COMPLETED BY: VS
CHECKED BY: DOR
PROJECT NAME: HAM-75
PROJECT LOCATION: Hamilton County


* Note: All quantities have been rounded in accordance with INDOT Design Manual Chapter 17-1.03.

| ITEM | DESCRIPTION | QUANTITY | UNIT | TYPE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 202E11203 | PORTIONS OF STRUCTURE REMOVED, OVER 20 FOOT SPAN, AS PER PLAN | 1.00 | LS | S |
| 202E23501 | WEARING COURSE REMOVED, AS PER PLAN | 1,660.00 | SY | S |
| 509E20001 | REINFORCING STEEL, REPLACEMENT OF EXISTING REINFORCING STEEL, AS PER PLAN | 848.00 | LB | M |
| 509E25000 | REINFORCING STEEL | 801.00 | LB | M |
| 510E10001 | DOWEL HOLES WITH NONSHRINK, NONMETALLIC GROUT, AS PER PLAN | 116.00 | EACH | M |
| 511 E 53012 | CLASS QC2 CONCRETE, MISC.: | 24.00 | CY | S |
| 512E10100 | SEALING OF CONCRETE SURFACES (EPOXY-URETHANE) | 1,609.00 | SY | S |
| 512E74000 | REMOVAL OF EXISTING COATINGS FROM CONCRETE SURFACES | 273.00 | SY | S |
| 513E21500 | REPLACEMENT OF DETERIORATED END CROSSFRAMES | 1,476.00 | LB | S |
| 514E00050 | SURFACE PREPARATION OF EXISTING STRUCTURAL STEEL | 21,803.00 | SF | R |
| 514E00056 | FIELD PAINTING OF EXISTING STRUCTURAL STEEL, PRIME COAT | 21,803.00 | SF | U |
| 514E00060 | FIELD PAINTING STRUCTURAL STEEL, INTERMEDIATE COAT | 21,803.00 | SF | U |
| 514E00066 | FIELD PAINTING STRUCTURAL STEEL, FINISH COAT | 21,803.00 | SF | U |
| 514E00504 | GRINDING FINS, TEARS, SLIVERS ON EXISTING STRUCTURAL STEEL | 38.00 | MNHR | S |
| 514E10000 | FINAL INSPECTION REPAIR | 17.00 | EACH | U |
| 516 E 11211 | STRUCTURAL EXPANSION JOINT INCLUDING ELASTOMERIC STRIP SEAL, AS PER PLAN | 94.00 | FT | U |
| 518 E 43300 | 6" PIPE DOWNSPOUT, INCLUDING SPECIALS | 89.00 | FT | S |
| 519 E 11101 | PATCHING CONCRETE STRUCTURE, AS PER PLAN | 2,435.00 | SF | S |
| 530E00400 | SPECIAL - STRUCTURE, MISC: CLEANING OF SCUPPERS/DRAINAGE SYSTEMS | 7.00 | EACH | S |
| 690E98400 | SPECIAL - CONSULTANT FOR CONCRETE QUALITY CONTROL INCLUDING TESTING AND INSPECTION | 1.00 | LS |  |
| 848E10201 | SUPERPLASTICIZED DENSE CONCRETE OVERLAY USING HYDRODEMOLITION, AS PER PLAN | 1,794.00 | SY |  |
| 848E20000 | SURFACE PREPARATION USING HYDRODEMOLITION | 1,794.00 | SY |  |
| 848E30200 | SUPERPLASTICIZED DENSE CONCRETE OVERLAY (VARIABLE THICKNESS), MATERIAL ONLY | 97.00 | CY |  |
| 848E50000 | HAND CHIPPING | 56.00 | SY |  |
| 848E50100 | TEST SLAB | 1.00 | LS |  |
| 848E50300 | WEARING COURSE REMOVED, ASPHALT | 134.00 | SY |  |
| 848E50320 | EXISTING CONCRETE OVERLAY REMOVED | 1,660.00 | SY |  |
| 848 E 50340 | REMOVAL OF DEBONDED OR DETERIORATED EXISTING VARIABLE THICKNESS CONCRETE OVERLAY | 554.00 | SY |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

