. 2964. 1359. 1371. 1202. 894.	LL DIVERT	26 53 38 SUCH TH	0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0
QUEUE SUMMARY OF TF ALT 3c Phase	AFFIC VOLUME 4 & 5 EB & 2	R EXCEED ES OUT 2 (11' la	1.50 MILE BOUND DIREC nes)EB	S. TION	PAGE QU	6 OF EWZ-9

Page 3

4

4

Page 4



### CESS EMISSIONS (DIFFERENCE)

NOX
(Kgs)
-68.2
-117.6

# BASE EMISSIONS CO (Kgs) 799.2 1532.7

CO (Kgs) 700.1 928.3

CO (Kgs) 1773.8 3102.8

NOX
(Kgs)
251.5
468.3

### NSTRUCTION RELATED EMISSIONS

		N	0	x	
	(	K	g	s	)
1	9	2	•	6	
3	7	2	•	4	

## QUEWZ-98 Alternative 3. c – Phase 4 & 5 – 4 lanes EB and 2 lanes WB crossover

ir480_38.0 INPUT DATA SUMMARY: ROAD USER COST OL ALT 3C Phase 4 & 5 EB & 2 (12' lanes)	DUT JTPUT PAGE 1 OF 6 WB crossover QUEWZ-98
LANE CLOSURE CONFIGURATION:	
TOTAL NUMBER OF LANES INBOUND 4 OUTBOUND 5	
NUMBER OF OPEN LANES INBOUND 2 OUTBOUND 4	WB I=0 (12' lanes) EB I=80 (11' lanes)
LENGTH OF WORK ZONE 2.	00 MILES R=0
INBOUND CAPACITY (WESTBOUND) E=1.5 NORMAL 8000. RESTRICTED 3600. WORKING HOURS 3120.	; (VPH) (VPH)/2= 1560 VEH/HR/LN
OUTBOUND CAPACITY (EASTBOUND) E=2.5 NORMAL 10000. RESTRICTED 7200. WORKING HOURS 5652.	(VPH) (VPH) (VPH)/4= 1413 VEH/HR/LN
TRAFFIC PARAMETERS:	
PERCENTAGE TRUCK 5.	
SCHEDULE OF WORK ACTIVITY:	
HOURS OF RESTRICTED CAPACITY BEGINNING 0 ENDING 24	
HOURS OF WORK ZONE ACTIVITY BEGINNING 0 ENDING 24	
IDLE HC CAR 34.9 (g/hr) IDLE HC	TRUCK 12.6 (g/hr)
IDLE CO CAR 218.5 (g/hr) IDLE CO	TRUCK 94.6 (g/hr)
IDLE NOX CAR 4.7 (g/hr) IDLE NOX	( TRUCK 53.1 (g/hr)
SUMMARY OF ADDITIONAL ROAD USER COSTS ALT 3c Phase 4 & 5 EB & 2 (12' lanes)	PAGE 2 OF 6 WB QUEWZ-98
ADDITIONAL ROAD U HOUR INBOUND	JSER COSTS (\$) OUTBOUND TOTAL
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

