

November 26, 2024

Mr. Michael Naymik Transportation Project Manager- Natural Resources EnviroScience, Inc. 5070 Stow Road Stow, Ohio 44224

Subject: Regulated Material Investigation including Stockpiled Material Sampling for Ohio Department of Transportation Project CUY-14-6.93 (PID 104132) Agreement Number 36311: Task Order Contract Work Order Number 39097-7 for Parcel 14 Located in Garfield Heights, Cuyahoga County, Ohio 44115.

Dear Mr. Naymik:

On behalf of EnviroScience, Inc. (EnviroScience) and Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT) District 12, HZW Environmental Consultants, LLC (HZW) conducted a regulated materials survey at the real property identified as Parcel 14 located in Garfield Heights, Cuyahoga County, Ohio 44115 (hereinafter sometimes referred to as the Property). The Property contains a 49-foot by 10-foot metal trailer filled with debris including scrap wood, scrap metal, and fiberglass insulation. The regulated materials survey included an asbestos inspection, a limited lead based paint (LBP) survey and a universal waste/hazardous substance survey needed prior to demolition and Property redevelopment. In addition, the regulated materials survey included collection of three (3) composite samples from stockpiled material near the metal trailer for laboratory analysis of aluminum, magnesium, and manganese. The stockpiled material was remnants from a former suspected magnesium recycler.

The inspections and sampling were completed on November 13, 2024. The following sections present the results of the survey and sampling activities and analysis. **Attachment A** presents a brief photolog of the Property obtained during the surveys.

#### 1.0 ASBESTOS SURVEY

Mr. Jacob Wingert, who is a certified Asbestos Hazard Evaluation Specialist (AHES), performed an asbestos survey at the Property under Certification No. ES547425. This certification is required to be maintained by the inspector(s) in accordance with the Asbestos School Hazard Abatement Reauthorization Act (ASHARA) and Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) regulations.

The asbestos survey was conducted in accordance with the National Emissions Standard for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP). NESHAP regulations require no specific survey protocol be followed; however, AHERA protocol is recommended. Therefore, the asbestos survey at the Property was conducted in accordance with AHERA protocol, which initially requires that all homogeneous areas of building materials located in a building and suspected of containing asbestos be identified. A homogeneous area is a building material/area that is uniform in texture, color, date of application, use or system and appears identical in every other respect. Once all homogeneous areas are identified, functional spaces in which these homogeneous areas exist are subsequently identified. Within each functional space, the AHERA category, condition, quantity, and location of each suspect material is determined.

As noted, the Property contained a 49-foot by 10-foot metal trailer filled with debris including scrap wood, scrap metal, and fiberglass insulation. Based on the inspection, no asbestos was identified in or on the trailer.

#### 2.0 LEAD PAINT SURVEY

The LBP inspection was considered limited due to the fact that only excessively peeling or chipping paint on surfaces that may create potential lead waste by upcoming demolition activities were sampled and analyzed. Painted surfaces that are in good repair and that are considered LBP can generally be disposed of as demolition debris. The survey was performed under the direction of an Ohio Department of Health, certified lead risk assessor. Based on the survey no suspect LBP was identified.

#### 3.0 UNIVERSAL WASTE/HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE SURVEY

The Universal Waste/hazardous substance survey was designed to identify the existence of universal waste items as principally defined in 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 273.9 and subsequent handling procedures as well as other regulated items (e.g., polychlorinated biphenyl or "PCB" light ballasts, regulated refrigerants, oils, etc.) prior to subject building renovation/demolition. In general, per the U.S. EPA universal waste consists of five (5) material classes including lamps (i.e., electric lamps including fluorescent, high intensity discharge, neon, mercury vapor, high pressure sodium, and metal halide lamps), mercury containing equipment (e.g., thermostats, gauges, etc.), all battery types, waste pesticides, and aerosol cans. The Ohio EPA has also added paint and paintrelated wastes as well as antifreeze as part of its universal waste program.

Based on the universal waste/hazardous substance survey, no materials were found requiring special handling or disposal requirements including potential mercury switches, bulbs, etc. Materials observed inside the trailer were typical solid waste and/or construction/demolition debris with the exception of the suspect recycled magnesium material sample and analyzed as discussed in **Section 4.0**.

#### 4.0 STOCKPILED MATERIAL SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS

Samples were collected of a stockpiled material suspected of being recycled magnesium. The material consisted of a dark gray, fine, powdery substance. The stockpile near the entrance of the trailer was segregated into three (3) approximately equal areas, and a total of three (3) surface composite samples was collected. The samples were submitted to Summit Environmental Technologies (SET) to be analyzed for total aluminum, magnesium, and manganese using U.S. EPA Method 6000/7000 series methods.

