INTEROFFICE COMMUNICATION

DATE: 3	3-28-90			× .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
TO: Fre	d J. Hempel,	Division A	dministrator, FHWA		
FROM: D.	K. Huhman, F	P.E., Engine	er of Location and D	esign	
SUBJECT:	Design Exce	eptions			
	Project	Co Te Arest			
	PID No.	6 216			
) =	Federal Pro	oject No	SR-589 (<u>)</u>	
-	Functional	Classificat	ion Collector		
	Urban		Rural		•
design el Lane Widt		·	Grades		
Shoulder	Width		Stopping Sight Dist	ance	
şridge Wi	dth		Cross Slopes		
Structura	l Capacity		Superelevation		***************************************
Horizonta	l Alignment		Horizontal Clearanc	e _	
Vertical .	Alignment		Vertical Clearance		
Bridge Pa	rapet/Curb C	onfiguratio	n		
We have a approval A DKH: nlb	is requested	required ju	stification material	. Your	review and

cc: District 12 W/ATTACH.
Area Engineer w/attachments
Exceptions File w/attachments
Reading file
File w/attachments

Request For Design Exception

	Geauga Rt. S.R. 608 Section 7.10 = 5200 Functional Classification State Rural Collector
.410	
1.	Existing Conditions (Bridge)
	Design Speed 55 mph Pavement Width 24 ft. Pavement Type Asph/Concrete Slab Shoulder width Left 2.5 ft. Right 2.5 ft. or Curb
	Posted Speed Limit 55 mph
	Current Traffic Volume 3400 K. 10% D. 50% T. 6%
	Traffic Signals N/A Railroad and Type of protection N/A
	Marriodd did 1990 or proceeding
2.	Proposed Improvement (Bridge)
	Design Speed 55 mph Pavement Width 24 ft. Pavement Type Asph/Conc. Box Bea
	Shoulders width 6 ft. Type N/A or Curb
	Posted Speed Limit <u>55 mph</u> Design Traffic Volume <u>4760 K. 10% D. 50%</u> T. <u>6%</u>
	Proposed Traffic Controls N/A
	Treatment of Railroad N/A
3.	Deviations
	Identify Deviations from Standards and indicate source of Standards.
	See Addenda
4.	Deviation Justification
	Explain the necessity for deviation from standard and explain what items are being provided to alleviate the condition, why the deviation from standard will not cause operational problems and/or other reasons why this deviation will not cause operational problems.
	Necessity for deviation is to avoid unnecessary Right-of-Way acquisitions

and Construction costs. Proposed 36' bridge width improves existing width of 29ft. Accident data shows deviation from standard will not

cause any operational problems.

5. Accident Data

No. of accidents, three yes	ars 0 Rate	/annual	million	vehicle	mi
Accidents occurring at Nig	ght	Daylight			
Pavement WetDry					
Type of Accident: Run off	Road A	Ingle	Rear End		
Intersection or Drive					
that are occurring on the ex	cisting roadway	•			

Discuss the effect the deviation from the Design Standard is expected to have on the accident history, also, discuss effect the project as a whole is expected to have on the accident history.

No past accidents within last three years. Anticipate area to continue as a low accident location.

6. Local Standards

List applicable local standards and deviations or compliance.

State routes in townships under ODOT Jurisdiction.

7. Right-of-Way

Discuss affected items in relation to adjacent property such as drives. walks, acquisition of property, existing R/W width, proposed R/W width buildings damaged or acquired, commercial or residential property, trends in property development, etc.

Rural area - No buildings, drives, etc. immediately adjacent to structure. Only possible temporary right-of-way may be required.

8. Future Improvements

Discuss status of adjoining sections of this road and future plans, indicate the type of operation such as in (1 Existing Conditions).

No future widening planned for S.R. 608 at this location.

3. Source of standard used was ODOT Location and Design Manual (Table 401-7, March 1987). The requested deviation is to construct a 36 ft. wide structure. Standard design dictates a bridge width of 44 ft.