f

		ir480_3	8.0UT		
14-15 15-16 16-17 17-18 18-19 19-20 20-21 21-22 22-23 23-24		1059. 7287. 16824. 24786. 24791. 7273. 98. 101. 72. 36.	18 161 296 327 179 3 1 2 2 2 2	23. 67. 92. 25. 15. 63. 61. 04. 74. 46.	2882. 23455. 46516. 57511. 42706. 7636. 259. 304. 346. 282.
TOTAL		99449.	1749	97.	274445.
SUMMARY ALT 3c I	OF TRAFFIC CO Phase 4 & 5 EE	NDITIONS I 8 & 2 (12' lar	NBOUND DIREC nes) WB	TION I	PAGE 3 OF 6 QUEWZ-98
HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	CAPACITY (VPH)	APPROACH SPEED (MPH)	WORK ZONE SPEED (MPH)	QUEUE LENGTH (MILES)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	385. 243. 209. 221. 344. 882. 2199. 3355. 2962. 2283. 2061. 2155. 2332. 2440. 2951. 3382. 3599. 3498. 3347. 1895. 1359. 1371. 1202. 894.	3120. 31	59. 60. 60. 59. 58. 56. 54. 56. 56. 56. 56. 55. 54. 54. 53. 54. 53. 53. 54. 53. 53. 53. 54. 55. 55. 55. 55. 55. 55. 55. 55. 55	58. 59. 59. 58. 56. 49. 30. 30. 47. 50. 49. 48. 45. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 37. 53. 53. 54. 56.	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0$
NOTE: TI SUMMARY ALT 3c I	RAFFIC DIVERSI OF TRAFFIC CC Phase 4 & 5 EE	ON IS PREDICT ONDITIONS C 3 & 2 (12' lar	TED, SEE SUMM DUTBOUND DIRE Nes) WB	ARY OF TRAF	FIC VOLUMES PAGE 4 OF 6 QUEWZ-98
HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	CAPACITY (VPH)	APPROACH SPEED (MPH)	WORK ZONE SPEED (MPH)	QUEUE LENGTH (MILES)
0- 1 1- 2 2- 3 3- 4 4- 5 5- 6 6- 7	783. 482. 432. 470. 819. 2288. 5650.	5652. 5652. 5652. 5652. 5652. 5652. 5652.	59. 59. 59. 59. 59. 57. 51.	58. 59. 59. 59. 58. 54. 32.	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0$

የ

Page 2

	ir	180 38 OUT
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	482. 432. 470. 819. 2288. 5650. 6738. 5637. 4501. 4349. 4326. 4513. 4863. 5380. 6351. 6386. 5981. 4671. 3318. 2498. 2726. 3033. 2919.	482. 432. 470. 819. 2288. 5650. 6642. 5637. 4501. 4349. 4349. 4513. 4863. 5380. 6351. 5943. 5652. 4671. 3318. 2498. 2726. 3033. 2919.
NOTE: THESE ES QUEUE LER	TIMATES ASSUME T NGTHS NEVER EXCL	THAT TRAFFIC EED 1.50 MI
	EXCESS	EMISSIONS (D
Inbound Outbound	HC (Kgs) 86.7 127.8	CO (Kgs) 571.8 928.3
		BASE EMISSI
Inbound Outbound	HC (Kgs) 126.6 262.0	CO (Kgs) 771.7 1532.7
	CONSTRU	JCTION RELATE
Inbound Outbound	НС (Kgs) 236.4 489.4	CO (Kgs) 1488.6 3086.9

		ir480_38.0UT		
$\begin{array}{c} 7-8\\ 8-9\\ 9-10\\ 10-11\\ 11-12\\ 12-13\\ 13-14\\ 14-15\\ 15-16\\ 16-17\\ 17-18\\ 18-19\\ 19-20\\ 20-21\\ 21-22\\ 22-23\\ 23-24 \end{array}$	6738. 5637. 4501. 4349. 4326. 4513. 4863. 5380. 6351. 6386. 5981. 4671. 3318. 2498. 2726. 3033. 2919.	5652.       50.         5652.       51.         5652.       53.         5652.       53.         5652.       53.         5652.       53.         5652.       53.         5652.       53.         5652.       53.         5652.       52.         5652.       50.         5652.       51.         5652.       51.         5652.       53.         5652.       51.         5652.       53.         5652.       56.         5652.       56.         5652.       56.         5652.       56.         5652.       56.	30. 30. 33. 48. 48. 47. 45. 30. 30. 30. 30. 51. 53. 53. 52. 52.	$\begin{array}{c} 0.8\\ 1.5\\ 0.7\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.5\\ 1.3\\ 1.5\\ 0.8\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0$
NOTE: TRAFFI	C DIVERSION IS	PREDICTED, SEE SUMM	ARY OF TRAFFIC \	OLUMES
SUMMARY OF T ALT 3c Phase	RAFFIC VOLUMES 4 & 5 EB & 2 (	INBOUND DIRECTION (12' lanes) WB	N PAGE QUE	5 OF 6 WZ-98
HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	VOLUME REMAINING ON FREEWAY (VPH)	VOLUN DIVERTIN FREEWAY	1E NG FROM (VPH)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	385. 243. 209. 221. 344. 882. 2199. 3355. 2962. 2263. 2061. 2155. 2332. 2440. 2951.	385. 243. 209. 221. 344. 882. 2199. 3355. 2962. 2283. 2061. 2155. 2332. 2440. 2951.		). ). ). ). ). ). ). ). ). ).
15-16 16-17 17-18 18-19 19-20 20-21 21-22 22-23 23-24 NOTE: THESE	3382. 3599. 3498. 3347. 1895. 1359. 1371. 1202. 894. ESTIMATES ASSUM	3382. 3599. 3171. 3120. 1895. 1359. 1371. 1202. 894. ME THAT TRAFFIC WILL	DIVERT SUCH THA	). ). ). ). ). ). ). ).
15-16 16-17 17-18 18-19 19-20 20-21 21-22 22-23 23-24 	3382. 3599. 3498. 3347. 1895. 1359. 1371. 1202. 894. ESTIMATES ASSUM LENGTHS NEVER E	3382. 3599. 3171. 3120. 1895. 1359. 1371. 1202. 894. ME THAT TRAFFIC WILL EXCEED 1.50 MILES. OUTBOUND DIRECTION	DIVERT SUCH THA	). ). ). ). ). ).

