Preliminary Stormwater Management Report Portsmouth Bypass

SCI-823-10.13 PID # 79977

DLZ Ohio, Inc.

6121 Huntley Road Columbus, Ohio 43229-1003 Phone: (614) 888-0040 Fax: (614) 888-6415

DLZ Job No. 0621-1008-01

May 10, 2013

Prepared for:

The Ohio Department Of Transportation





Preliminary Stormwater Management Report

SCI-823-10.13 PID No. 79977 May 10, 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section 1: Stormwater Management Summary

Section 2: Project Location Map

Section 3: Drainage Area Maps

Section 4: Culvert Analysis

Section 5: Detention Basin Calculations



STORMWATER MANAGEMENT SUMMARY

Project Description

The project will construct a new four lane limited access highway (S.R. 823) from Lucasville-Minford Rd. (C.R. 28) to U.S. Route 23. The majority of the roadway constructed will require new R/W. This report only contains the stormwater management summary for Section 2 of the project.

DLZ's scope of services for this portion of the project included a preliminary drainage evaluation to establish the R/W required to construct the proposed roadway and associated drainage features. The drainage design presented herein is preliminary and will need to be refined once the roadway design process continues.

Based upon the comments received from ODOT, the CDSS calculations provided with the previous Stage 1 design have numerous errors. Many issues remain unresolved due to these errors, which are systematic. It appears that outfall from the roadway runoff was not taken into account in many of the Stage 1 ditch calculations. However, per ODOT's direction, we used the Stage 1 CDSS calculations to determine drainage area and other necessary watershed characteristics. DLZ performed a preliminary analysis of the project stream hydraulics, culverts, and BMP to define the necessary R/W for the project. Further details are as follows:

- Drainage sub-areas were taken from the previous Stage 1 calculations and were not verified.
- Water Quality BMP are required for the project since the earth disturbed area (EDA) will be above the 5-acre threshold.
- Water Quantity BMP are required since the EDA is above the 5 acre threshold and the site creates more than one acre of new impervious area, is not a redevelopment project in an ultra-urban setting, and does not discharge directly to a large river. A preliminary BMP analysis was performed for each drainage outfall on the project. Preliminary grading was performed to ensure that adequate R/W would be available for BMP that involve proposed detention basins. The approximate size of each detention basin is shown on the R/W plans.
- Each culvert calculation was reviewed and it was determined rock channel protection is required for energy control at the outlet of each culvert.
- The impact of wetland mitigation or conservation easements on the proposed R/W for the project was not determined at this time. Additional R/W for these items will need to be obtained once the final plans are developed.
- The calculations performed by DLZ should be considered preliminary and will need to be verified during the final design of the roadway.



Existing Conditions

The existing project area consists of mainly rural undeveloped land with hilly topography. The project site contains many hills and valley, which result in the formation of numerous streams, which cross through the project area. Since the location of the new road is near the high end of the hills, many of these streams are headwater streams.

Proposed Improvements

The new roadway and associated drainage systems will be constructed along the alignment shown on the plans. The new roadway is uncurbed with a concrete median. A closed storm sewer system will drain the median. Open ditches and open culverts will drain the outside areas. The roadway drainage will discharge to natural watercourses in multiple locations along the project alignment.

Drainage Design Criteria and Methods

In general, drainage calculations were prepared in accordance with the ODOT Location and Design Manual, Volume 2, Drainage Design (L&D Manual). DLZ used HydroCAD and the SCS TR-20 method to develop hydrographs for both the existing and proposed condition for each detention basin design. HydroCAD was also used to estimate the required detention volumes for each detention basin. The detention basins are sized to discharge the proposed 100-peak flow rate at a lower flow rate than the existing 100-year peak flow rate. We used precipitation data from NOAA Atlas 14.

Design and Calculation Summary

Most of the project is new development. Therefore, we designed the entire project, with the exception of some minor areas, to include post construction water quality BMP.

Vegetated biofilters and exfiltration trenches can be used to treat water quality for much of the proposed roadway alignment. The scope of this study did not include final storm sewer design. Exfiltration tranches may not be needed in some locations where the median storm sewer outlets into a ditch that functions as a vegetated biofilter. Exfiltration trenches will be required in any location where the median storm sewer outlets into a ditch not designed as a vegetated biofilter or where the final design is changed to outlet the median storm sewer into a location other than the roadside ditches. Exfiltration trenches are presented as another tool in the BMP toolbox for the designer to use in final design.

Detention basins can be provided to treat water quantity. Detention basins can also be oversized to provide water quality BMP in locations where vegetated biofilters cannot be used or to consolidate maintenance activities.

It was determined that ditches with slopes over 10% often required a non-vegetated mat and therefore cannot function as vegetated biofilters. In most of these areas, we placed a flatter ditch at the top of the slope to treat the roadside drainage. This roadside ditch treats the water quality and then flows down the slope to the steeper ditch. In other areas where the ditch



slopes were steep enough to prohibit the use of a vegetated ditch, detention basins were sized to treat the required water quality volume (WQv) in addition to treating water quantity. Detention basins were also sized to treat water quality in locations where they could be placed to consolidate maintenance activities, such as the ramps to U.S. Route 23. Outlet sizes are preliminary and will need to be finalized during final design, after the location and geometry of the detention ponds are finalized. Alternatives to these BMP may exist and further evaluation is warranted during final design.

The vegetated biofilter design included an evaluation of the Stage 1 ditch calculations, where available. The majority of the ditches were designed with 10' bottom widths, which equals the maximum enhanced bankfull width (EBW) specified in section 1117.3 of the L&D Manual. Therefore, a 10' wide was used in the development of the cross sections and associated construction limits. Ditch calculations from approximately station 770 to the US 23 interchange were missing from the Stage 1 submittal. Ditch calculations are excluded from our scope so we were directed by ODOT not to prepare the missing ditch calculations.

Exfiltration trenches were included in the Stage 1 design where applicable. Exfiltration trenches provide water quality treatment and can be placed in front of storm sewer inlets along the concrete median. A more thorough analysis of the location and length of the exfiltration trenches should be evaluated during the final design process.

SUBSECTION 2A

Subsection 2A is from station 540 to station 660. For the entire subsection 2A exfiltration trenches were placed in the median. The need for and location of exfiltration trenches should be evaluated during the final design process. Vegetated biofilters were placed on the outside ditches, except as noted below. Following is a summary of the proposed water quantity and water quantity BMP for each drainage area in subsection 2A:

Basin A1-Station 578

The proposed peak flow rate was higher than the existing peak flow rate. A detention basin was placed near the downstream outlet of this subarea.

Basin A2-Station 600

The proposed peak flow rate was higher than the existing peak flow rate. Due to topographic restrictions, a detention basin was placed near the upstream inlet of the culvert that will drain this subarea. The detention basin was oversized so the proposed peak flow rate at the outlet of the culvert, which is also the outlet for the subbasin, is lower than the existing peak flow rate at the subbasin outlet. This area has steep slopes running down to the culvert which may require non-vegetated matting, so we recommend placing a flatter roadside ditch at the top of the slope to capture and treat the roadside drainage before it goes down the slope.



Basin A3-Station 610

Due to the proposed road cutting off some valleys and redirecting some drainage area to other subareas, the proposed drainage area and subsequent peak flow rate was less than the existing drainage area and peak flow rate. Therefore, a detention basin is not proposed for this subarea.

Basin A4-Station 618

The proposed peak flow rate was higher than the existing peak flow rate. Due to topographic restrictions, a detention basin was placed near the upstream inlet of the culvert that will drain this subarea. The detention basin was oversized so the proposed peak flow rate at the outlet of the culvert, which is also the outlet for the subbasin, is lower than the existing peak flow rate at the subbasin outlet. This area has steep slopes running down to the culvert which may require non-vegetated matting, so we recommend placing a flatter roadside ditch at the top of the slope to capture and treat the roadside drainage before it goes down the slope.

Basin A5-Station 623

Due to the proposed road cutting off some valleys and redirecting some drainage area to other subareas, the proposed drainage area and subsequent peak flow rate was less than the existing drainage area and peak flow rate. Therefore, a detention basin is not proposed for this subarea.

Basin A6-Station 636

The proposed peak flow rate was higher than the existing peak flow rate. Due to topographic restrictions, a detention basin was placed near the upstream inlet of the culvert that will drain this subarea. The detention basin was oversized so the proposed peak flow rate at the outlet of the culvert, which is also the outlet for the subbasin, is lower than the existing peak flow rate at the subbasin outlet. This area has steep slopes running down to the culvert which may require non-vegetated matting, so we recommend placing a flatter roadside ditch at the top of the slope to capture and treat the roadside drainage before it goes down the slope.

Basin A7-Station 650

Due to the proposed road cutting off some valleys and redirecting some drainage area to other subareas, the proposed drainage area and subsequent peak flow rate was less than the existing drainage area and peak flow rate. Therefore, a detention basin is not proposed for this subarea.