CHA COMPUTATION PAD

COMPLETED BY: VS
CHECKED BY: DOR
PROJECT NAME: HAM-75
PROJECT location: Hamilton County

Concrete removed same as new concrete placed $=23.6 \mathrm{cy}$

Downpsouts removed
Abutment 1/Wingwall $1=3.0 \mathrm{ft}$ vert +4.0 ft horz +2.0 ft horz +13.5 ft vert $=22.5 \mathrm{ft}$
Abutment 1/Wingwall $2=3.0 \mathrm{ft}$ vert +4.0 ft horz +2.0 ft horz +11.2 ft vert $=20.2 \mathrm{ft}$

Abutment 2/Wingwall $3=3.0$ ft vert +3.0 ft horz +2.0 ft horz +13.5 ft vert $=21.5 \mathrm{ft}$

Abutment 2/Wingwall $4=3.0 \mathrm{ft}$ vert +4.0 ft horz +2.0 ft horz +11.2 ft vert $=20.2 \mathrm{ft}$

Total $=84.4 \mathrm{ft}$

CHA COMPUTATION PAD

COMPLETED BY: VS CHECKED BY: DOR
PROJECT NAME: HAM-75
PROJECT location: Hamilton County

| PROJECT |  | PHASE |  | ORG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 35727 | --- |  |  |
|  | SHEET \#: 3 OF | 30 |  |  |
|  | DATE: 8/6/2020 |  |  |  |
|  | SUBJECT: Quantity Calc | ons |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1,659.99 | SY |

COMPLETED BY: VS
CHECKED BY: DOR
PROJECT NAME: HAM-75
PROJECT LOCATION: Hamilton County
$\square$

| PROJECT | PHASE | ORG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35727 | --- | --- |

SHEET \#: 4 OF 30
DATE: 8/6/2020
SUBJECT: Quantity Calculations

| $509 E 20001$ | REINFORCING STEEL, REPLACEMENT OF EXISTING REINFORCING STEEL, AS <br> PER PLAN | TOTAL: | 847.94 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

From the project narrative, 120 linear feet of \#5 bars and 120 linear feet of \#6 bars are included in the estimate.

| Unit weight of \#6 bars | $=$ | 1.502 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Unit weight of \#5 bars | $=$ | 1.043 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Unit weight of \#7 bars | $=$ | 2.044 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Weight of 120 ft of \#6 bars | $=$ | 180 | lb |
| Weight of 120 ft of \#5 bars | $=$ | 125 | lb |
| Weight of 120 ft of \#7 bars | $=$ | 245 | lb |
| Total weight of bars | $=$ | 551 | lb |

We also include 120 linear feet of \#7 bars in the estimate, because they are used in the existing plans.

Dowel bars may replace the vertical reinforcing steel bars in the backwall if required and as directed by the engineer.

Since each existing rebar may be replaced with a pair of dowel bars,
Total number of A503 bars that may be replaced $=\quad 48$
Total number of A504 bars that may be replaced $=\quad 68$

| Length of dowel bar replacing A503 | $=$ | 2.75 | ft |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Length of dowel bar replacing A504 | $=$ | 2.25 | ft |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total length on \#5 dowel bars | $=$ | 285 | ft |
| Weight of dowel bars | $=$ | 297 | lb |
| Total weight of reinforcing steel | $=$ | 848 | lb |

CHA COMPUTATION PAD

COMPLETED BY: VS

| PROJECT | PHASE |  | ORG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35727 | --- |  | --- |
| SHEET \#: 5 OF | 30 |  |  |
| DATE: 8/6/2020 |  |  |  |
| SUBJECT: Quantity Calc |  |  |  |
|  |  | 801.00 | LB |

Weight of reinforcing steel bars on:

| The roadway slab | $=$ | 649 | lb |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Abutment 1 | $=$ | 76 | lb |
| Abutment 2 | $=$ | 76 | lb |