Laboratory analytical data is presented in **Attachment B**. The laboratory results of the sample analysis are summarized in **Table 1**.

A review of the tabulated data in **Table 1** indicates that aluminum, magnesium, and manganese were detected in all samples submitted for laboratory analysis. The average concentrations of the metals in us soils according to the US Geological Survey (USGS) Professional Paper 1270 entitled *"Element Concentrations in Soils and Other Surficial Materials of the Conterminous United States"* dated 1984 are 71,000 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg), 9,000 mg/kg, and 550 mg/kg, for aluminum, magnesium, and manganese respectively. Based on this data both the magnesium and manganese concentrations exceed typical average US soil concentrations.

As a method of comparison, the detected compounds were evaluated to the Ohio EPA's VAP risk-based generic numerical direct contact standards (or GNDCSS) for commercial/industrial land use and construction activity land use promulgated under the Appendix to rule 3745-300-08 of the Ohio Administrative Code (OAC) and effective October 17, 2019 as well as the Ohio EPA's Supplemental Criteria as provided in the Chemical Information Database and Applicable Regulatory Standards (or CIDARS) dated October 17, 2019. Direct contact with soil includes ingestion, dermal contact, inhalation of volatile compounds in outdoor air, and inhalation and ingestion of particulate emissions.

The GNDCSS are only available for the constituent manganese. A comparison of the laboratory analytical data to the Ohio VAP GNDCSS indicates that all manganese concentrations in the samples submitted for analysis are below the GNDCSS for commercial/industrial land use and construction activity land use categories (refer to **Table 1**). According to the Cuyahoga County Fiscal Office, Parcel 14 is located on land zoned for commercial use. As there are no VAP GNDCSS for aluminum, the analytical results of aluminum were compared to the U.S. EPA regional screening level (RSL) for contaminants. The RSL of aluminum for residential soil direct contact is 77,000 mg/kg, and the RSL for commercial/industrial area soil direct contact is 1,100,000 mg/kg. As depicted in **Table 1**, The laboratory analytical results for the detected aluminum in all samples submitted fall below the RSL for both resident land commercial/industrial exposure. It should be noted that RSLs are not cleanup standards, but they provide comparison values for exposure and risk. RSLs do not exist for the compound magnesium.

In summary, based on the initial analysis, the aluminum, magnesium and manganese concentrations do not appear to present an elevated exposure risk based on the current and future intended use and activity. Magnesium has no documented risk standards pursuant to US EPA and Ohio EPA sources. If the material is to be disposed of or potentially recycled, additional analyses most likely would be required for (e.g. TCLP metals).

HZW appreciates the opportunity to provide EnviroScience and ODOT District 12 technical service. Should you have any questions regarding this transmittal or require any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned at (330) 208-2717.

Respectfully submitted, HZW Environmental Consultants, LLC

Report Prepared By:

Jacob Wingert Asbestos Hazard Evaluation Specialist ES547425

> Robert Settle ODH Lead Risk Assessor LA9738

Report Reviewed By:

Kevin Reaman Akron Office Manger

TABLE

Table 1Summary of Detected Soil Chemicals of Concern Data (mg/kg)Parcel 14

#### City of Garfield Heights, Cuyahoga County, Ohio

Parameter	Omo Vap Risk B	ased Standards <sup>(1)</sup>		Sample	e Identification/Results (	mg/kg)
	Commercial/ Industrial Direct Contact Standard	Construction Activity Direct Contact Standard	Average US Soil Concentrations <sup>(4)</sup>	Parcel 14-1	Parcel 14-2	Parcel 14-3
Aluminum	77,000/1,100,000 <sup>(2)</sup>	3)	71,000	35,300	36,100	38,000
Magnesium	NA	NA	9,000	352,000	347,000	355,000
Manganese	88,000	12,000	550	7,780	7,180	6,470

Notes:

(1) Ohio VAP Risk Based Standards per Appendix A of OAC 3745-300-08 effective October 17, 2019 and/or VAP CIDARS.

(2) US EPA Regional Screening Levels (or RSLs). The value listed first is for residential land use.

(3) NA denotes not applicable or no standard exists.

(4) The average concentrations of the metals in US soils according to the US Geological Survey Professional Paper 127, dated 1984.

ATTACHMENT A

**PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG** 

#### Parcel 14



View of the entrance to the trailer with stockpiled material.



View of the southern side of the trailer and stockpiled material.



View of the northern side of the trailer.



View of the wood scrap, metal scrap, and fiberglass inside the trailer.