Basin A8-Station 659

The proposed peak flow rate was higher than the existing peak flow rate. Due to topographic restrictions, a detention basin was placed near the upstream inlet of the culvert that will drain this subarea. The detention basin was oversized so the proposed peak flow rate at the outlet of the culvert, which is also the outlet for the subbasin, is lower than the existing peak flow



rate at the subbasin outlet. This area has steep slopes running down to the culvert which may require non-vegetated matting, so we recommend placing a flatter roadside ditch at the top of the slope to capture and treat the roadside drainage before it goes down the slope.

Basin	Station	Water Quality BMP	Water Quantity BMP	Required Detention Volume	Provided Detention Volume
				AC*FT	AC*FT
A1	578	Vegetated Biofilter	Detention Basin	2.78	2.94
A2	600	Vegetated Biofilter	Detention Basin ^	0.87	1.12
A3	610	Vegetated Biofilter	None *	N/A	
A4	618	Vegetated Biofilter	Detention Basin ^	0.51	0.53
A5	623	Vegetated Biofilter	None *	N/A	
A6	636	Vegetated Biofilter	Detention Basin ^	0.95	1.05
A7	650	Vegetated Biofilter	None *	N/A	
A8	659	Vegetated Biofilter	Detention Basin ^	3.93	5.20

Table 1-Water Quality and Water Quantity BMP Summary

Subsection 2A

Notes:

^ Detention basin placed on the upstream end of the culvert

* Not required because proposed peak discharge is less than existing peak discharge

SUBSECTION 2B

Subsection 2B is from station 660 to station 851. For the entire subsection 2B exfiltration trenches were placed in the median. The need for and location of exfiltration trenches should be evaluated during the final design process. Vegetated biofilters were placed on the outside ditches, except as noted below. Following is a summary of the proposed water quantity and water quantity BMP for each drainage area in subsection 2B:

Basin B1-Station 673

The proposed peak flow rate was higher than the existing peak flow rate. Due to topographic restrictions, a detention basin was placed near the upstream inlet of the culvert that will drain



this subarea. The detention basin was oversized so the proposed peak flow rate at the outlet of the culvert, which is also the outlet for the subbasin, is lower than the existing peak flow rate at the subbasin outlet. This area has steep slopes running down to the culvert which may require non-vegetated matting, so we recommend placing a flatter roadside ditch at the top of the slope to capture and treat the roadside drainage before it goes down the slope.

Basin B2-Station 682

Due to the proposed road cutting off some valleys and redirecting some drainage area to other subareas, the proposed drainage area and subsequent peak flow rate was less than the existing drainage area and peak flow rate. Therefore, a detention basin is not proposed for this subarea.

Basin B3-Station 700

The proposed peak flow rate was higher than the existing peak flow rate. Due to topographic restrictions, a detention basin was placed near the upstream inlet of the culvert that will drain this subarea. The detention basin was oversized so the proposed peak flow rate at the outlet of the culvert, which includes the drainage area from the culvert crossing at 693+50, is lower than the existing peak flow rate at the subbasin outlet. This area has steep slopes running down to the culvert which may require non-vegetated matting, so we recommend placing a flatter roadside ditch at the top of the slope to capture and treat the roadside drainage before it goes down the slope.

Basin B4-Station 718

The proposed peak flow rate was higher than the existing peak flow rate. A detention basin was placed on the south side near the downstream outlet of this subarea. Some of the ditches in this area had slopes over 10%, which could require a non-vegetated lining and would not function as a vegetated biofilter. This area has steep slopes running down to the culvert which may require non-vegetated matting, so we recommend placing a flatter roadside ditch at the top of the slope to capture and treat the roadside drainage before it goes down the slope.

Basin B5-Station 749

The proposed peak flow rate was higher than the existing peak flow rate. Due to topographic restrictions, a detention basin was placed near the upstream inlet of the culvert that will drain this subarea. The detention basin was oversized so the proposed peak flow rate at the outlet of the culvert, which is also the outlet for the subbasin, is lower than the existing peak flow rate at the subbasin outlet. This area has steep slopes running down to the culvert which may require non-vegetated matting, so we recommend placing a flatter roadside ditch at the top of the slope to capture and treat the roadside drainage before it goes down the slope.



Basin B6-Station 766

The proposed peak flow rate was higher than the existing peak flow rate. Due to topographic restrictions, a detention basin was placed near the upstream inlet of the culvert that will drain this subarea. The detention basin was oversized so the proposed peak flow rate at the outlet of the culvert, which also includes the drainage area from the culvert crossing at 761+50 and 766, is lower than the existing peak flow rate at the subbasin outlet. Since there were 3 culvert crossings in this subbasin, we placed an additional, smaller detention basin at the inlet of the culvert at 761+50. Detention basins for the final design can be placed at either of the locations and can be placed in combination to provide the required detention volume. This area has steep slopes running down to the culvert which may require non-vegetated matting, so we recommend placing a flatter roadside ditch at the top of the slope to capture and treat the roadside drainage before it goes down the slope.

Basin B7-Station 781

Due to the proposed road cutting off some valleys and redirecting some drainage area to other subareas, the proposed drainage area and subsequent peak flow rate was less than the existing drainage area and peak flow rate. Therefore, a detention basin is not proposed for this subarea.

Basin B8-Station 796

The proposed peak flow rate was higher than the existing peak flow rate. Due to topographic restrictions, a detention basin was placed near the upstream inlet of the culvert that will drain this subarea. The detention basin was oversized so the proposed peak flow rate at the outlet of the culvert, which is also the outlet for the subbasin, is lower than the existing peak flow rate at the subbasin outlet. This area has steep slopes running down to the culvert which may require non-vegetated matting, so we recommend placing a flatter roadside ditch at the top of the slope to capture and treat the roadside drainage before it goes down the slope.

Basin B9-Station 815

The proposed peak flow rate was higher than the existing peak flow rate. Due to topographic restrictions, a detention basin was placed near the upstream inlet of the culvert that will drain this subarea. The detention basin was oversized so the proposed peak flow rate at the outlet of the culvert, which is also the outlet for the subbasin, is lower than the existing peak flow rate at the subbasin outlet. This area has steep slopes running down to the culvert which may require non-vegetated matting, so we recommend placing a flatter roadside ditch at the top of the slope to capture and treat the roadside drainage before it goes down the slope.

Basin B10-Station 823

Due to the proposed road cutting off some valleys and redirecting some drainage area to other subareas, the proposed drainage area and subsequent peak flow rate was less than the existing drainage area and peak flow rate. Therefore, a detention basin is not proposed for this subarea.



Table 2-Water Quality and Water Quantity BMP Summary

Basin	Station	Water Quality BMP	Water Quantity BMP	Required Detention Volume	Provided Detention Volume
				AC*FT	AC*FT
B1	673	Vegetated	Detention	1.47	1.78
		Biofilter	Basin #		
B2	682	Vegetated	None*	N/A	
		Biofilter			
B3	700	Vegetated	Detention	1.38	1.69
		Biofilter	Basin #		
B4	718	Vegetated	Detention	8.60	8.70
		Biofilter	Basin		
B5	749	Vegetated	Detention	1.58	2.00
		Biofilter	Basin #		
B6	766	Vegetated	Detention	1.95	1.49 &
		Biofilter	Basin #		2.02
B7	781	Vegetated	Vegetated	N/A	
		Biofilter	Biofilter		
B8	796	Vegetated	Detention	0.84	1.58
		Biofilter	Basin #		
B9	815	Vegetated	Detention	1.65	2.88
		Biofilter	Basin #		
B10	823	Vegetated	None*	N/A	
		Biofilter			

Subsection 2B

Notes:

Detention basin placed on the upstream end of the culvert

* Not required because proposed peak discharge is less than existing peak discharge

SUBSECTION 2C

Subsection 2C is from station 851 to the west end of the project, at station 904. For the entire subsection 2C exfiltration trenches were placed in the median. The need for and location of exfiltration trenches should be evaluated during the final design process. Vegetated biofilters were placed on the outside ditches, except as noted below. Following is a summary of the proposed water quantity and water quantity BMP for each drainage area in subsection 2C:



Basin C1-Station 850

The proposed peak flow rate is higher than the existing peak flow rate, since the proposed road from station 825 to 851 causes a change in the drainage area. A detention basin was placed on the south side near the downstream outlet of this subarea. Some of the ditches in this area had slopes over 10%, which could require a non-vegetated lining and would not function as a vegetated biofilter. Therefore, we sized the detention basin to include the WQv to treat water quality as well as water quantity.

Basin C2-Station 854 and 857

The discharge points of the two culverts, which cross SR 823 just past station 854 and just before station 857, converges at approximately station 857 on the south side of the proposed roadway alignment. The proposed discharge rate is less than the existing flow rate due to a reduction in the drainage area, caused by the diverting of some of the original drainage area into basin C1. Therefore, no water quantity BMP are necessary for the drainage area to these culverts.

Basin C3-Station 869

The discharge point of this culvert is located at approximately STA 870 on the south side of the proposed roadway alignment. The proposed discharge rate does not exceed the existing flow rate due to a reduction in the drainage area. Therefore, no water quantity BMP are necessary for the drainage area to these culverts.