f

4

ALI 3C Phase	4 & 5 EB & 2 (12	Tanes) WB	QUEWZ-98
HOUR	APPROACH VOLUME (VPH)	VOLUME REMAINING ON FREEWAY (VPH)	VOLUME DIVERTING FROM FREEWAY (VPH)
0- 1	783.	783.	0.
		Page 3	

Page 4



## DIFFERENCE)

NOX
(Kgs)
-64.3
-117.6

## IONS

NOX
(Kgs)
243.0
468.3

## ED EMISSIONS

	Ν	0	х
. !	(K	g	s)
18	33	•	6
3,	(1		9

# Alternative 3.c

F	Phase 1 & 3 –	4 (11') lanes WB 1 (12') lane EB crossover 3 (11') lanes EB
I	Phase 2 -	4 (12') lanes WB 4 (11') lanes EB
		The Phase 2 MOT lane configurations same as the existing condition for Alternative 1.a(70), Phase 3 and Queue spreadsheet files were general opport Queue spreadsheet, Phase 3 and 2000 and 20000 and 2000 and 2000 and 2000 and 20000 and 2000 and 2000 and 20
F	Phase 4 & 5 –	4 (11') lanes EB 2 (12') lanes WB crossover 2 (11') lanes WB
		The Phase 4 and 5 MOT lane control the same as Alternative 1.a(70), separate ODOT Queue spreadshee Alternative 1.a(70), ODOT Queue

# ODOT Queue Spreadsheets

ration and lane widths for Alternative 3.c are the for westbound traffic and are the same as d 4 for the eastbound traffic. No separate ODOT enerated for these Phases. See Alternative 1.a(70), se 3 and 4 for results.

onfiguration and lane widths for Alternative 3.c are , Phase 3 and 4 for the eastbound traffic. No neet files were generated for this Phase. See eue spreadsheet, Phase 3 and 4 for results.

Queue Calc. Worksheet for Freeway Work Zor Alternative 3c, Phase 1 & 3 CUY 480 Westbound (total volume)	les	Queue Calc. Worksheet for Freeway Work Z Alternative 3c, phase 1 & 3 (3 lanes Eastbound) CUY 480 Eastbound Through (55% thru + Ramps) Friday in August-Tuesday in June	ones 2.62%
Friday in August Free Flow Work Zone Capacity (veh/ln/hr) Queued Work Zone Capacity (veh/ln/hr) One Way AADT (veh)	1482 1482 91122	Free Flow Work Zone Capacity (veh/ln/hr) Queued Work Zone Capacity (veh/ln/hr) One Way AADT (veh)	1413 1413 66191
Percent of Peak Period (>1000 vph) Traffic Diverted (%) Number of Lanes for Queued Vehicles(lanes) Number of vehicles in Queue per Lane Mile (veh)	0 4 108	Percent of Peak Period (>1000 vph) Traffic Diverted (%) Number of Lanes for Queued Vehicles(lanes) Number of vehicles in Queue per Lane Mile (veh)	0 3 108
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Estimated or Actual BeginingReduced volumeQueue (Veh)Queue (miles) $0:00$ 56056000.0 $1:00$ 34234200.0 $2:00$ 31131100.0 $2:00$ 31131100.0 $3:00$ 33233200.0 $4:00$ 57957900.0 $5:00$ 1571157100.0 $6:00$ 4075401500.0 $7:00$ 49296903.2 $9:00$ 3410341000.0 $11:00$ 3210321000.0 $11:00$ 3424364400.0 $11:00$ 3404340400.0 $11:00$ 3650365000.0 $11:00$ 3463403800.0 $11:00$ 3463463517438.1 $18:00$ 3707370712115.6 $19:00$ 2499249900.0 $21:00$ 2014201400.0 $22:00$ 202900.0 $23:00$ 1864186400.0	

