## **Design Exception Request**

DEL-229-0.21

PID: 107754; Request 01

Letting Type: ODOT-Let

Design Designation						
00229; 0.14-3.5						
Current ADT (2025)	3,600	Td	0.05			
Design Year ADT (2045)	4,700	Design Speed	60			
Design Hourly Volume (2045)	400	Legal Speed	55			
Directional Distribution	0.50	Design Functional Class	5 - Major Collector Roads			
Trucks (24hr B&C)	0.16	Functional Class Area Type	Rural			
		NHS Project	No			



Submitted By:	
Ravinder Gupta	-
(Engineer of Record)	
Approved by:	Engineer of Record Seal

Adam Koenig Approval Date: 11/7/2024

## **Design Exception Request**

DEL-229-0.21

PID: 107754; Request 01

Controlling Criteria Identification									
Section: 00229; 0.14-3.5									
Controlling Criteria	Standard	Existing (a.)	Proposed						
Lane Width									
Shoulder Width	MGS), 8.0' (Guardrail, Type MGS with Long Posts)	0093 Site Graded = 4.0' Max. 0348 Graded = 4.0' Max.	0093 Site Graded = 7.0' 0348 Graded = 3.0'						
Horizontal Curve Radius									
Maximum Grade									
SSD (Horizontal & Crest Vertical)									
Pavement Cross Slope									
Superelevation Rate									
Vertical Clearance									
Design Loading Structural Capacity									
	(a ) "Evisting" may b	e N/A (i.e. New alignment or new	rampl						

## **Project Description**

REPLACEMENT OF THE EXISTING STRUCTURE AT THE DEL-00229-00.200, REHABILITATION OF THE EXISTING STRUCTURES AT 00.930, 01.490, AND 03.480 AND GUARDRAIL UPGRADES AT THE DEL-00229-00.360 LOCATION. REPLACEMENT OF THE EXISTING CULVERTS AT 01.560 AND 02.298 AND EXTENDING THE EXISTING CULVERT AT THE 03.250 LOCATION.

## Section Description

REPLACEMENT OF THE EXISTING STRUCTURE AT THE DEL-00229-00.200, REHABILITATION OF THE EXISTING STRUCTURES AT 00.930, 01.490, AND 03.480 AND GUARDRAIL UPGRADES AT THE DEL-00229-00.360 LOCATION.

REPLACEMENT OF THE EXISTING CULVERTS AT 01.560 AND 02.298 AND EXTENDING THE EXISTING CULVERT AT THE 03.250 LOCATION.

Proposed Mitigation (if any):	
None	
Support for Deviation (Benefit-cost, R/W, Environmental, Constructability, Coordination with Other Projects, Relationship between any cras	ch ch
patterns and proposed design exception, etc.):	311
For the 0093 site, no roadway work is being performed, therefore the shoulder widths will not be increased with this site. The bridge is not beinwidened so the 4.0' paved shoulder required will not be provided. Widening the bridge and the roadway would greatly increase the cost and	ing
construction limits for this site. No crash patterns were found in this area.	
For the 0348 site, the bridge is not being widened, so the roadway width will not be increased for this site. The roadway work being performed	
required taper lengths to tie back down to the existing pavement only. The bridge is not being widened so the 4.0' paved shoulder required wil provided. Widening the bridge and the roadway would greatly increase the cost and construction limits for this site. No crash patterns were fo	

Does the requested Design Exception location fall within a Safety Integrated Project (SIP) Map Location?

area.

Does the crash analysis (GCAT and CAM Tool) show any patterns that would be adversely impacted by the proposed Design Exception?