Basin C4-Station 895

The stormwater on the proposed roadway alignment from approximately station 870 to 896 drains west toward the existing railroad tracks. On the north side, the stormwater drains under the railroad tracks in a proposed culvert located just north of where Ramp C crosses the railroad tracks. On the south side the ditches flow west through a culvert that crosses the railroad tracks just south of Ramp B.

The proposed discharge rate exceeds the existing flow rate due to an increase in both the size of the drainage area and an increase in impervious area due to the roadway construction. Therefore, water quantity BMP are necessary for Basin C4. The detention basins were placed north of Ramp C and in the infield along Ramp B. We sized these basins to provide both water quality and water quantity BMP, which consolidates the location of both the water quality and quantity controls for this area and could reduce long-term maintenance costs. The drainage from both the north and south sides of the proposed roadway needs to be treated, so two possible pond locations are shown on the plans.

Basin C5-Station 900

The proposed roadway alignment from the railroad tracks to the northern ends of Ramps D and C at the US 23 interchange drain through a proposed culvert crossing under Ramps D and A on the west side of the interchange. The proposed discharge rate exceeds the existing



flow rate due to an increase in both the size of the drainage area and an increase in impervious area due to the roadway construction. Therefore, water quantity BMP are necessary for the Basin C5. The preliminary calculations assumed that an extended detention pond would be utilized, but a constructed wetland may also be feasible to provide both stormwater quantity and quality controls.

Since water quantity control for this area is necessary, an extended detention pond was sized to provide treatment of the WQv. While vegetated biofilters or exfiltration trenches may be utilized to provide water quality for portions of this area, it would also be possible to construct an extended detention pond or constructed wetland in the infield area of Ramp D. This would consolidate all maintenance into one area and provide a single BMP for both stormwater quality and quantity

See the attached drainage area maps and calculations for further detail for each of these basins.

Basin	Station	Water Quality BMP	Water Quantity BMP	Required Detention Volume (WQv)	Provided Detention Volume
				AC*FT	AC*FT
C1	850	Vegetated Biofilter	Detention Basin	1.40 (0.14)	2.30
C2	854 and 857	Vegetated Biofilter	None *	N/A (1.26)	
C3	869	Vegetated Biofilter	None *	N/A (0.15)	
C4	895	Detention Basin	Detention Basin ^	1.79 (0.34)	3.33
C5	900	Detention Basin	Detention Basin	3.02 (0.69)	4.07

Table 3-Water Quality and Water Quantity BMP Summary

Subsection 2C

Notes:

^ Detention basin placed on the upstream end of the culvert

* Not required because proposed peak discharge is less than existing peak discharge (WQv) is included in total required detention volume

Energy Control Structures at Culverts

Using the culvert velocity in the CDSS culvert analysis calculations provided with the Stage 1 plans, we determined the required energy control structures for each culvert. In locations where CDSS calculations were not provided with the Stage 1 plans or the revised profile



changed the culvert geometry, we ran new CDSS calculations. The rock channel protection was sized using the 100-year flood discharge. The rock channel protection is shown in the R/W plans and the proposed R/W was set to allow adequate placement on the rock channel protection.

For most of the culverts, the slope is steep and the flow is supercritical. The calculations assume tailwater has no impact on slowing the velocity of the flow. As a result, the calculation for the velocity is conservative. A thorough investigation of tailwater and its effect on the culvert velocity and associated rock channel protection should be performed during final design. See Tables 4, 5, and 6 for a summary the required rock channel protection.

Basin	Station	Culvert Size	Number of Barrels	Culvert Velocity	Length of Rock Channel Protection
		IN	#	FPS	FT
A1	578	N/A			
A2	600	60	1	16	20
A3	610	36	1	14	15
A4	618	48	1	16	20
A5	623	54	1	14	20
A6	636	66	1	16	20
A7	650	N/A			
A8	659	60	2	14	20

 Table 4-Erosion Control Summary Subsection 2A

Basin	Station	Culvert Size	Number of Barrels	Culvert Velocity	Length of Rock Channel Protection
		IN	#	FPS	FT
B1	673	60	1	19	20
B2	682	N/A			
B3	700	60	1	17	20
B4	718	84	1	17	20
B5	749	60	1	16	20
B6	761	48	1	11	15
B6	766	48	1	17	20
B6	771	60	1	16	20
B7	781	N/A			
B8	796	66	1	18	25
B9	815	60	2	12	20
B10	823	60	1	17	20



Basin	Station	Culvert Size	Number of Barrels	Culvert Velocity	Length of Rock Channel Protection	
		IN	#	FPS	FT	
C1	850	N/A				
C2a	854	72	3	11	20	
C2b	857	66	1	13	20	
C3	869	42	1	14	15	
C4	895	+	+	+	+	
C5	900	+	+	+	+	

Table 6-Erosion Control Summary Subsection 2C

+ The culverts in basin C4 and C5 are internal culverts and rock channel protection for these culverts will not affect construction limits.

Treatment Percentage

This project covers a small amount of existing right-of-way. We calculated the treatment percentage as described in section 1115.6.2 of the L&D Manual-Volume 2. The existing impervious area, consisting of existing right of way, is 42 ac and the proposed new impervious area, consisting of proposed right of way, is 558 ac, which results in a required treatment percentage of 94.4%. The treatment percentage for the overall project will be close to 100%. Therefore, the preliminary layout included 10' ditches for vegetated biofilters, berm ditches where ditch slopes were too steep to function as vegetated biofilters, and detention basins for nearly the entire project alignment to ensure that BMPs are feasible within the R/W.

Maintenance Considerations

Most detention basins will be placed at the end of culverts and many of these culverts will be at the bottom of steep valleys. In these steep valleys, the foreslopes will be 2:1 and protected by guardrail. Access to these sites can be accomplished by removing a section of guardrail and the equipment required to excavation the sediment. This equipment will likely require tracks to traverse these steep slopes. In some areas, maintenance equipment can access detention basins by traveling along flatter areas that can be graded along the proposed right-of-way line. The contractor may also opt to leave in access roads that may be constructed during construction for future maintenance. This report does not provide final design for access roads. Any required access roads should be completely designed during the final design process.

Floodplain Considerations

As shown on the attached floodplain map exhibit in Section 3, the western portion of the S.R. 823 interchange with U.S. 23 is located in the 100-year flood plain. Approval from the



floodplain administrator for Scioto County will be needed for the improvements proposed in the flood plain.







Subsection 2A Existing Drainage Area Map



Subsection 2A Proposed Drainage Area Map





Subsection 2B Existing Drainage Area Map

^{0 200 400}



Subsection 2B Proposed Drainage Area Map





Subsection 2C Existing Drainage Area Map

	1	
0	150	300





Legend

- FEMAXS ----- Proposed Road Center Line

100 Year Flood Plain

Floodway

C.R.



0 200 400

<u>S.R.</u> 823



PID: 19415 Da	te : 12/	17/2012	Proje	ct: PO	RTSMO	JTH BYPAS	S - 2A	Loca	tion: CUL	VERT STA 60	0+51		
Description : UPDAT	ED CUI	VERT (CALS									Designer : \	ſМ
HEADWATER CONTROL CODES: INLET - Inlet Control. OUTLET - Outlet Control. OUTLET* - Outlet Control with backwater curve used to compute headwater. See Figure III - 7E in HDS 5 for type flow. OUTLET* - Outlet Control - See Figure III - 7D in HDS 5 for type flow. OUTLET** - Outlet Control - See Figure III - 7D in HDS 5 for type flow. N/A - Flow is supercritical with low headwater and low tailwater. Control Section is at the inlet. N/A - Flow													
Pipe Number : 1 Use HW : 0 Inlet Invert Elevation (ft.) : 831.50 Outlet Invert Elevation (ft.) : 797.00 Pipe Quantity : 1 Inlet Invert Elevation (ft.) : 831.50 Inlet Invert Elevation (ft.) : 831.50													
Culvert Type : Circular Corrugated Pipe Length (ft.) : 458.00 Culvert Slope (ft./ft.) : 0.0753 Corrugation Type : Corrugated Metal Pipe (3 x 1 in. corrugations) Pipe Size : 60 in. Culvert Slope (ft./ft.) : 0.0753											(ft./ft.) : 0.0753		
Design Manning 'n' : (∉ Entrance Type : ⊦		dwall					Lo	oss Coe	ef. Ke: 0.9	9000			
	FLOW (cfs.)	HEAD LOSS (ft.)	HWI (ft.)	HWO (ft.)	FLOW TYPE	VELOCITY (fps.)	DN (ft.)	DC (ft.)	MANNING N	HEADWATER CONTROL	BURIED DEPTH (ft.)	TAILWATER ELEVATION (ft.)	
	111.20	35.14	836.14	N/A	1 - C	15.59	1.96	3.01	0.0271	INLET	0.00	797.00	