# Alternative 3.c - Phase 1 & 3 - 1 (12') lane EB crossover

Queue Calc. Worksheet for Freeway Work Zo	ones	
Alternative 3c, phase 1 & 3 (1 lane Eastbound)		
CUY 480 Eastbound Through (45% thru)	2.62%	
Friday in August-Tuesday in June		
Free Flow Work Zone Capacity (veh/In/hr)	1488	
Queued Work Zone Capacity (veh/ln/hr)	1488	
One Way AADT (veh)	22923	
Percent of Peak Period (>1000 vph) Traffic Diverted (%)	0	
Number of Lanes for Queued Vehicles(lanes)	1	
Number of vehicles in Queue per Lane Mile (veh)	108	

	Estimated			
Time	or Actual	Reduced	Queue	Queue
Begining	Volume	Volume	(Veh)	(miles)
0:00	223	223	0	0.0
1:00	140	140	0	0.0
2:00	122	122	0	0.0
3:00	138	138	0	0.0
4:00	240	240	0	0.0
5:00	717	717	0	0.0
6:00	1635	1635	147	0.7
7:00	1809	1809	467	2.2
8:00	1558	1558	538	2.5
9:00	1091	1091	141	0.7
10:00	1139	1139	0	0.0
11:00	1062	1062	0	0.0
12:00	1109	1109	0	0.0
13:00	1213	1213	0	0.0
14:00	1342	1342	0	0.0
15:00	1583	1583	95	0.4
16:00	1329	1329	0	0.0
17:00	1346	1346	0	0.0
18:00	964	964	0	0.0
19:00	819	819	0	0.0
20:00	573	573	0	0.0
21:00	712	712	0	0.0
22:00	1004	1004	0	0.0
23:00	1055	1055	0	0.0



# **MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC ALTERNATIVE ANALYSIS**

# CUY-480-18.42 L/R, PID No. 90591

# **ATTACHED DRAWINGS**

# **INDEX OF SHEETS**

Title Sheet Schematic Plan **Typical Sections** General Notes Plan Sheets Drainage Detail Conceptual BMP Layout Bridge Key Plan Bridge Transverse Sections Scupper Location Plan







# STATE OF OHIO

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

# CUY-480-18.42

# CITY OF INDEPENDENCE CITY OF VALLEY VIEW CITY OF GARFIELD HEIGHTS CUYAHOGA COUNTY

## INDEX OF SHEETS:

TITLE SHEET	1
SCHEMATIC PLAN	2
TYPICAL SECTIONS	3-14
GENERAL NOTES	15
I.R. 480 PLAN	16-24
DRAINAGE DETAIL	25
CONCEPTUAL BMP LAYOUT	26

	STANDARD	CONSTRUCTIO	N DRAWINGS		SUPPLEMENTAL SPECIFICATIONS
ENGINEERS SEAL:					
					SPECIAL PROVISIONS
SIGNED:					

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

PHONE: (419) 524-0074

FAX: (419) 524-1812

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION

THE PROJECT CONSISTS OF THE REPLACEMENT OF THE DECKS ON THE BRIDGES CARRYING EB & WB IR-480 OVER THE CUYAHOGA RIVER, CANAL RD., TOWPATH TRAIL, CSX RAILROAD AND OHIO AND ERIE CANAL RESERVATION. ALSO INCLUDED IN THE PROJECT ARE SUBSTRUCTURE REPAIRS, BACK WALL REPAIRS, EXPANSION JOINT REHABILITATION/REPLACEMENTS, BRIDGE DRAINAGE REHABILITATION, AND APPROACH SLAB REPLACEMENTS. PROJECT LENGTH = 2.5 MILES.