Photographic Documentation A24035-01

**ATTACHMENT B** 

STOCKPILED MATERIAL LABORATORY ANALYTICAL DATA



November 21, 2024

Kevin Reaman HZW Environmental 1234 Weathervane Lane Akron, OH 44313 TEL: 330-208-2717 FAX: 330-208-2799

RE: Parcel 14-Garfield Heights

Dear Kevin Reaman:

Order No.: 24111046

Summit Environmental Technologies, Inc. received 3 sample(s) on 11/14/2024 for the analyses presented in the following report.

There were no problems with the analytical events associated with this report unless noted in the Case Narrative.

Quality control data is within laboratory defined or method specified acceptance limits except where noted.

If you have any questions regarding these tests results, please feel free to call the laboratory.

Sincerely,

aly Stree

Holly Florea Project Manager 3310 Win St. Cuyahoga Falls, Ohio 44223

Arkansas 88-0735, California 2943, Colorado, Connecticut PH-0108, Florida NELAC E87688, Idaho OH00923, Illinois 200061, Indiana C-OH-13, ISO/IEC 17025:2017 119125 L22-544, Kansas E-10347, Kentucky (Underground Storage Tank) 3, Kentucky 90146, Maryland 339, Michigan 9988, Minnesota 1780279, Nevada OH009232020-1, New Hampshire 2996, New Jersey OH006, New York 11777, North Carolina 39705 and 631, North Dakota R-201, Ohio DW, Ohio VAP CL0052, Oklahoma 2019-155, Oregon OH200001, Pennsylvania 68-01335, Rhode Island LA000317, South Carolina 92016001, Texas T104704466-19-16, Utah OH009232020-12, Virginia VELAP 10381, West Virginia 9957C



## **Case Narrative**

WO#:	24111046
Date:	11/21/2024

CLIENT: HZW Environmental Project: Parcel 14-Garfield Heights

This report in its entirety consists of the following documents: Cover Letter, Case Narrative, Analytical Results, QC Summary Report, Applicable Accreditation Information, Chain-of-Custody, Cooler Receipt Form, and other applicable forms as necessary. All documents contain the Summit Environmental Technologies, Inc., Work Order Number assigned to this report.

Summit Environmental Technologies, Inc., holds the accreditations/certifications listed at the bottom of the cover letter that may or may not pertain to this report. Please refer to the "Accreditation Program Analytes Report" for accredited analytes list.

The information contained in this analytical report is the sole property of Summit Environmental Technologies, Inc. and that of the customer. It cannot be reproduced in any form without the consent of Summit Environmental Technologies, Inc. or the customer for which this report was issued. The results contained in this report are only representative of the samples received. Conditions can vary at different times and at different sampling conditions. Summit Environmental Technologies, Inc. is not responsible for use or interpretation of the data included herein.

All results for Solid Samples are reported on an "as received" or "wet weight" basis unless indicated as "dry weight" using the "-dry" designation on the reporting units.

This report is believed to meet all of the requirements of the accrediting agency, where applicable. Any comments or problems with the analytical events associated with this report are noted below.



# Workorder Sample Summary

WO#: 24111046 21-Nov-24

I ah SamnlaID (	<sup>a</sup> liant Somala ID	Тад No	Data Collected	Data Raca
Project:	Parcel 14-Garfield Height	ghts		
CLIENT:	HZW Environmental			

Lab SampleID	Client Sample ID	Tag No	Date Collected	Date Received	Matrix
24111046-001	Parcel 14-1		11/13/2024 1:00:00 PM	11/14/2024 8:20:00 AM	Solid
24111046-002	Parcel 14-2		11/13/2024 1:02:00 PM	11/14/2024 8:20:00 AM	Solid
24111046-003	Parcel 14-3		11/13/2024 1:04:00 PM	11/14/2024 8:20:00 AM	Solid



## **Analytical Report**

(consolidated) WO#: 24111046 Date Reported: 11/21/2024

11/19/2024 11:49:00 AM

11/14/2024 6:10:00 PM

Analyst: JPN

CLIENT:	HZW Environmenta	al		<b>Collection Date:</b>	11/13/2	2024 1:0	00:00 PM
Project:	Parcel 14-Garfield I	Heights					
Lab ID:	24111046-001			Matrix:	SOLID	)	
Client Sample II	<b>D:</b> Parcel 14-1						
Analyses		Result	RL Qua	l Units	DF	Date A	Analyzed
METALS ANAL	YSIS (6010D)			SW6010	SW3	\$050B	Analyst: <b>RJE</b>
Aluminum(Al)		35300	3270	mg/Kg-dry	100	11/19	/2024 11:49:00 AM
Magnesium(Mg)		352000	26100	mg/Kg-dry	2000	11/20	/2024 3:25:00 PM

327

0.200

7780

27.8

PERCENT	MOISTURE BY	SM2540MOD
	MOIOTOILE DI	01120401100

Percent Moisture	
------------------	--

Manganese(Mn)