PID : 19415 Date : 12/ ²	PID: 19415 Date: 12/17/2012 Project: PORTSMOUTH BYPASS - 2A Location: CULVERT STA 610+41											
Description : UPDATED CUL	VERT CALS								Designer : Y	ΥM		
HEADWATER CONTROL CODES: INLET - Inlet Control. OUTLET - Outlet Control. OUTLET* - Outlet Control with backwater curve used to compute headwater. See Figure III - 7E in HDS 5 for type flow. OUTLET** - Outlet Control - See Figure III - 7D in HDS 5 for type flow. OUTLET** - Outlet Control - See Figure III - 7D in HDS 5 for type flow. N/A - Flow is supercritical with low headwater and low tailwater. Control Section is at the inlet. N/A - Flow is supercritical with low headwater and low tailwater.												
Pipe Number : 1Use HW : 0Inlet Invert Elevation (ft.) : 884.50Outlet Invert Elevation (ft.) : 824.50Pipe Quantity : 1												
Culvert Type : Circular Corrugated Pipe Length (ft.) : 296.00 Culvert Slope (ft./ft.) : 0.2027 Corrugation Type : Corrugated Metal Pipe (3 x 1 in. corrugations) Pipe Size : 36 in. Culvert Slope (ft./ft.) : 0.2027												
Design Manning 'n' : (default)												
Entrance Type : Half Head	dwall			Lo	oss Coe	ef. Ke: 0.9	000					
FLOW (cfs.)	HEAD HWI LOSS (ft.) (ft.)	HWO FLC TYF (ft.)	-	DN (ft.)	DC (ft.)	MANNING N	HEADWATER CONTROL	BURIED DEPTH (ft.)	TAILWATER ELEVATION (ft.)			
	59.92 886.65	N/A 1-		0.78	1.46	0.0281	INLET	0.00	824.50			



PID: 19415 Da	ate: 12/	17/2012	Proje	ct: PO	RTSMO	JTH BYPAS	S - 2A	Loca	tion: CUL	VERT STA 61	7+56		
Description : UPDA	TED CUI	LVERT	CALS									Designer : `	ΥM
HEADWATER CONTROL CODES: INLET - Inlet Control. OUTLET - Outlet Control. OUTLET* - Outlet Control with backwater curve used to compute headwater. See Figure III - 7E in HDS 5 for type flow. OUTLET* - Outlet Control - See Figure III - 7D in HDS 5 for type flow. OUTLET** - Outlet Control - See Figure III - 7D in HDS 5 for type flow. N/A - Flow is supercritical with low headwater and low tailwater. Control Section is at the inlet. N/A - Flow													
Pipe Number : 1 Use HW : 0 Inlet Invert Elevation (ft.) : 866.50 Outlet Invert Elevation (ft.) : 784.00 Pipe Quantity : 1 1											on (ft.): 784.00		
Culvert Type : Circular Corrugated Pipe Length (ft.) : 511.00 Culvert Slope (ft./ft.) : 0.1614 Corrugation Type : Corrugated Metal Pipe (3 x 1 in. corrugations) Pipe Size : 48 in. Culvert Slope (ft./ft.) : 0.1614											(ft./ft.) : 0.1614		
Design Manning 'n' : Entrance Type :	. ,						Lo	oss Coe	e f. Ke : 0.9	9000			
	FLOW (cfs.)	HEAD LOSS (ft.)	HWI (ft.)	HWO (ft.)	FLOW TYPE	VELOCITY (fps.)	DN (ft.)	DC (ft.)	MANNING N	HEADWATER CONTROL	BURIED DEPTH (ft.)	TAILWATER ELEVATION (ft.)	
	46.70	82.51	869.54	N/A	1 - C	16.21	1.12	2.05	0.0275	INLET	0.00	784.00	



PID: 19415 Dat	te: 12/	17/2012	Proje	ct: PO	RTSMO	JTH BYPAS	S - 2A	Loca	tion: CUL	VERT STA 62	2+56		
Description : UPDATI	ED CUL	VERT	CALS									Designer : \	ΥM
HEADWATER CONTR	ROL CO	DES:	OUTLET OUTLET OUTLET	** - Outlet	ontrol. Control with Control - S	n backwater cu See Figure III - ` n low headwate	7D in HDS	5 for type	e flow.	ee Figure III - 7E n is at the inlet.	in HDS 5 for	r type flow.	
Pipe Number : 1 Pipe Quantity : 1		L	lse HW :	0			Inlet Ir	nvert Ele	evation (ft.)): 826.00	Outlet In	nvert Elevatio	on (ft.) : 782.00
Culvert Type : C Corrugation Type : C Pipe Size : 54 Design Manning 'n' : (c	orrugat 4 in.	-		x 1 in. c	orrugatic	ons)		Pipe	Length (ft.)): 598.00	С	ulvert Slope	(ft./ft.) : 0.0736
Entrance Type : H	lalf Hea	dwall					L	oss Coe	ef.Ke: 0.9	9000			
	FLOW HE LO (cfs.) (f				FLOW TYPE	VELOCITY (fps.)	DN (ft.)	DC (ft.)	MANNING N	HEADWATER CONTROL	BURIED DEPTH (ft.)	TAILWATER ELEVATION (ft.)	
	72.40	44.24	829.73	N/A	1 - C	13.77	1.64	2.48	0.0273	INLET	0.00	782.00	



PID : 19415	Date : 05/	07/2013	B Proje	ct: PO	RTSMO	JTH BYPAS	S - 2A	Loca	tion: CUL	VERT STA 63	5+99			
Description : UP	DATED CU	LVERT	CALS									Designer : \	ſΜ	
HEADWATER CO	ONTROL CO	DDES:	OUTLET OUTLET OUTLET	** - Outlet	ontrol. Control witl Control - S	n backwater cu See Figure III - 3 n low headwate	7D in HDS	5 for type	flow.	ee Figure III - 7E	in HDS 5 for	r type flow.		
-	Pipe Number : 1 Use HW : 0 Inlet Invert Elevation (ft.) : 776.00 Outlet Invert Elevation (ft.) : 740.00 Pipe Quantity : 1 Culvert Type : Circular Corrugated Pipe Length (ft.) : 598.00 Culvert Slope (ft./ft.) : 0.0602													
Entrance Typ	. ,						Lo	oss Coe	ef. Ke: 0.9	0000				
LOS		HEAD LOSS (ft.)	HWI (ft.)	HWO (ft.)	FLOW TYPE	VELOCITY (fps.)	DN (ft.)	DC (ft.)	MANNING N	HEADWATER CONTROL	BURIED DEPTH (ft.)	TAILWATER ELEVATION (ft.)		
	148.40	36.85	781.30	N/A	1 - C	15.54	2.33	3.40	0.0269	INLET	0.00	740.00		



PID: 19415 Date	: 12/17	/2012	Project	: POI	RTSMO	JTH BYPAS	S - 2A	Loca	tion : CUL	VERT STA 658	8+78			
Description : UPDATE	CULV	ERT CAL	S									Designer : \	ΥM	
HEADWATER CONTRO	DL COD		JTLET** -	Outlet Co Outlet Co Outlet C	ontrol. Control with Control - S	n backwater cu Gee Figure III - 7 n low headwate	7D in HDS	5 for type	e flow.	ee Figure III - 7E i n is at the inlet.	n HDS 5 for	r type flow.		
Pipe Number : 1 Use HW : 0 Inlet Invert Elevation (ft.) : 724.50 Outlet Invert Elevation (ft.) : 688.00 Pipe Quantity : 2 Culvert Type : Circular Corrugated Pipe Length (ft.) : 595.00 Culvert Slope (ft./ft.) : 0.0613														
Culvert Type : Circular Corrugated Pipe Length (ft.) : 595.00 Culvert Slope (ft./ft.) : 0.0613 Corrugation Type : Corrugated Metal Pipe (3 x 1 in. corrugations) Pipe Size : 60 in. Culvert Slope (ft./ft.) : 0.0613														
Design Manning 'n' : (de	fault)													
Entrance Type : Hal	lf Headv	vall					Lo	oss Coe	ef. Ke: 0.9	0000				
	FLOW HEA LOS (cfs.) (ff			HWO (ft.)	FLOW TYPE	VELOCITY (fps.)	DN (ft.)	DC (ft.)	MANNING N	HEADWATER CONTROL	BURIED DEPTH (ft.)	TAILWATER ELEVATION (ft.)		
1	10.90 3	7.13 72	9.13	N/A	1 - C	14.46	2.07	3.00	0.0271	INLET	0.00	688.00		



PID: 19415 D	ate: 05	/07/2013	B Proje	ct: PO	RTSMO	JTH BYPAS	S - 2B	Loca	tion: CUL	VERT STA 67	2+93			
Description : UPDA	TED CU	LVERT	CALS									Designer : \	ſΜ	
HEADWATER CON	TROL CO	DDES:	OUTLET OUTLET OUTLET	** - Outlet	ontrol. Control with Control - S	n backwater cu See Figure III - 7 n low headwate	7D in HDS	5 for type	e flow.	ee Figure III - 7E i n is at the inlet.	in HDS 5 foi	r type flow.		
Pipe Number : 1 Use HW : 0 Inlet Invert Elevation (ft.) : 831.00 Outlet Invert Elevation (ft.) : 694.00 Pipe Quantity : 1 Outlet Invert Elevation (ft.) : 694.00 Outlet Invert Elevation (ft.) : 694.00														
Corrugation Type : Pipe Size :	Pipe Quantity : 1 Culvert Type : Circular Corrugated Corrugation Type : Corrugated Metal Pipe (6 x 2 in. corrugations) Pipe Size : 60 in. Design Manning 'n' : (default)													
Entrance Type :	Half Hea	adwall					Le	oss Coe	ef. Ke: 0.9	0000				
	HEAD LOSS (ft.)	HWI (ft.)	HWO (ft.)	FLOW TYPE	VELOCITY (fps.)	DN (ft.)	DC (ft.)	MANNING N	HEADWATER CONTROL	BURIED DEPTH (ft.)	TAILWATER ELEVATION (ft.)			
	93.10	137.24	835.11	N/A	1 - C	18.91	1.49	2.74	0.0332	INLET	0.00	694.00		