## THIS IS A MAINTENANCE PROJECT

PROJECT EARTH DISTURBED AREA:XXX ACRESESTIMATED CONTRACTOR EARTH DISTRUBED AREA:XXX ACRESNOTICE OF INTENT EARTH DISTURBED AREA:N/A (NOT REQUIRED)

## LIMITED ACCESS

THIS IMPROVEMENT IS ESPECIALLY DESIGNED FOR THROUGH TRAFFIC AND HAS BEEN DECLARED A LIMITED ACCESS HIGHWAY OR FREEWAY BY ACTION OF THE DIRECTOR IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 5511.02 OF THE OHIO REVISED CODE.

## 2013 SPECIFICATIONS

THE STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS OF THE STATE OF OHIO, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, INCLUDING CHANGES AND SUPPLEMENTAL SPECIFICATIONS LISTED IN THE PROPOSAL SHALL GOVERN THIS IMPROVEMENT.

I HEREBY APPROVED THESE PLANS AND DECLARE THAT THE MAKING OF THIS IMPROVEMENT WILL NOT REQUIRE THE CLOSING TO TRAFFIC OF THE HIGHWAY AND THAT PROVISIONS FOR THE MAINTENANCE AND SAFETY OF TRAFFIC WILL BE AS SET FORTH ON THE PLANS AND ESTIMATES.

UNDER AUTHORITY OF SECTION 4511.21, DIVISION (H) OF THE OHIO REVISED CODE, THE REVISED PRIMA FACIE SPEED LIMITS AS INDICATED HEREIN ARE DE-TERMINED TO BE REASONABLE AND SAFE, AND ARE HEREBY ESTABLISHED FOR THE DURATION OF THIS PROJECT. THE PRIMA FACIE SPEED LIMIT OR LIMITS HEREBY ESTABLISHED SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE WHEN APPROPRIATE SIGNS GIVING NOTICE THEREOF ARE ERECTED.

APPROVEL
DATE

DISTRICT DEPUTY DIRECTOR

DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

AD INVOLVEMENT	CONSTRUCTION PROJECT NO.	
RAIL ROAD		

6

05

6

۱۲ - 480-18 <sub>°</sub> 42	
СU	

×

S

C

(	1	7
$\overline{)}$		7





 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

₿ RAMP E-S STA. 89+15.28 TO STA. 95+97.25 = IR-480 STA. 995+97.25, 106.77' LT. 24' NORMAL STA. 89+15.28 TO STA. 95+46.42 VARIES 24'-0" TO 12'-7½" STA. 92+46.42 TO STA. 95+97.24 = WESTBOUND LANES 36'-0" 10'-0″ VARIES FROM 24'-0" AT 12'-0″ 12'-0″ 12'-0″ IR-480 STA. 992+45.36 TO 12'-10" AT STA. 989+11.99 TO O'-O" AT STA. 992+45.36 CROWN PROFILE PROFILE POINT GRADE GRADE STA. 995+97.25 0.016 0.016 0.016 0.016 0.016 0.04 \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_  $(\widehat{E})$ (E)(D)(E)(C (A)TERMINAL SECTION IR-480 & RAMP E-S NORMAL SECTION IR-480 (WESTBOUND) IR-480 STA. 989+11.99 TO STA. 995+97.25 = 685.26 FT. IR-480 STA. 988+80.00 TO STA. 995+97.25 = 717.25 FT. RAMP E-S STA. 89+15.28 TO STA. 95+97.25 = 681.97 FT.