Qualifiers:

Value above quantitation range

- M Manual Integration used to determine area response
- PL Permit Limit

Е

RL Reporting Detection Limit

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected

mg/Kg-dry

%

A2540B

100

1

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified at testcode Original



## **Analytical Report**

(consolidated) WO#: 24111046 Date Reported: 11/21/2024

11/14/2024 6:10:00 PM

CLIENT: HZW Environmental		Collection Date: 11/13/2024 1:02:00 PM					
Project:	Parcel 14-Garfield He	ights					
Lab ID:	24111046-002			Matrix:	SOLID	)	
Client Sample ID	: Parcel 14-2						
Analyses		Result	RL Qual	Units	DF	Date A	Analyzed
METALS ANALY	'SIS (6010D)			SW6010	swa	3050B	Analyst: <b>RJE</b>
Aluminum(Al)		36100	3020	mg/Kg-dry	100	11/19	/2024 11:52:00 AM
Magnesium(Mg)		347000	24200	mg/Kg-dry	2000	11/20	/2024 3:28:00 PM
Manganese(Mn)		7180	302	mg/Kg-dry	100	11/19	/2024 11:52:00 AM
PERCENT MOIS	TURE BY SM2540MOD	)		A2540B			Analyst: <b>JPN</b>

0.200

%

1

24.8

PERCENT MOISTURE BY SM2540MOD

Е **Qualifiers:** 

Value above quantitation range

- М Manual Integration used to determine area response
- PL Permit Limit
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified at testcode Original



## **Analytical Report**

(consolidated) WO#: 24111046 Date Reported: 11/21/2024

11/16/2024 6:15:00 PM

CLIENT: HZW Environmental		Collection Date: 11/13/2024 1:04:00 PM					
Project:	Parcel 14-Garfield He	eights					
Lab ID:	24111046-003			Matrix:	SOLID	)	
Client Sample ID	Parcel 14-3						
Analyses		Result	RL Qual	Units	DF	Date A	Analyzed
METALS ANALY	′SIS (6010D)			SW6010	SW3	3050B	Analyst: <b>RJE</b>
Aluminum(Al)		38000	2520	mg/Kg-dry	100	11/19	/2024 11:55:00 AM
Magnesium(Mg)		355000	20200	mg/Kg-dry	2000	11/20	/2024 3:31:00 PM
Manganese(Mn)		6470	252	mg/Kg-dry	100	11/19	/2024 11:55:00 AM
PERCENT MOIS	TURE BY SM2540MOD	)		A2540B			Analyst: AAA

0.100

%

1

17.4

PERCENT MOISTURE BY SM2540MOD

Percent	Moisture	
---------	----------	--

Е **Qualifiers:** 

Value above quantitation range

- М Manual Integration used to determine area response
- PL Permit Limit
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected

- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified at testcode Original



# **QC SUMMARY REPORT**

WO#: 24111046

21-Nov-24

Client:	HZW Environmental							
Project:	Parcel 14-Garfield Heights					BatchID:	80238	
Sample ID: MB-802	38 SampType: MBLK	TestCode: MtI-ICF	P_S(60 Units: mg/Kg		Prep Dat	e: 11/15/2024	RunNo: 197202	
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 80238	TestNo: SW601	0 SW3050B		Analysis Dat	e: 11/18/2024	SeqNo: 5333234	
Analyte	Result	PQL SPK val	ue SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit RPD Ref Va	al %RPD RPDLimit	Qual
Aluminum(Al)	ND	23.4						
Magnesium(Mg)	ND	9.35						
Manganese(Mn)	ND	2.34						
Sample ID: LCS-80	238 SampType: LCS	TestCode: MtI-ICF	P_S(60 Units: mg/Kg		Prep Dat	e: 11/15/2024	RunNo: 197202	
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 80238	TestNo: SW601	0 SW3050B		Analysis Dat	e: 11/18/2024	SeqNo: 5333235	
Analyte	Result	PQL SPK val	ue SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit RPD Ref Va	al %RPD RPDLimit	Qual
Aluminum(Al)	192	24.5 196	5.1 0	98.0	80	120		
Magnesium(Mg)	197	9.80 196	6.1 0	101	80	120		
Manganese(Mn)	189	2.45 196	6.1 0	96.2	80	120		
Sample ID: LCS-80	238 SampType: LCS	TestCode: MtI-ICF	P_S(60 Units: mg/Kg		Prep Dat	e: 11/15/2024	RunNo: <b>197202</b>	
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 80238	TestNo: SW601	0 SW3050B		Analysis Dat	e: 11/18/2024	SeqNo: 5333236	
Analyte	Result	PQL SPK val	ue SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit RPD Ref Va	al %RPD RPDLimit	Qual
Aluminum(Al)	ND	245 196	6.1 0	101	80	120		
Magnesium(Mg)	205	98.0 196	6.1 0	104	80	120		
Manganese(Mn)	200	24.5 196	6.1 0	102	80	120		