PID : 19415	Date : 03/	04/2013	B Proje	ct: PO	RTSMO	JTH BYPAS	S - 2B	Loca	tion: CUL	VERT STA 69	9+51			
Description : UPD	DATED CU	LVERT	CALS									Designer : \	ſΜ	
HEADWATER CO	NTROL CO	DDES:	OUTLET OUTLET OUTLET	** - Outlet	ontrol. Control with Control - S	n backwater cur See Figure III - 7 n low headwate	7D in HDS	5 for type	flow.	ee Figure III - 7E i n is at the inlet.	in HDS 5 for	r type flow.		
Pipe Number : 1Use HW : 0Inlet Invert Elevation (ft.) : 786.00Outlet Invert Elevation (ft.) : 708.00Pipe Quantity : 1Culvert Type : Circular CorrugatedPipe Length (ft.) : 759.00Culvert Slope (ft./ft.) : 0.1028														
Culvert Type : Circular Corrugated Pipe Length (ft.) : 759.00 Culvert Slope (ft./ft.) : 0.1028 Corrugation Type : Corrugated Metal Pipe (3 x 1 in. corrugations) Pipe Size : 60 in. Culvert Slope (ft./ft.) : 0.1028														
Design Manning 'n	: (default)													
Entrance Type	e : Half Hea	ldwall					Lo	oss Coe	ef. Ke : 0.9	0000				
FLOW HEA LOS (cfs.) (ft			HWI (ft.)	HWO (ft.)	FLOW TYPE	VELOCITY (fps.)	DN (ft.)	DC (ft.)	MANNING N	HEADWATER CONTROL	BURIED DEPTH (ft.)	TAILWATER ELEVATION (ft.)		
	99.60	78.38	790.30	N/A	1 - C	16.94	1.70	2.84	0.0271	INLET	0.00	708.00		



PID : 19415	Date : 05/	09/201	3 Proje	ect: PO	RTSMO	UTH BYPAS	S - 2B	Loca	tion: CUL	VERT STA CF	R54 CROS	SS	
Description : ST	A 718+21											Designer : `	ΥM
HEADWATER C	ONTROL CO	DDES:	OUTLET OUTLET OUTLET	** - Outlet	ontrol. Control with Control - S	See Figure III - 7	7D in HDS	5 for type	e flow.	ee Figure III - 7E n is at the inlet.	in HDS 5 for	type flow.	
N/A - Flow is supercritical with low headwater and low tailwater. Control Section is at the inlet. Pipe Number : 1 Use HW : 0 Inlet Invert Elevation (ft.) : 714.40 Outlet Invert Elevation (ft.) : 693.00 Pipe Quantity : 1 Culvert Type : Circular Corrugated Pipe Length (ft.) : 475.00 Culvert Slope (ft./ft.) : 0.0451													
Corrugation Typ		-		x 1 in. c	orrugatio	ons)		Pipe	Length (ft.): 475.00	С	ulvert Slope	(ft./ft.) : 0.0451
Design Manning '	n': (default)												
Entrance Typ	be : Half Hea	idwall					L	oss Co	ef. Ke: 0.9	9000			
LOS		HEAD LOSS (ft.)	HWI (ft.)	HWO (ft.)	FLOW TYPE	VELOCITY (fps.)	DN (ft.)	DC (ft.)	MANNING N	HEADWATER CONTROL	BURIED DEPTH (ft.)	TAILWATER ELEVATION (ft.)	
	304.60	23.01	721.81	N/A	1 - C	16.91	3.32	4.59	0.0265	INLET	0.00	693.00	
	304.00	23.01	121.01	IN/A	1-0	10.91	3.32	4.59	0.0200		0.00	093.00	



PID: 19415	Date: 03/	/04/2013	B Proje	ct: PO	RTSMO	JTH BYPAS	S - 2B	Loca	tion: CUL	VERT STA 74	9+33			
Description : UPD	ATED CU	LVERT	CALS									Designer : \	ſΜ	
HEADWATER CON	ITROL CO	DDES:	OUTLET OUTLET OUTLET	** - Outlet	ontrol. Control witl Control - S	n backwater cu See Figure III - 7 n low headwate	7D in HDS	5 for type	e flow.	ee Figure III - 7E n is at the inlet.	in HDS 5 foi	r type flow.		
Pipe Number		ι	Jse HW :	0			Inlet Ir	vert El	evation (ft.)	: 823.00	Outlet In	nvert Elevatio	on (ft.) : 768.00)
Pipe Quantity : 1 Culvert Type : Circular Corrugated Pipe Length (ft.) : 775.00 Culvert Slope (ft./ft.) : 0.0710 Corrugation Type : Corrugated Metal Pipe (3 x 1 in. corrugations) Pipe Size : 60 in. Pipe Size : 60 in. esign Manning 'n' : (default) Culvert Slope (ft./ft.) : 0.0710 Culvert Slope (ft./ft.) : 0.0710														
Entrance Type	: Half Hea	idwall					L	oss Coe	ef. Ke: 0.9	0000				
	FLOW (cfs.)	HEAD LOSS (ft.)	HWI (ft.)	HWO (ft.)	FLOW TYPE	VELOCITY (fps.)	DN (ft.)	DC (ft.)	MANNING N	HEADWATER CONTROL	BURIED DEPTH (ft.)	TAILWATER ELEVATION (ft.)		
	121.00	55.87	827.95	N/A	1 - C	15.60	2.09	3.14	0.0271	INLET	0.00	768.00		



PID : 19415	Date : 05/	/07/2013	B Proje	ct: PO	RTSMO	UTH BYPAS	S - 2B	Loca	tion: CUL	VERT STA 76	1+45				
Description : UPE	DATED CU	LVERT	CALS									Designer : \	ΎM		
HEADWATER CO	NTROL CO	DDES:	OUTLET OUTLET OUTLET	** - Outlet	ontrol. Control with Control - S	h backwater cu See Figure III - 7 h low headwate	7D in HDS	5 for type	e flow.	ee Figure III - 7E i n is at the inlet.	in HDS 5 for	r type flow.			
•	Pipe Number : 1 Use HW : 0 Inlet Invert Elevation (ft.) : 882.00 Outlet Invert Elevation (ft.) : 849.20 Pipe Quantity : 1 0 1 0 1 0 <														
Pipe Quantity : 1 Culvert Type : Circular Corrugated Pipe Length (ft.) : 524.00 Culvert Slope (ft./ft.) : 0.0626 Corrugation Type : Corrugated Metal Pipe (3 x 1 in. corrugations) Pipe Size : 48 in. Culvert Slope (ft./ft.) : 0.0626 esign Manning 'n' : (default) Culvert Slope (ft./ft.) : 0.0626 Culvert Slope (ft./ft.) : 0.0626															
Entrance Type	e : Half Hea	adwall					L	oss Co	ef.Ke: 0.9	9000					
FLOW HE/ LO3 (cfs.) (ft			HWI (ft.)	HWO (ft.)	FLOW TYPE	VELOCITY (fps.)	DN (ft.)	DC (ft.)	MANNING N	HEADWATER CONTROL	BURIED DEPTH (ft.)	TAILWATER ELEVATION (ft.)			
	40.80	32.65	884.81	N/A	1 - C	11.11	1.33	1.91	0.0275	INLET	0.00	849.20			



PID : 19415	Date : 05	/10/2013	B Proje	ect: POI	RTSMO	UTH BYPAS	S - 2B	Loca	tion: CUL	VERT STA 76	6+00			
Description : UP	DATED CU	LVERT	CALS									Designer : \	ſΜ	
HEADWATER CO	ONTROL CO	DDES:	OUTLET OUTLET OUTLET	** - Outlet	ontrol. Control witl Control - S	h backwater cur See Figure III - 7 h low headwate	7D in HDS	5 for type	flow.	ee Figure III - 7E n is at the inlet.	in HDS 5 for	r type flow.		
Pipe Number : 1 Use HW : 0 Inlet Invert Elevation (ft.) : 866.73 Outlet Invert Elevation (ft.) : 788.00 Pipe Quantity : 1 Culvert Type : Circular Corrugated Pipe Length (ft.) : 605.00 Culvert Slope (ft./ft.) : 0.1301														
													I	
Design Manning 'r Entrance Typ							L	oss Coe	e f. Ke : 0.9	9000				
LOS		HEAD LOSS (ft.)	HWI (ft.)	HWO (ft.)	FLOW TYPE	VELOCITY (fps.)	DN (ft.)	DC (ft.)	MANNING N	HEADWATER CONTROL	BURIED DEPTH (ft.)	TAILWATER ELEVATION (ft.)		
	59.70	79.11	870.28	N/A	1 - C	16.09	1.34	2.33	0.0275	INLET	0.00	788.00		