[^0]COMPLETED BY: VS
CHECKED BY: DOR
PROJECT NAME: HAM-75
PROJECT LOCATION: Hamilton County


SHEET \#: 6
DATE: 8/6/2020
SUBJECT: Quantity Calculations

| 510E10001 | DOWEL HOLES WITH NONSHRINK, NONMETALLIC GROUT, AS PER PLAN | TOTAL: | 116.00 | EACH |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

A503 and A504 bars in the backwall from the existing plans (pg 279/348) may be replaced with dowels.

| Number of A503 bars to be replaced on abutment 1 | $=$ | 12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of A504 bars to be replaced on abutment 1 | $=$ | 17 |
| Number of A503 bars to be replaced on abutment 2 | $=$ | 12 |
| Number of A504 bars to be replaced on abutment 2 | $=$ | 17 |
| Total number of vertical backwall bars to be replaced | $=$ | 58 |

Since each existing rebar may be replaced with a pair of dowel bars,

Total number of dowel holes to be provided
$=$
116


According to the project narrative, the portion from the top of the existing backwall to the approach slab is replaced.

| Distance from top of backwall to approach slab seat |  |  |  | 1.25 | ft | (const127) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Width of backwall |  |  | = | 1.75 | ft |  |  |
| Length of backwall |  |  | = | 88.667 | ft | (Abutments 1 \& 2) |  |
| Volume of backwall to be replaced |  | $=1$ | 193.958 | cft | = | 7.184 | cy |
| Full depth replacement plan area |  | 566.6279 | sft | (ODOT | Supplem | ntary spec | , 2005) |
| Full depth replacement deck depth | = | 0.7083 | ft | (848.3 | $2,848.03$ |  |  |
| Uniform depth removed across deck |  | 0.0833 | ft | (Proje | ct_Narrat |  |  |
| Full depth replacement volume |  | 354.142 | cft | = | 13.116 | cy |  |
| Plan area of full depth replacement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| on either side of deck (adjacent to abutment expansion joints) |  | 117.3333 | sft |  |  |  |  |
| (Project narrative - replace 1'-4" of deck adjacent joints) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full depth replacement volume | $=$ | 73.333 | cft | = | 2.716 | cy |  |
| Total Full depth replacement volume | $=$ | 15.832 | cy |  |  |  |  |
| Full depth replacement on the deck (incl. ends) |  | $=$ | = | 683.96 | sft | (From full depth area) |  |
| Volume of full depth replacement |  | = |  | 14.25 | cy |  |  |

Parapet quantity on bridge deck and backwall near expansion joints:

| Average length of South parapet on backwall (Abutment 1) | $=$ | 1.62 | ft (Measured from CAD) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Average length of South parapet on deck (Abutment 1) | $=$ | 1.50 | ft | (Measured from CAD) |
| Average length of North parapet on backwall (Abutment 1) | $=$ | 1.92 | ft (Measured from CAD) |  |
| Average length of North parapet on deck (Abutment 1) | $=$ | 1.20 | ft (Measured from CAD) |  |

CHA COMPUTATION PAD


COMPLETED BY: VS
CHECKED BY: DOR
PROJECT NAME: HAM-75
PROJECT LOCATION: Hamilton County

| PROJECT | PHASE | ORG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35727 | --- | --- |

SHEET \#: 9 OF 30
DATE: 8/6/2020
SUBJECT: Quantity Calculations

| $512 E 10100$ | SEALING OF CONCRETE SURFACES (EPOXY-URETHANE) | TOTAL: | $1,608.64$ | SY |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Based on Project Narrative \& ODOT BDM 2019 Fig 302-2, 303-1 and 303-2:

Superstructure Edges:

| Length of concrete surface to be sealed | $=$ | 8.432 | ft |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total length of railing | $=$ | 940.67 | ft |
|  |  |  |  |
| Surface area of concrete to be sealed | $=$ | 7931.70 | sft |
|  | $=$ | 881.30 | sft |