<u>NORMAL SECTION IR-480 (EASTBOUND)</u> IR-480 STA. 988+80.00 TO STA. 995+97.25 = 717.25 FT. TERMINAL SECTION IR-480 & RAMP N-E

IR-480 STA. 988+12.51 TO STA. 995+97.25 = 784.74 FT. RAMP N-E STA. 88+14.95 TO STA. 89+95.90 = 180.95 FT. RAMP N-E STA. 89+95.90 = STA. 989+96.50 IR-480 IR-480 STA. 989+96.50 TO STA. 995+97.25

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 





 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

0

 $\bigcirc$ 





APPROACH SLAB TYPICAL SECTION IR-480 (EASTBOUND) STA. 1037+77.75 TO STA. 1038+02.75 = 25.00 FT.

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 



FOR THE EXISTING ITEM LEGEND SEE SHEET <u>3</u>.

6




RAMP N-E STA. 78+94.01 TO STA. 88+14.95 = 920.94 FT.

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

8

2



DIVERGE SECTION RAMP E-N & RAMP E-S RAMP E-N STA. 64+38.79 TO STA. 68+67.15 = 428.36 FT. RAMP E-S STA. 84+86.18 TO STA. 89+15.28 = 429.10 FT. (STATIONING IN OPPOSITE DIRECTION)



13012 IR 480\90591\roadway\sheets\90591GY007.dgn 11/20/2013 3:11:47 PM CraigBanghart

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 





 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

### RAMP TYPICAL SECTION

RAMP W-98 STA. 44+87.30 TO STA. 49+42.54 = 455.24 FT. RAMP E-98 STA. 60+88.71 TO STA. 66+99.34 = 610.63 FT. RAMP 98-E STA. 49+36.25 TO STA. 53+71.27 = 435.02 FT.\* RAMP 98-W STA. 59+88.37 TO STA. 62+23.75 = 235.38 FT.\*



98-W STA. 1053+12.80 KT. TO STA. 1044+88.03 KT. - 570.85 FT. 98-W STA. 1047+88.73 LT. TO STA. 1057+88.73 LT. = 1000.00 FT. 98-E STA. 1053+71.27 RT. TO STA. 1063+71.27 RT. = 1000.00 FT. E-98 STA. 1066+98.51 LT. TO STA. 1074+98.51 LT. = 800.00 FT.

S Δ ΜV ſ 0  $\vdash$ S I H ω 6 ш 0 48 1 R 1 S C TION ш S 4 PIC ~ H G STIN( X ш 2 4 100 80 4 cυγ

10

FOR THE EXISTING ITEM LEGEND SEE SHEET \_\_\_\_\_ .



RAMP E-S STA. 95+47.24 TO STA. 95+97.24 = 50.00 FT.



PROPOSED VARIABLE DIMENSION TABLE									
	A	В	С	D	Ε	F			
ALTERNATIVE 1	11′-6″	10′-6″	10′-6″	11′-6″	58′-0″	58′-0″			
ALTERNATIVE 3.a	12′-6″	11′-6″	11′-6″	12′-6″	58′-0″	58′-0″			
ALTERNATIVE 3.b	12′-6″	11′-6″	10′-6″	11′-6″	58′-0″	58′-0″			
ALTERNATIVE 3.c	11′-6″	21′-6″	10'-6″	11′-6″	46′-0″	58′-0″			
ALTERNATIVE 5	12′-6″	11′-6″	12'-0"	12'-0″	58′-0″	*17′-6″			

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 



 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 







 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 



-480

ä 1

SECTIONS

TYPICAL



## <u>UTILITIES</u>

LISTED BELOW ARE ALL KNOWN UTILITIES LOCATED WITHIN THE PROJECT CONSTRUCTION LIMITS TOGETHER WITH THEIR RESPECTIVE OWNERS:

THE LOCATION OF THE UNDERGROUND UTILITIES SHOWN ON THE PLANS ARE AS OBTAINED FROM THE OWNERS AS REQUIRED BY SECTION 153.64 O.R.C.