**Qualifiers:** 

Е Value above quantitation range ND Not Detected

Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

Permit Limit PL

Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

М Manual Integration used to determine area response

RPD outside accepted recovery limits R

W Sample container temperature is out of limit as spec

Original

Reporting Detection Limit RL

S



HZW Environmental

Summit Environmental Technologies, Inc. 3310 Win St. Cuyahoga Falls, Ohio 44223 TEL: (330) 253-8211 FAX: (330) 253-4489 Website: http://www.settek.com

# **QC SUMMARY REPORT**

WO#: 24111046

21-Nov-24

Project:	Parcel 14-G	arfield Heights	BatchID: 80238									
Sample ID: 241 Client ID: Bat	11045-005AMS chQC	SampType: <b>MS</b> Batch ID: <b>80238</b>	TestCoc TestN	de: MtI-ICP_S lo: SW6010	(60 Units: mg/l SW3050B	۲g-dry	Prep Dat Analysis Dat	e: 11/15/2 e: 11/18/2	024 024	RunNo: <b>197</b> SeqNo: <b>53</b> 3	7202 33247	
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Aluminum(Al)		7470	25.6	205.0	6283	581	75	125				ES
Magnesium(Mg	)	4180	10.3	205.0	3838	165	75	125				ES
Manganese(Mn	)	338	2.56	205.0	187.1	73.7	75	125				S
Sample ID: 241	11045-005AMSD	SampType: <b>MSD</b>	TestCoc	de: MtI-ICP_S	(60 Units: mg/l	Kg-dry	Prep Dat	e: 11/15/2	024	RunNo: <b>19</b> 7	/202	

Client ID: BatchQC	Batch ID: 80238	TestN	lo: <b>SW6010</b>	SW3050B	Analysis Date: 11/18/2024			SeqNo: 5333248			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Aluminum(Al)	7170	25.2	201.5	6283	440	75	125	7473	4.14	20	ES
Magnesium(Mg)	3670	10.1	201.5	3838	-83.9	75	125	4177	13.0	20	ES
Manganese(Mn)	331	2.52	201.5	187.1	71.3	75	125	338.1	2.19	20	S

**Qualifiers:** 

**Client:** 

RL

Reporting Detection Limit

W Sample container temperature is out of limit as spec

 PL
 Permit Limit

 S
 Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

Page 8 of 14

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

M Manual Integration used to determine area response

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits



# **QC SUMMARY REPORT**

WO#: 24111046

21-Nov-24

Client:	HZW Envir	onmental			
Project:	Parcel 14-G	arfield Heights		BatchID: F	2197076
Sample ID	: MB-R197076	SampType: MBLK	TestCode: PctMoist_S(2 Units: %	Prep Date:	RunNo: 197076
Client ID:	PBS	Batch ID: R197076	TestNo: A2540B	Analysis Date: 11/14/2024	SeqNo: <b>5330204</b>
Analyte		Result	PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val	%REC LowLimit HighLimit RPD Ref Val	%RPD RPDLimit Qual
Percent Mo	oisture	ND	0.200		
Sample ID	· 24111030-0034DUP	SampType: DUP	TestCode: PctMoist S(2 Units: %	Pren Date:	RunNo: <b>197076</b>
Client ID:	BatchQC	Batch ID: <b>R197076</b>	TestNo: A2540B	Analysis Date: 11/14/2024	SeqNo: 5330213
Analyte		Result	PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val	%REC LowLimit HighLimit RPD Ref Val	%RPD RPDLimit Qual
Percent Mo	oisture	7.15	0.200	6.896	3.58 5
Sample ID	24111045-005ADUP	SampType: DUP	TestCode: PctMoist S(2 Units: %	Prep Date:	RunNo: 197076
Client ID:	BatchQC	Batch ID: <b>R197076</b>	TestNo: <b>A2540B</b>	Analysis Date: 11/14/2024	SeqNo: 5330223
Analyte		Result	PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val	%REC LowLimit HighLimit RPD Ref Val	%RPD RPDLimit Qual
Percent Mo	oisture	15.7	0.200	14.43	8.43 5 R