PID: 19415 Date	: 12/17/	2012 Pro	ject : PO	RTSMO	UTH BYPAS	S - 2B	Loca	tion : CUL	VERT STA 77	1+00		
Description : UPDATE		ERT CALS									Designer : \	ΥM
HEADWATER CONTRO	OL CODI	OUTLE OUTLE OUTLE	T** - Outlet	Control. Control with Control - S	n backwater cu See Figure III - ⁻ n low headwate	7D in HDS	5 for type	e flow.	ee Figure III - 7E n is at the inlet.	in HDS 5 foi	r type flow.	
Pipe Number:1 Pipe Quantity:1		Use HW	:0			Inlet Ir	nvert El	evation (ft.)): 859.00	Outlet Ir	nvert Elevatio	on (ft.) : 790.00
Culvert Type : Circ Corrugation Type : Cor Pipe Size : 60 i Design Manning 'n' : (de	rrugated in.	-	3 x 1 in. c	corrugatio	ons)		Pipe	Length (ft.)): 773.00	С	ulvert Slope	(ft./ft.) : 0.0893
Entrance Type : Hal	f Headw	all				L	oss Coe	ef. Ke: 0.9	9000			
FL (C	EAD HWI DSS ft.) (ft.)	HWO (ft.)	FLOW TYPE	VELOCITY (fps.)	DN (ft.)	DC (ft.)	MANNING N	HEADWATER CONTROL	BURIED DEPTH (ft.)	TAILWATER ELEVATION (ft.)		
	97.90 69	9.34 863.25	N/A	1 - C	16.02	1.75	2.81	0.0271	INLET	0.00	790.00	


PID : 19415	Date : 12	/17/2012	2 Proje	ct: PO	RTSMO	JTH BYPAS	S - 2B	Loca	tion: CUL	VERT STA 79	6+06			
Description : UPD	ATED CU	LVERT	CALS									Designer : \	ΥM	
HEADWATER CO	NTROL CO	DDES:	OUTLET OUTLET OUTLET	** - Outlet	ontrol. Control with Control - S	n backwater cur See Figure III - 7 n low headwate	7D in HDS	5 for type	e flow.	ee Figure III - 7E n is at the inlet.	in HDS 5 for	type flow.		
Pipe Number Pipe Quantity		ι	Jse HW :	0			Inlet Ir	nvert El	evation (ft.)): 857.00	Outlet Ir	vert Elevatio	on (ft.): 766.00	
Culvert Type Corrugation Type Pipe Size Design Manning 'n'	orrugatio	ons)		Pipe	Length (ft.)): 632.00	С	ulvert Slope	(ft./ft.) : 0.1440					
Entrance Type	: Half Hea	adwall					Lo	oss Coe	ef. Ke: 0.9	9000				
	FLOW (cfs.)	HEAD LOSS (ft.)	HWI (ft.)	HWO (ft.)	FLOW TYPE	VELOCITY (fps.)	DN (ft.)	DC (ft.)	MANNING N	HEADWATER CONTROL	BURIED DEPTH (ft.)	TAILWATER ELEVATION (ft.)		
	80.00	90.62	860.60	N/A	1 - C	17.87	1.34	2.46	0.0269	INLET	0.00	766.00		



PID : 19415	Date : 05/	07/2013	B Proje	ct: PO	RTSMO	JTH BYPAS	S - 2B	Loca	tion: CUL	VERT STA 81	5+00			
Description : UP	DATED CU	LVERT	CALS									Designer : Y	ſΜ	
HEADWATER CC	ONTROL CO	DDES:	OUTLET OUTLET OUTLET	** - Outlet	ontrol. Control with Control - S	n backwater cu Gee Figure III - 7 n low headwate	7D in HDS	5 for type	flow.	ee Figure III - 7E i h is at the inlet.	n HDS 5 for	type flow.		
Pipe Numbe Pipe Quantit		L	Jse HW :	0			Inlet In	ivert Ele	evation (ft.)	: 761.00	Outlet Ir	nvert Elevatio	on (ft.) : 720.0)0
Culvert Typ Corrugation Typ Pipe Siz	orrugatio	ons)		Pipe	Length (ft.)	: 935.00	C	ulvert Slope ((ft./ft.) : 0.043	39				
Design Manning 'r	ı': (default)													
Entrance Typ	e : Half Hea	Idwall					Lo	oss Coe	ef. Ke: 0.9	000				
	FLOW (cfs.)	HEAD LOSS (ft.)	HWI (ft.)	HWO (ft.)	FLOW TYPE	VELOCITY (fps.)	DN (ft.)	DC (ft.)	MANNING N	HEADWATER CONTROL	BURIED DEPTH (ft.)	TAILWATER ELEVATION (ft.)		
	83.50	41.05	764.85	N/A	1 - C	11.85	1.94	2.59	0.0271	INLET	0.00	720.00		



PID : 19415 Date :	12/17/201	2 Proje	ect: PO	RTSMO	JTH BYPAS	S - 2B	Loca	tion : CUL	VERT STA 82	3+45			
Description : UPDATED	CULVERT	CALS									Designer : \	ΥM	
HEADWATER CONTROL	CODES:	OUTLET OUTLET OUTLET	** - Outlet	control. Control with Control - S	n backwater cur See Figure III - 7 n low headwater	7D in HDS	5 for type	e flow.	ee Figure III - 7E n is at the inlet.	in HDS 5 for	r type flow.		
Pipe Number : 1 Pipe Quantity : 1		Use HW :	0			Inlet In	nvert El	evation (ft.)	: 783.00	Outlet Ir	nvert Elevatio	on (ft.) : 723.00	
Culvert Type : Circu Corrugation Type : Corru Pipe Size : 60 in. Design Manning 'n' : (defa	orrugatio	ons)	Pipe Length (ft.) : 476.00 Culvert Slope (ft./ft.)						(ft./ft.) : 0.1261				
Entrance Type : Half I	leadwall					Lo	oss Coe	ef. Ke: 0.9	0000				
FLO (cfs	LOSS	HWI (ft.)	HWO (ft.)	FLOW TYPE	VELOCITY (fps.)	DN (ft.)	DC (ft.)	MANNING N	HEADWATER CONTROL	BURIED DEPTH (ft.)	TAILWATER ELEVATION (ft.)		
72	00 59.83	786.53	N/A	1 - C	16.61	1.36	2.40	0.0271	INLET	0.00	723.00		



PID: 19415 Date: 12/17/2012 Project: PORTSMOUTH BYPASS - 2C Location: CULVERT STA 854+59													
Description : UP	DATED CU		CALS									Designer : \	ΎM
HEADWATER CONTROL CODES: INLET - Inlet Control. OUTLET - Outlet Control. OUTLET* - Outlet Control with backwater curve used to compute headwater. See Figure III - 7E in HDS 5 for type flow. OUTLET** - Outlet Control - See Figure III - 7D in HDS 5 for type flow. OUTLET** - Outlet Control - See Figure III - 7D in HDS 5 for type flow. N/A - Flow is supercritical with low headwater and low tailwater. Control Section is at the inlet. OUTLET** - Outlet Control - See Figure III - 7D in HDS 5 for type flow.													
Pipe Numbe Pipe Quantit		ι	lse HW :	0			Inlet In	vert Ele	evation (ft.)	: 622.40	Outlet In	vert Elevatio	on (ft.) : 608.00
Culvert Type : Circular Corrugated Corrugation Type : Corrugated Metal Pipe (3 x 1 in. corrugations) Pipe Size : 72 in.								Pipe	Length (ft.)	: 641.60	C	ulvert Slope	(ft./ft.) : 0.0224
Design Manning 'n' : (default) Entrance Type : Half Headwall Loss Coef. Ke : 0.9000													
	FLOW	HEAD LOSS	HWI	НЖО	FLOW TYPE	VELOCITY	DN	DC	MANNING N	HEADWATER	BURIED DEPTH	TAILWATER ELEVATION	
	FLOW (cfs.)		HWI (ft.)	HWO (ft.)	-	VELOCITY (fps.)	DN (ft.)	DC (ft.)			-		