ELECTRIC: THE ILLUMINATION CO. 6896 MILLER ROAD BRECKSVILLE, OHIO 44141 (440)-717-6845 ATTN: MARK ROBINSON

## <u>GAS:</u>

DOMINION EAST OHIO 320 SPRINGSIDE DR. AKRON, OHIO 44333 (330)-664-2643 ATTN: CORY ADKINS

### <u>WATER LINES & SEWERS:</u>

VILLAGE OF VALLEY VIEW DGB & ASSOCIATES 7979 HUB PARKWAY VALLEY VIEW, OH 44123 (216)-642-1130 EXT. 104 ATTN: PAUL DEY <u>COMMUNICATIONS</u>

MCI OSP NATIONAL SUPPORT/INVESTIGATION 2400 NORTH GLENVILLE RICHARDSON, TX 75082 (972)-729-6322 ATTN: JOHN BACHELDER

LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS 1025 EL DORADO BLVD. BROOMFIELD, CO. 80021 (720)-888-2639 ATTN: MATT PINK

#### SURVEYING PARAMETERS

USE THE FOLLOWING VERTICAL POSITIONING AND HORIZONTAL POSITIONING PARAMETERS FOR ALL SURVEYING:

VERTICAL POSITIONING

ORTHOMETRIC HEIGHT DATUM: NAVD 88 GEOID: 2011 ORIGINAL PLAN DATUM WAS BASED ON NGVD 29.

HORIZONTAL POSITIONING REFERENCE FRAME: NAD 83 (2011) ELLIPSOID: GRS 80 MAP PROJECTION: LAMBERT CONFORMAL CONIC COORDINATE SYSTEM: OHIO STATE PLANE, NORTH ZONE

COMBINED SCALE FACTOR: 1.0000764278960

UNITS ARE IN U.S. SURVEY FEET. USE THE FOLLOWING CONVERSION FACTOR: 1 METER = 3.280833333 U.S. SURVEY FEET.

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

CALCULATED	CHECKED
	DTES
	AL NO
	GENER
	5
	0-14°8
	υΥ-48
	C
E	15











 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 







113012 |R 480\90591\roadwav\sheets\90591GP008.dan 11/20/2013 3:22:01 PM Crc

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 













# <u>NOTES</u>

DESIGN TRAFFIC: ADT ADTT

EXISTING STRUCTURE	
TYPE: CONTINUOUS WELDED STEEL GIRDERS WITH FLOOR SYSTEM AND REINFORCED CONCRETE DECK AND SUBSTRUCTURE.	TYPE
SPANS: UNIT 1 - 220'±, 300'±, AND 275'± UNIT 2, 3 AND 4 - 25'± CANTILEVER, 2 @ 300'± AND 275'± UNIT 5 - 25'± CANTILEVER, 2 @ 225'± AND 180'±	SPAN
ROADWAY: UNIT 1 L - VARIES 69'-6"±" TO 69'-10"± FACE TO FACE OF PARAPETS UNIT 1 R - VARIES 69'-6"± TO 85'-0"± FACE TO FACE OF PARAPETS	
UNITS 2, 3, 4 AND 5 - 69'-6"± FACE TO FACE OF PARAPETS	ROAD
OADING: HS 20-44 AND INTERSTATE ALTERNATE LOADING	LOAD
SKEW: NONE	SKEW
WEARING SURFACE: $2^{1}/_{2}$ "± SUPERPLASTICIZED DENSED CONCRETE (1990)	WEAR.
APPROACH SLABS: AS-1-67 (25' LONG)	APPR
ALIGNMENT: TANGENT	ALIGN
$\mathcal{R}$	CROW
JAIL DUILI: 1913 DISPOSITION:	LUUR
DISF 031110/14	

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 





0

 $\bigcirc$ 



 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

	RICHLAND ENGINEERING LIMITED	AMANSFIELD, OHIO 44902
	REVIEWED DATE	STRUCTURE FILE NUMBER 1812521 (L) 1812548 (R)
	ESIGNED DRAWN KAK KH	HECKED REVISED ALP
S IN GIRDER WEB WER CHORD COPES TE TO BOTTOM FLANGE RETROFIT	EXISTING TRANSVERSE SECTION - 2	BRIDGE NO. CUY-480-1842 R OVER CUYAQHOGA RIVER VALLEY
<u>NOTES</u> MATERIALS SHOWN ARE EXISTING UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.	CUY -480-18 ,42	PID No. 90591
	36	/43



 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 



 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 



 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$