Abutments:

| Length of concrete surface to be sealed | $=$ | 23.25 | ft |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total length of abutments | $=$ | 88.667 | ft |
|  |  |  |  |
| Surface area of concrete to be sealed | $=$ | 2061.5 | sft |
|  | $=$ | 229.056 | sy |

Pier:
Curved surface areas to be sealed

| $=$ | 2700.107 | sft |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $=$ | 300.012 | sy |

Pier cap:

| Average height of pier cap | $=$ | 4.4167 | ft | (measured from existing plans) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Length of pier cap | $=$ | 39.75 | ft | (4.417 is the average depth) |
| Total longitudinal area of pier caps | $=$ | 877.8125 | sft |  |
| Curved surface areas at ends of caps | $=$ | 416.428571 | sft |  |
| Bottom surface area of per caps | $=$ | 631.607 | sft |  |
| Areas subtracted from bottom surface | $=$ | 141.429 | sft |  |
| Total surface area | $=$ | 1784.420 | sft |  |
|  | $=$ | 198.269 | sy |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total area of concrete to be sealed | $=$ | 1608.636 sy |  |  |

COMPLETED BY: VS
CHECKED BY: DOR
PROJECT NAME: HAM-75
PROJECT LOCATION: Hamilton County


Existing sealer is on the face of abutment and around the side to the stem of abutment

Face of Abutments:

| Length of concrete surface to be sealed | $=$ | 23.25 | ft |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Total length of abutments | $=$ | 88.667 | ft |
|  |  |  |  |
| Surface area of concrete to be sealed | $=$ | 2061.5 | sft |
|  | $=$ | 229.056 | sy |

Side of abutment:

| Length of concrete surface to be sealed | $=$ | 22.15625 | ft |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Height of sealed area | $=$ | 17.500 | ft | (East \& West abutments - from existing plans) |
| Surface area of concrete to be sealed | $=$ | 387.734375 | sft |  |
|  | $=$ | 43.082 | sy |  |

Total Area $=272.137$ sy

CHA COMPUTATION PAD

COMPLETED BY: VS


PROJECT NAME: HAM-75
PROJECT location: Hamilton County
BJECT: Quantity Calculations

Hot Rolled Square angles 4" x 4 " x 5/16" used as end crossframes are replaced.
Refer ODOT standard drawing CSB-2-56 sheet 2 of 6 for end crossframe detail.

| Unit weight of the angle | $=$ | 8.2 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Length of crossframe element per bay | $=$ | 18 | ft |
| Number of bays | $=$ | 5 |  |
| Number of crossframe ends | $=$ | 2 |  |
| Total weight of crossframe element | $=$ | 1476.000 | lb |

COMPLETED BY: VS
CHECKED BY: DOR
PROJECT NAME: HAM-75
PROJECT LOCATION: Hamilton County


SHEET \#: 12 OF 30
DATE: 8/6/2020
SUBJECT: Quantity Calculations

| $514 E 00050$ | SURFACE PREPARATION OF EXISTING STRUCTURAL STEEL | TOTAL: | $21,802.18$ | SF |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Beams - W36 x 194
Surface area per foot of length $=8.88 \mathrm{sf} / \mathrm{ft} \quad$ (AISC Manual 8th Edition, page 1-117)
(minus top flange surface)

| Total length of a beam | = | 372 | ft | (Sum of length of all spans) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total surface area of a beam | = | 3303.4 | sft |  |  |
| Number of beams | = | 6 |  |  |  |
| Total surface area of all beams | = | 19820.2 | sft |  |  |
| Additional percentage required | = | 10 | \% | 1982.016 | st |

(for cross frames, bearing assemblies, stiffeners, expansion joints, scuppers, etc.)