PID: 19415 Da	ate: 12/	17/2012	Proje	ct: PO	RTSMO	UTH BYPAS	S - 2C	Loca	tion: CUL	VERT STA 85	7+56		
Description : UPDAT	ED CUI	_VERT (CALS									Designer : \	ſM
HEADWATER CONT	ROL CC	DES:	OUTLET OUTLET OUTLET	** - Outlet	ontrol. Control witl Control - S	n backwater cur See Figure III - 7 n low headwate	7D in HDS	5 for type	flow.	ee Figure III - 7E i n is at the inlet.	n HDS 5 for	r type flow.	
Pipe Number : 1 Pipe Quantity : 1		U	lse HW :	0			iniet in	vert El	evation (ft.)	: 630.70	Outlet In	nvert Elevatio	on (ft.) : 609.70
Culvert Type : Corrugation Type : Pipe Size : Design Manning 'n' : (-		x 1 in. c	orrugatio	ons)	Pipe Length (ft.): 445.50 Culvert Slope (ft./ft.)						(ft./ft.) : 0.0471	
Entrance Type : F		dwall					Lo	oss Coe	ef. Ke: 0.9	000			
	FLOW (cfs.)	HEAD LOSS (ft.)	HWI (ft.)	HWO (ft.)	FLOW TYPE	VELOCITY (fps.)	DN (ft.)	DC (ft.)	MANNING N	HEADWATER CONTROL	BURIED DEPTH (ft.)	TAILWATER ELEVATION (ft.)	
	136.00	21.60	635.67	N/A	1 - C	13.88	2.37	3.25	0.0269	INLET	0.00	609.70	



PID: 19415 Date:	12/17/201	2 Proje	ect: PO	RTSMO	JTH BYPAS	S - 2C	Loca	tion: CUL	VERT STA 86	9+02		
Description : UPDATED	CULVERT	CALS									Designer : \	ŕМ
HEADWATER CONTROL	CODES:	OUTLET OUTLET OUTLET	** - Outlet	control. Control with Control - S	n backwater cur See Figure III - 7 n low headwater	7D in HDS	5 for type	e flow.	ee Figure III - 7E n is at the inlet.	in HDS 5 for	r type flow.	
Pipe Number:1 Pipe Quantity:1		Use HW :	0			Inlet Ir	nvert El	evation (ft.)): 651.00	Outlet Ir	nvert Elevatio	on (ft.) : 631.30
Culvert Type : Circu Corrugation Type : Corru Pipe Size : 42 in Design Manning 'n' : (defa	orrugatio	ons)	Pipe Length (ft.): 232.20 Culvert Slope (ft./f					(ft./ft.) : 0.0848				
Entrance Type : Half						Lo	oss Coe	ef. Ke: 0.9	9000			
FLC (cfs	LOSS	HWI (ft.)	HWO (ft.)	FLOW TYPE	VELOCITY (fps.)	DN (ft.)	DC (ft.)	MANNING N	HEADWATER CONTROL	BURIED DEPTH (ft.)	TAILWATER ELEVATION (ft.)	
59	9.00 20.77	655.02	N/A	1 - C	13.70	1.61	2.41	0.0278	INLET	0.00	631.30	

Summary for Subcatchment 6S: A1 (STA 578) EXISTING CONDITION

Runoff = 105.18 cfs @ 12.40 hrs, Volume= 13.546 af, Depth= 1.64"

	Area	(ac)	CN	Desc	cription		
*	62.	260	61				
*	36.	860	59				
	99.	120	60	Weig	ghted Aver	age	
	99.	120		100.	00% Pervi	ous Area	
	Tc (min)	Leng (fee		Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
	39.5						Direct Entry,

Summary for Subcatchment 8S: A1 (STA 578) PROPOSED CONDITION

Runoff = 141.10 cfs @ 12.39 hrs, Volume= 17.383 af, Depth= 1.96"

	Area	(ac)	CN	Desc	cription		
*	42.	410	64				
*	64.	280	64				
	106.		64		phted Aver		
	106.	690		100.	00% Pervi	ous Area	
	Tc (min)	Leng (fee		Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
	39.5						Direct Entry,

Summary for Pond 7P: A1 (STA 578) POND

Inflow Area	=	106.690 ac,	0.00% Impervious, Inflow	Depth = 1.96" for 100-YEAR event
Inflow =	=	141.10 cfs @	12.39 hrs, Volume=	17.383 af
Outflow =	=	103.41 cfs @	12.63 hrs, Volume=	17.356 af, Atten= 27%, Lag= 14.9 min
Primary =	=	103.41 cfs @	12.63 hrs, Volume=	17.356 af

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-35.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 6.06' @ 12.63 hrs Surf.Area= 0.459 ac Storage= 2.784 af

Plug-Flow detention time= 31.3 min calculated for 17.327 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 31.0 min (915.8 - 884.8)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storag	ge Storage Description
#1	0.00'	4.591	af 200.00'W x 100.00'L x 10.00'H Prismatoid
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Primary		50.0" Round Culvert L= 100.0' CMP, projecting, no headwall, Ke= 0.900 Inlet / Outlet Invert= 0.00' / -1.00' S= 0.0100 '/' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.011 Concrete pipe, straight & clean, Flow Area= 13.64 sf

Primary OutFlow Max=103.28 cfs @ 12.63 hrs HW=6.05' (Free Discharge) -1=Culvert (Inlet Controls 103.28 cfs @ 7.57 fps)

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: A2 (STA 600) EXISTING DRAINAGE

Runoff = 38.21 cfs @ 12.36 hrs, Volume= 4.140 af, Depth> 1.45"

Area	(ac)	CN	l Desc	cription							
1	.570	61	>75%	5% Grass cover, Good, HSG B							
1	.000	98	8 Pave	ed parking	, HSG A						
22	.780	55	5 Woo	ds, Good,	HSG B						
8	8.910	70) Woo	ds, Good,	HSG C						
34	.260	60) Weig	ghted Aver	age						
33	.260		97.0	8% Pervio	us Area						
1	.000		2.92	% Impervi	ous Area						
Тс	Leng	th	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description					
(min)	(fee	et)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)						
32.3	2	17	0.1660	0.11		Sheet Flow,					
						Woods: Dense underbrush n= 0.800 P2= 2.72"					
0.6	30	00	0.2600	8.21		Shallow Concentrated Flow,					
						Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps					
3.9	1,16	67		5.00		Direct Entry, CHANNEL					
36.8	1,68	34	Total								

Summary for Subcatchment 5S: A2 (STA 600) PROPOSED DRAINAGE

Runoff = 48.20 cfs @ 12.35 hrs, Volume= 5.049 af, Depth> 1.75"

Area	(ac) (N De	scription		
5.	440	61 >7	5% Grass c	over, Good	, HSG B
2.	200	74 >7	5% Grass c	over, Good	, HSG C
3.	600		ved parking		
-			ods, Good,		
6.	620	70 Wo	ods, Good,	HSG C	
34.	700		ighted Ave		
-	100		63% Pervic		
3.	600	10.	37% Imper	vious Area	
Та	Longth	Class		Conseitu	Description
Tc (min)	Length		•	Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)		(cfs)	
32.3	217	0.1660	0.11		Sheet Flow,
					Woods: Dense underbrush n= 0.800 P2= 2.72"
0.6	300	0.2600	8.21		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
					Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps
3.9	1,167		5.00		Direct Entry, CHANNEL
36.8	1,684	Total			

Summary for Pond 4P: A2 (STA 600) POND

Inflow Area = 34.700 ac, 10.37% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 1.75" for 100-YE	EAR event
Inflow = 48.20 cfs @ 12.35 hrs, Volume= 5.049 af	
Outflow = 37.81 cfs @ 12.55 hrs, Volume= 4.890 af, Atten= 22%, La	ag= 12.1 min
Primary = 37.81 cfs @ 12.55 hrs, Volume= 4.890 af	

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 3.17' @ 12.55 hrs Surf.Area= 0.275 ac Storage= 0.874 af

Plug-Flow detention time= 27.6 min calculated for 4.890 af (97% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 16.5 min (844.7 - 828.1)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Stora	ge Storage Description
#1	0.00'	2.755	af 120.00'W x 100.00'L x 10.00'H Prismatoid
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Primary	0.00'	38.0" Round Culvert L= 100.0' CMP, projecting, no headwall, Ke= 0.900 Inlet / Outlet Invert= 0.00' / -1.00' S= 0.0100 '/' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.011 Concrete pipe, straight & clean, Flow Area= 7.88 sf

Primary OutFlow Max=37.75 cfs @ 12.55 hrs HW=3.17' (Free Discharge) -1=Culvert (Inlet Controls 37.75 cfs @ 4.79 fps)

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: A3 (STA 610) EXISTING DRAINAGE

Runoff = 9.83 cfs @ 12.34 hrs, Volume= 1.014 af, Depth> 1.67"

Area	(ac) (CN Des	cription		
1.	.460	61 >75	% Grass c	over, Good	, HSG B
0.	.530	74 >75	% Grass c	over, Good	, HSG C
2.	.590	55 Woo	ods, Good,	HSG B	
2.	.700	70 Woo	ods, Good,	HSG C	
7.	.280	63 Wei	ghted Aver	age	
7.	.280	100	.00% Pervi	ous Area	
Тс	Length		Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
34.6	214	0.1360	0.10		Sheet Flow,
					Woods: Dense underbrush n= 0.800 P2= 2.72"
0.6	300	0.3100	8.96		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
					Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps
0.4	112		5.00		Direct Entry, CHANNEL
35.6	626	Total			

Summary for Subcatchment 5S: A3 (STA 610) PROPOSED DRAINAGE

Runoff = 7.99 cfs @ 12.32 hrs, Volume= 0.796 af, Depth> 2.23"