**Qualifiers:** 

RL

Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

PL

S

RPD outside accepted recovery limits R

W Sample container temperature is out of limit as spec

Original

Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Permit Limit

М Manual Integration used to determine area response



# **QC SUMMARY REPORT**

WO#: 24111046

21-Nov-24

Client:	HZW Envir	onmental			
Project:	Parcel 14-G	arfield Heights		BatchID: F	R197180
Sample ID:	MB-R197180	SampType: MBLK	TestCode: PctMoist_S(2 Units: %	Prep Date:	RunNo: <b>197180</b>
Client ID:	PBS	Batch ID: R197180	TestNo: A2540B	Analysis Date: 11/16/2024	SeqNo: 5332781
Analyte		Result	PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val	%REC LowLimit HighLimit RPD Ref Val	%RPD RPDLimit Qual
Percent Mo	bisture	ND	0.100		
Sample ID:	24111079-005ADUP	SampType: <b>DUP</b>	TestCode: PctMoist_S(2 Units: %	Prep Date:	RunNo: <b>197180</b>
Client ID:	BatchQC	Batch ID: <b>R197180</b>	TestNo: A2540B	Analysis Date: 11/16/2024	SeqNo: <b>5332790</b>
Analyte		Result	PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val	%REC LowLimit HighLimit RPD Ref Val	%RPD RPDLimit Qual
Percent Mo	bisture	11.8	0.100	11.52	2.01 5
Sample ID:	24111079-014ADUP	SampType: <b>DUP</b>	TestCode: PctMoist_S(2 Units: %	Prep Date:	RunNo: 197180
Client ID:	BatchQC	Batch ID: R197180	TestNo: A2540B	Analysis Date: 11/16/2024	SeqNo: <b>5332800</b>
Analyte		Result	PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val	%REC LowLimit HighLimit RPD Ref Val	%RPD RPDLimit Qual
Percent Mo	pisture	19.7	0.100	19.66	0.0354 5

**Qualifiers:** 

RL

Reporting Detection Limit

RPD outside accepted recovery limits R

Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

PL

S

Page 10 of 14

Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Permit Limit

М Manual Integration used to determine area response

W Sample container temperature is out of limit as spec

Original



### **Qualifiers and Acronyms**

WO#: 24111046 Date: 11/21/2024

These commonly used Qualifiers and Acronyms may or may not be present in this report.

#### Qualifiers

U	The compound was analyzed for but w	vas not detec	eted.									
J	The reported value is greater than the	Method Dete	ection Limit but less than the Reporting Limit.									
Н	The hold time for sample preparation	and/or analy	sis was exceeded.									
D	The result is reported from a dilution.											
Ē	The result exceeded the linear range of the calibration or is estimated due to interference.											
MC	The result is below the Minimum Compound I imit											
*	The result as serior the Regulatory Limit or Maximum Contamination Limit											
m	Manual integration was used to determine the area response.											
ш d	Manual integration in which peak was	Manual integration was used to determine the area response.										
u N	The result is presumptive based on a	lass Smooth	1 library coards accuming a 1,1 reasons									
IN D	The result is presumptive based on a r	hass spectra	in norary search assuming a 1.1 response.									
r	The second column confirmation exce		merence.									
C	The result has been confirmed by GC/	MS.										
X	The result was not confirmed when G	C/MS Analy	sis was performed.									
B/MB+	The analyte was detected in the associ	ated blank.										
G	The ICB or CCB contained reportable	amounts of	analyte.									
QC-/+	The CCV recovery failed low (-) or hi	gh (+).										
R/QDR	The RPD was outside of accepted reco	overy limits.										
QL-/+	The LCS or LCSD recovery failed lov	v (-) or high	(+).									
QLR	The LCS/LCSD RPD was outside of a	accepted reco	overy limits.									
QM-/+	The MS or MSD recovery failed low (	(-) or high (+	-).									
QMR	The MS/MSD RPD was outside of acc	cepted recov	ery limits.									
QV-/+	The ICV recovery failed low (-) or high (+).											
S	The spike result was outside of accept	ed recovery	limits.									
Z	Deviation; A deviation from the method	od was perfo	ormed; Please refer to the Case Narrative for									
	additional information											
Acronyn	ns											
ND	Not Detected	RL	Reporting Limit									
QC	Quality Control	MDL	Method Detection Limit									
MB	Method Blank	LOD	Level of Detection									
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample	LOQ	Level of Quantitation									
LCSD	Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate	PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit									
QCS	Quality Control Sample	CRQL	Contract Required Quantitation Limit									
DUP	Duplicate	PL	Permit Limit									
MS	Matrix Spike	RegLvl	Regulatory Limit									
MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	MCL	Maximum Contamination Limit									
RPD	Relative Percent Different	MinCL	Minimum Compound Limit									
ICV	Initial Calibration Verification	KA	Reanalysis									
ICB	Initial Calibration Blank	KE TIC	Reexiraction									
	Continuing Calibration Verification	IIC DT	Petention Time									
	Reporting Limit Check	CF	Calibration Factor									
DF	Dilution Factor	CF RF	Response Factor									
DT .		1/1										

This list of Qualifiers and Acronyms reflects the most commonly utilized Qualifiers and Acronyms for reporting. Please refer to the Analytical Notes in the Case Narrative for any Qualifiers or Acronyms that do not appear in this list or for additional information regarding the use of these Qualifiers on reported data.