Total surface area required $=21802.2 \mathrm{sft}$

CHA COMPUTATION PAD

COMPLETED BY: VS


[^1]CHA COMPUTATION PAD

COMPLETED BY: VS
CHECKED BY: DOR
PROJECT NAME: HAM-75
PROJECT LOCATION: Hamilton County


[^2]CHA COMPUTATION PAD

COMPLETED BY: VS CHECKED BY: DOR

PROJECT NAME: HAM-75
PROJECT LOCATION: Hamilton County


[^3]CHA COMPUTATION PAD

COMPLETED BY: VS


| Length Per Beam $=$ | 373.5 ft |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of Beams | $=6$ |

Time for each linear foot of of beam to be coated= $1 \mathrm{~min} \quad$ (2004 BDM 302.4.1.5.c) Total Time= 37.35 hr

CHA COMPUTATION PAD

COMPLETED BY: VS

| PROJECT | PHASE |  | ORG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35727 | --- |  | --- |
| SHEET \#: 17 OF | 30 |  |  |
| DATE: 8/6/2020 |  |  |  |
| SUBJECT: Quantity Calcu | ons |  |  |
|  |  | 17.00 | EACH |


| Length Per Beam $=$ | 373.5 |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of Beams | f | 6 |
| Repairs for beam $=$ | 15 |  |

(1 repair per 150 ft of beam per CMS 514.21)

Number of Crossframe= 33

Repairs for cross frame $=\quad 2$ (1 repair per 5\% of crossframe per CMS 514.21)

Total Repair $=17$

CHA COMPUTATION PAD

COMPLETED BY: VS


CHECKED BY: DOR
SHEET \#: 18 OF 30
DATE: 8/6/2020
SUBJECT: Quantity Calculations
PROJECT LOCATION: Hamilton County

| $516 E 11211$ | STRUCTURAL EXPANSION JOINT INCLUDING ELASTOMERIC STRIP SEAL, AS | TOTAL: | 93.74 | FT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Replace the existing expansion joints with those provided in the ODOT standard drawing EXJ-4-87.

Length of expansion joint $=\quad 93.74 \mathrm{ft}$ (Measured from plans - approximate)
(includes expansion joints along wingwalls 1 to 4 and at the beginning and end of the deck)

CHA COMPUTATION PAD

COMPLETED BY: VS
CHECKED BY: DOR
PROJECT NAME: HAM-75
PROJECT LOCATION: Hamilton County

| PROJECT | PHASE | ORG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35727 | --- | --- |

SHEET \#: 19 OF 30
DATE: 8/6/2020
SUBJECT: Quantity Calculations

| $518 E 43300$ | $6 "$ PIPE DOWNSPOUT, INCLUDING SPECIALS | TOTAL: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | Beam seat elevations | Bottom of pipe elevations | Vertical length of existing pipe |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Abut 1 WW 1 | 609.57 | 593.0800 | 16.4900 |
| Abut 1 WW 2 | 607.3 | 593.0800 | 14.2200 |
| Abut 2 WW 3 | 609.3 | 592.8000 | 16.5000 |
| Abut 2 WW 4 | 607.02 | 592.8000 | 14.2200 |

Bottom of pipe elevations = Finished grade elevation $+1^{\prime}$
Finished grade elevation $=($ Bottom of footing elevation + Footing height +
Height of top of weephole from top of footing + Estimated distance from top of finished grade to top of weephole)

Abutment 1 Wingwall 1:

| Length of vertical component 1 | $=$ | 3.5000 | ft |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Length of angled component 1 | $=$ | 5.9400 | ft |
| Length of angled component 2 | $=$ | 2.0616 | ft |
| Length of vertical component 2 | $=$ | 11.4900 | ft |

Abutment 1 Wingwall 2:

| Length of vertical component 1 | $=$ | 3.5000 | ft |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Length of angled component 1 | $=$ | 5.9400 | ft |
| Length of angled component 2 | $=$ | 3.0923 | ft |
| Length of vertical component 2 | $=$ | 8.9700 | ft |

Abutment 2 Wingwall 3:

| Length of vertical component 1 | $=$ | 3.5000 | ft |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Length of angled component 1 | $=$ | 5.9400 | ft |
| Length of angled component 2 | $=$ | 2.0616 | ft |
| Length of vertical component 2 | $=$ | 11.5000 | ft |