### **DATES REPORT**

WO#: 24111046 21-Nov-24



Summit Environmental Technologies, Inc. 3310 Win St. Cuyahoga Falls, Ohio 44223 TEL: (330) 253-8211 FAX: (330) 253-4489 Website: http://www.settek.com

Client: Project:	HZW Environm Parcel 14-Garfie	ental eld Heights			
Sample ID	Client Sample ID	<b>Collection Date</b>	Matrix	Test Name	Leachate l
24111046-001A	Parcel 14-1	11/13/2024 1:00:00 PM	Solid	Metals Analysis (6010D)	
				Metals Analysis (6010D)	
				Metals Analysis (6010D)	
				Percent Moisture by SM2540Mod	
24111046-002A	Parcel 14-2	11/13/2024 1:02:00 PM		Metals Analysis (6010D)	
				Matala Analysia (6010D)	

Sample ID	Client Sample ID	<b>Collection Date</b>	Matrix	Test Name	Leachate Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date
24111046-001A	Parcel 14-1	11/13/2024 1:00:00 PM	Solid	Metals Analysis (6010D)		11/15/2024 9:00:00 AM	11/20/2024 3:25:00 PM
				Metals Analysis (6010D)		11/15/2024 9:00:00 AM	11/19/2024 11:49:00 AM
				Metals Analysis (6010D)		11/15/2024 9:00:00 AM	11/18/2024 11:10:00 AM
				Percent Moisture by SM2540Mod			11/14/2024 6:10:00 PM
24111046-002A	Parcel 14-2	11/13/2024 1:02:00 PM		Metals Analysis (6010D)		11/15/2024 9:00:00 AM	11/20/2024 3:28:00 PM
				Metals Analysis (6010D)		11/15/2024 9:00:00 AM	11/19/2024 11:52:00 AM
				Metals Analysis (6010D)		11/15/2024 9:00:00 AM	11/18/2024 11:16:00 AM
				Percent Moisture by SM2540Mod			11/14/2024 6:10:00 PM
24111046-003A	Parcel 14-3	11/13/2024 1:04:00 PM		Metals Analysis (6010D)		11/15/2024 9:00:00 AM	11/20/2024 3:31:00 PM
				Metals Analysis (6010D)		11/15/2024 9:00:00 AM	11/19/2024 11:55:00 AM
				Metals Analysis (6010D)		11/15/2024 9:00:00 AM	11/18/2024 11:20:00 AM
				Percent Moisture by SM2540Mod			11/16/2024 6:15:00 PM

DC-QACOC	Balance Street Contraction Con			Analy: Refer	sis Requ to Terms an	<b>1est</b> / 1d Condi	Chai	n of C	C <b>usto(</b> ek.com	dy		SET WO		For S		vironmen	ta Techno	Effect	ive Date: 1 F use only	0/12/202: Page 1 of 1
Client Name HZ	W	]	Project Identification	harfield	e Heigh	+5		Ę	te; 5)		<u> </u>	Ana	1 alytica	l Para	meters	and N	/lethod	s Requ	ested	
1234 City AU Client Phone	Wlathen 101 State	Unch On Zip <sup>4433</sup>	Garfield Ht City Sta Report To	S, DH te Zip	44125			0 = Oil, A = . g Water	4) Zinc Aceta n comments)											outine
Contact Person Client Email	203-0 Reaman		Kevin Ke A2403501 pwsid	Quote Number	r			ge, L = Liquid. DW = Drinkin	2SO4; 3) HCl; ther (specify i	Sample	1000.6	e e	J							pliance or R
Sampled By ( Print: Sign: For OW only, lab fee may a		e Signature) e Signature) tastate by lab? If yes,	Beoorting/Accreditation Re Ohio VAP Drinking Water Compli Other Compliance (List	quirements: Dohio EP jauce State/ Program):	A Pb, Cu	Sample	site Sample	: S = Solid, SL = Slud <u>s</u> Non-Potable Water, ]	ation: 1) HNO3; 2) H 6) EDA; 7) none; 8) o	er of Containers per	0491 9108	magnes.	anganes	\$	Ŧ					V Only: Special Con
#	Sample Point ID	Sample	Identification	Date Collected	Time Collected	Grab	Compo	Matrix: NPW =	Preserv 'NaOH;	Numbe	K	1	d							For DW (S/R)
		Parcel M-	-)	11/13/24	13:30		X	5	7		X	ĸ	$\succ$							
2		Parcel 14-	-2	11//3/24	13:02		X	5	1		X	K	$\mathbf{X}$							
		farce 17			19.01			3			X	<u>\</u>	$\wedge$							
						-														
Relinguish	ed by:	Date	Time	Receiv	/ed by:	D	ate		Time		Notes / C	omment	 s:							
Jaco	Rowing	= 11/14/24	g:18								50	indan	2+	υm						
1/		<b>`</b>				<del>.</del>			-		-Dr	4	wy	ht	anal	ysis				<u> </u>
Deet 1	4 C										Sufficient	volume	V provide	d to run	QC?	yes/In	0	Cooler?	YES[	]NO
Received at		Date	0870	(11e	ner	Rust Muje	h Reques	ted: ov¢d þ‡ L	Da ab Mana	y(s) Iger	Received S	Temp.: Z	°C	Cooler S	Seals?	JPRES VYES [	ent [] ]no [	NOT PR ]MELTI	ESENT ED	<u> </u>
ر–د	- (-										5.3	-0	·1-				<u> </u>			

	ENV Ana	IRONMENTAL T	ECHNOLOGIES, IN tories	Summit Envi c. TEL: (330) 25. Wa	ronmental T Cuyahoga I 3-8211 FAX ebsite: http:	Fechno 3. Falls, G X: (330 ://www	logies, Inc. 310 Win St. Dhio 44223 ) 253-4489 .settek.com	Sar	nple Log	g-In Cł	neck List
Clien	t Name:	HZW-OH-443	313	Work Order N	lumber: 24	41110	46			RcptNo:	1
Logg	ed by:	Christina N.	Gemma	11/14/2024 8:2	20:00 AM			C.Ce	uma	_	
Com	pleted By:	Christina N.	Gemma	11/14/2024 4:2	25:51 PM			C.Ce	uma	_	
Revie	ewed By:	Holly Florea		11/15/2024 11:	:14:25 AM		(	Aller	Inea		
<u>Chai</u>	in of Cus	stody									
1.	Is Chain of	Custody comp	olete?			Yes	✓	No	Not Pre	esent 🗌	
2.	How was th	ne sample deliv	vered?			<u>Clien</u>	t				
Log	<u>In</u>										
3. (	Coolers are	e present?				Yes	✓	No	]	NA 🗌	
4	Shippina ca	ontainer/cooler	in acod condition	?		Yes	✓	No	]		
ч. (	Custody se	als intact on sl	hipping container/	cooler?		Yes		No 🗌	Not Pre	esent 🗹	
I	No.		Seal Date:		S	Signe	d By:				
5. \	Was an att	empt made to	cool the samples?	,		Yes	✓	No	]	NA 🗌	
6.	Were all sa	mples receive	d at a temperature	e of >0° C to 6.0	)°C	Yes	✓	No	]	NA 🗌	
7. 3	Sample(s)	in proper conta	ainer(s)?			Yes	✓	No 🗌	]		
8. 3	Sufficient s	ample volume	for indicated test(	s)?		Yes	✓	No 🗌	]		
9. /	Are sample	es (except VOA	A and ONG) prope	rly preserved?		Yes	✓	No 🗌	]		
10. \	Was prese	rvative added t	to bottles?			Yes		No 🗸	]	NA 🗌	
11. <sup> </sup>	Is the head	space in the V	OA vials less than	1/4 inch or 6 m	ım?	Yes		No 🗌	No VOA	Vials 🗹	
12.	Were any s	ample contain	ers received broke	en?		Yes		No 🔽	]		
13.	Does pape (Note discr	rwork match bo epancies on ch	ottle labels? hain of custody)			Yes	✓	No 🗌	]		
14.	Are matrice	es correctly ide	entified on Chain of	f Custody?		Yes	✓	No 🗌	]		
15. <sup> </sup>	ls it clear w	hat analyses v	were requested?			Yes	✓	No	]		
16.	Were all ho	olding times ab	le to be met? authorization.)			Yes	✓	No	]		
Spec	cial Hand	dling (if app	olicable)								
17.	Was client	notified of all d	discrepancies with	this order?		Yes		No	]	NA 🗹	
	Perso	n Notified:			Date:						
	By WI	hom:			Via:	] eMa	I 🗌 Pho	one 🗌 Fa	ix 🗌 In Pers	on	
	Regar	rding:									
	Client	Instructions:									
18.	Additional r	emarks:									
Coole	<u>r Informati</u>	<u>on</u>									

Cooler No	Temp ⁰C	Condition	Seal Intact	Seal No	Seal Date	Signed By
1	5.2	Good	Not Present			

\_

\_