Abutment 2 Wingwall 4:

| Length of vertical component 1 | $=$ | 3.5000 | ft |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Length of angled component 1 | $=$ | 5.9400 | ft |
| Length of angled component 2 | $=$ | 2.0616 | ft |
| Length of vertical component 2 | $=$ | 9.2200 | ft |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total length of pipe | $=$ | 88.2170 | ft |

CHA COMPUTATION PAD

COMPLETED BY: VS
CHECKED BY: DOR
PROJECT NAME: HAM-75
PROJECT LOCATION: Hamilton County

| PROJECT | PHASE |  | ORG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35727 | --- |  | --- |
| SHEET \#: 20 OF | 30 |  |  |
| DATE: 8/6/2020 |  |  |  |
| SUBJECT: Quantity Calc |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2,434.58 | SF |

Total unsound area $=2434.58 \mathrm{sft}$
(includes parapets, abutments, wingwalls \& piers)
(From Repair Area Estimation spreadsheet)

CHA COMPUTATION PAD

COMPLETED BY: VS
CHECKED BY: DOR
PROJECT NAME: HAM-75
PROJECT LOCATION: Hamilton County


According to the bridge drainage layout from the existing plans (pg 284/348):

| Number of scuppers and downspouts to be cleaned | $=$ | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of scupper-downspout-collector systems to be cleaned | $=$ | 3 |
|  |  |  |
| Total number of elements to be cleaned | $=$ | 7 |

CHA COMPUTATION PAD

COMPLETED BY: VS
CHECKED BY: DOR
PROJECT NAME: HAM-75
PROJECT LOCATION: Hamilton County


CHA COMPUTATION PAD

COMPLETED BY: VS

| PROJECT |  | PHASE |  | ORG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 35727 | --- |  | --- |
|  | SHEET \#: 23 $\square$ OF | 30 |  |  |
|  | DATE: 8/6/2020 |  |  |  |
|  | SUBJECT: Quantity Calc | ons |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1,793.32 | SY |


| Area of concrete overlay on deck | $=$ | 1659.990 | sy |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Depth removed across deck (D) | $=$ | 1 | in |
| Thickness of epoxy overlay | $=$ | 0.25 | in |
| Thickness of concrete overlay | $=$ | 2.5 | in |
| New overall thickness of SDC overlay | $=$ | 3.75 | in |

Superplasticized Dense Concrete overlay using hydro-demolition
3.75 in thick.
(ODOT Supplementary spec 848,2005 )
(848.32, 848.03)

Area of conc overlay on approach slab =
133.333
sy

Total Area of concrete overlay $=$
1793.324
sy

CHA COMPUTATION PAD

COMPLETED BY: VS

| PROJECT |  | PHASE |  | ORG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | --- |  | --- |
|  | SHEET \#: 24$\square$ OF $\square$ |  |  |  |
|  | DATE: 8/6/2020 |  |  |  |
|  | SUBJECT: Quantity Calculations |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1,793.32 | SY |

Same as SDC overlay using hydrodemolition $=1793.324$ sy

CHA COMPUTATION PAD

COMPLETED BY: VS

| PROJECT | PHASE | ORG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35727 | --- | --- |

CHECKED BY: DOR
SHEET \#: 25 OF 30
PROJECT NAME: HAM-75
PROJECT LOCATION: Hamilton County
DATE: 8/6/2020
SUBJECT: Quantity Calculations

| 848 E30200 | SUPERPLASTICIZED DENSE CONCRETE OVERLAY (VARIABLE THICKNESS), <br> MATERIAL_ONLY | TOTAL: | 96.11 | cY |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Deck Area:

Use 1" over deck

Volume $=$| 1244.99 | cft |
| :---: | :---: |
| 46.11 | cy |

Approach Slab Area:

Volume $=50 \mathrm{cy}$

Total Volume $=96.11 \mathrm{cy}$

COMPLETED BY: VS CHECKED BY: DOR

PROJECT NAME: HAM-75
PROJECT LOCATION: Hamilton County

## 848E50000 HAND CHIPPING

CHA COMPUTATION PAD


CHA COMPUTATION PAD

COMPLETED BY: VS
CHECKED BY: DOR
PROJECT NAME: HAM-75
PROJECT LOCATION: Hamilton County


SHEET \#: 27 OF 30
DATE: 8/6/2020
SUBJECT: Quantity Calculations

| $848 E 50100$ | TEST SLAB | TOTAL: | 1.00 | LS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Test slab length | $=$ | 8 | ft | (ODOT Supplementary spec 848, 848.15) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Test slab width | $=$ | 8.5833 | ft | (Width should be sufficient to place the finishing machine) |
| Test slab thickness | $=$ | 0.1042 | ft |  |
| Test slab volume | $=7.153$ | cft |  |  |

From the Historical Bid Data Item Search (2015-2019) spreadsheet, the average of all average bid costs for item number 848 E 50100 is $\$ 1335.00$
Lumpsum cost of a test slab $=\$ 1,335.00$

CHA COMPUTATION PAD

COMPLETED BY: VS


PROJECT NAME: HAM-75
PROJECT LOCATION: Hamilton County
DATE: 8/6/2020
SUBJECT: Quantity Calculations

| 848E50300 | WEARING COURSE REMOVED, ASPHALT |  | TOTAL: | 133.33 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Approach slab (East) area | $=$ | 600.00 | sft | (ODOT Supplementary spec 848, 2005, 848.32) |
| Approach slab (West) area | $=$ | 600.00 | sft |  |

$13 / 4$ " of asphalt overlay and 1 " of the original approach slabs must be replaced with 2 3/4" superplasticized dense concrete overlay.
Total area $=1200.00 \mathrm{sft}=133.33$ sy

COMPLETED BY: VS
CHECKED BY: DOR
PROJECT NAME: HAM-75
PROJECT LOCATION: Hamilton County


DATE: 8/6/2020
SUBJECT: Quantity Calculations

| 848E50320 | EXISTING CONCRETE OVERLAY REMOVED | TOTAL: | $1,659.99$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Areas calculated based on existing plan. Areas in blue are approximated. Area numbers are taken from $L$ to $R$.

| Red area 1 | $=$ | 1137.344 | $\mathrm{sft} \quad$ (ODOT Supplementary spec 848, 2005, 848.32) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Red area 2 | $=$ | 2291.000 | sft |
| Red area 3 | $=$ | 2301.875 | sft |
| Red area 4 | $=$ | 2301.875 | sft |
| Red area 5 | $=$ | 2291.302 | sft |
| Red area 6 | $=$ | 1138.401 sft |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Blue area 1 | $=$ | 570.711 | sft |
| Blue area 2 | $=$ | 574.109 | sft |
| Blue area 3 | $=$ | 575.469 | sft |
| Blue area 4 | $=$ | 574.147 sft |  |
| Blue area 5 | $=$ | 571.013 sft |  |


| Green + Yellow area 1 | $=$ | 431.202 | sft |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Green + Yellow area 2 | $=$ | 421.380 | sft |


| Yellow area 1 | $=$ | 122.456 | sft |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Yellow area 2 | $=$ | 117.459 | sft |


| Green area 1 | $=$ | 308.746 | sft |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Green area 2 | $=$ | 303.921 | sft |

CHA COMPUTATION PAD

COMPLETED BY: VS


Total Area= 553.33 sy (1/3 of total deck area is used because 2 of 6 cores were $5^{\prime \prime}$ thick)


[^0]:    Total Weight of reinforcing steel
    =
    801
    lb

[^1]:    Same as surface preparation area
    $=21,802.18 \mathrm{sft}$

[^2]:    Same as surface preparation area
    $=21,802.18 \mathrm{sft}$

[^3]:    Same as surface preparation area
    $=21,802.18 \mathrm{sft}$