_	Area	(ac) (CN E)esc	cription		
	1.	630	61 >	75%	% Grass co	over, Good	, HSG B
	0.	620	74 >	·75%	% Grass co	over, Good	, HSG C
	0.	230	55 V	Voo	ds, Good,	HSG B	
	1.	310	70 V	Voo	ds, Good,	HSG C	
_	0.	500	<u>98 F</u>	ave	ed parking	, HSG A	
	4.	290	70 V	Veig	ghted Aver	age	
	3.	790	8	8.3	4% Pervio	us Area	
	0.	500	1	1.6	6% Imperv	ious Area/	
	т.	1	01-			0	Description
	Tc	Length		•	Velocity	Capacity	Description
_	(min)	(feet)		/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	34.6	214	0.13	60	0.10		Sheet Flow,
							Woods: Dense underbrush n= 0.800 P2= 2.72"
	0.6	300	0.31	00	8.96		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
							Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps
_	0.4	112			5.00		Direct Entry, CHANNEL
	35.6	626	Tota				

Summary for Subcatchment 6S: A4 (STA 618) EXISTING DRAINAGE

Runoff = 33.86 cfs @ 12.17 hrs, Volume= 2.551 af, Depth> 2.41"

Area ((ac) C	N Des	cription					
0.4	430	74 >75	75% Grass cover, Good, HSG C					
0.0	820		ed parking					
0.1	130	55 Woo	ds, Good,	HSG B				
11.3	340	70 Woc	ds, Good,	HSG C				
12.7	720		ghted Aver					
11.9		93.5	5% Pervio	us Area				
0.8	820	6.45	% Impervi	ous Area				
_		~		• •	-			
Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description			
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)				
20.5	113	0.1410	0.09		Sheet Flow,			
					Woods: Dense underbrush n= 0.800 P2= 2.72"			
0.6	300	0.3130	9.01		Shallow Concentrated Flow,			
					Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps			
2.1	618		5.00		Direct Entry, CHANNEL			
23.2	1,031	Total						

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: A4 (STA 618) PROPOSED DRAINAGE

Runoff = 41.90 cfs @ 12.16 hrs, Volume= 3.156 af, Depth> 2.76"

Area	(ac) (N Des	scription		
0.	470	61 >75	5% Grass c	over, Good	, HSG B
4.	.530	74 >75	5% Grass c	over, Good	, HSG C
2.	.410	98 Pav	ed parking	, HSG B	
0.	.100		ods, Good,		
6.	.220	70 Wo	ods, Good,	HSG C	
13.	.730		ighted Avei		
11.	.320	82.	45% Pervio	us Area	
2.	.410	17.	55% Imper	vious Area	
Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)		Capacity (cfs)	Description
20.5	113	0.1410	0.09		Sheet Flow,
0.6	300	0.3130	9.01		Woods: Dense underbrush n= 0.800 P2= 2.72" Shallow Concentrated Flow, Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps
2.1	618		5.00		Direct Entry, CHANNEL
23.2	1,031	Total			

Summary for Pond 4P: A4 (STA 618) POND

Inflow Area =	13.730 ac, 17.55% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 2.76" for 100-YEAR event	
Inflow =	41.90 cfs @ 12.16 hrs, Volume= 3.156 af	
Outflow =	33.73 cfs @ 12.28 hrs, Volume= 3.090 af, Atten= 19%, Lag= 7.2 min	n
Primary =	33.73 cfs @ 12.28 hrs, Volume= 3.090 af	

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 3.08' @ 12.28 hrs Surf.Area= 0.165 ac Storage= 0.509 af

Plug-Flow detention time= 21.9 min calculated for 3.090 af (98% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 13.8 min (810.6 - 796.8)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Stora	ge Storage Description
#1	0.00'	1.653	af 120.00'W x 60.00'L x 10.00'H Prismatoid
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Primary	0.00'	36.0" Round Culvert L= 100.0' CMP, projecting, no headwall, Ke= 0.900 Inlet / Outlet Invert= 0.00' / -1.00' S= 0.0100 '/' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.011 Concrete pipe, straight & clean, Flow Area= 7.07 sf

Primary OutFlow Max=33.60 cfs @ 12.28 hrs HW=3.06' (Free Discharge) —1=Culvert (Inlet Controls 33.60 cfs @ 4.75 fps)

Summary for Subcatchment 6S: A5 (STA 623) EXISTING DRAINAGE

Runoff = 51.24 cfs @ 12.22 hrs, Volume= 4.327 af, Depth> 2.40"

Area	(ac) C	N Dese	cription		
1.	400 9	8 Pave	ed parking	, HSG B	
20.	.220 7	70 Woo	ds, Good,	HSG C	
21.	620 7	2 Weig	ghted Aver	age	
20.	220	93.5	2% Pervio	us Area	
1.	400	6.48	% Impervi	ous Area	
Тс	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
25.0	151	0.1530	0.10		Sheet Flow,
					Woods: Dense underbrush n= 0.800 P2= 2.72"
0.5	300	0.3600	9.66		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
					Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps
2.4	713		5.00		Direct Entry, CHANNEL
27.9	1,164	Total			

Summary for Subcatchment 7S: A5 (STA 623) PROPOSED DRAINAGE

Runoff = 46.57 cfs @ 12.22 hrs, Volume= 3.929 af, Depth> 2.49"

Area	ı (ac)	CN	Desc	cription		
4	1.810	74	>75	% Grass co	over, Good	, HSG C
	1.550	98	Pave	ed parking	, HSG B	
12	2.590	70	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG C	
18	3.950	73	Weig	ghted Aver	age	
17	7.400		91.8	2% Pervio	us Area	
	1.550		8.18	% Impervi	ous Area	
Tc	0		lope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet	:) ((ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
25.0	15	1 0.1	1530	0.10		Sheet Flow,
						Woods: Dense underbrush n= 0.800 P2= 2.72"
0.5	30	0 0.3	3600	9.66		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
						Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps
2.4	71	3		5.00		Direct Entry, CHANNEL
27.9	1,16	4 To	tal			

Summary for Subcatchment 6S: A6 (STA 636) EXISTING DRAINAGE

Runoff = 115.21 cfs @ 12.17 hrs, Volume= 8.771 af, Depth> 2.24"

Area	(ac) C	N Dese	cription		
0.	010 5	5 Woo	ds, Good,	HSG B	
47.	040 7	'0 Woo	ds, Good,	HSG C	
47.	050 7	'0 Weig	ghted Aver	age	
47.	050	100.	00% Pervi	ous Area	
Тс	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
18.7	135	0.2520	0.12		Sheet Flow,
					Woods: Dense underbrush n= 0.800 P2= 2.72"
0.5	300	0.3200	9.11		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
					Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps
4.3	1,298		5.00		Direct Entry, CHANNEL
23.5	1,733	Total			

Summary for Subcatchment 8S: A6 (STA 636) PROPOSED DRAINAGE

Runoff = 133.46 cfs @ 12.17 hrs, Volume= 10.127 af, Depth> 2.49"

Area	(ac)	CN	Desc	cription		
13.	.020	74	>75%	% Grass co	over, Good	, HSG C
3.	.470	98	Pave	ed parking	HSG A	
0.	.010	55	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG B	
32.	.260	70	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG C	
48.	.760	73	Weig	phted Aver	age	
45.	.290		92.8	8% Pervio	us Area	
3.	.470		7.12	% Impervi	ous Area	
Тс	Lengt	h	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(fee	t)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
18.7	13	5 0	.2520	0.12		Sheet Flow,
						Woods: Dense underbrush n= 0.800 P2= 2.72"
0.5	30	0 0	.3200	9.11		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
						Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps
4.3	1,29	8		5.00		Direct Entry, CHANNEL
23.5	1,73	3 Т	otal			

Summary for Pond 4P: A6 (STA 636) POND

Inflow Area =	48.760 ac,	7.12% Impervious, Inflow	Depth > 2.49" for 100-YEAR event
Inflow =	133.46 cfs @	12.17 hrs, Volume=	10.127 af
Outflow =	113.42 cfs @	12.27 hrs, Volume=	10.056 af, Atten= 15%, Lag= 6.2 min
Primary =	113.42 cfs @	12.27 hrs, Volume=	10.056 af

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 9.17' @ 12.27 hrs Surf.Area= 0.103 ac Storage= 0.947 af

Plug-Flow detention time= 8.2 min calculated for 10.022 af (99% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 5.5 min (807.9 - 802.5)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storag	ge Storage Description
#1	0.00'	1.033	af 100.00'W x 45.00'L x 10.00'H Prismatoid
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Primary		45.0" Round Culvert L= 100.0' CMP, projecting, no headwall, Ke= 0.900 Inlet / Outlet Invert= 0.00' / -1.00' S= 0.0100 '/' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.011 Concrete pipe, straight & clean, Flow Area= 11.04 sf

Primary OutFlow Max=112.79 cfs @ 12.27 hrs HW=9.09' (Free Discharge) -1=Culvert (Inlet Controls 112.79 cfs @ 10.21 fps)

Summary for Subcatchment 6S: A8 (STA 660) EXISTING DRAINAGE

Runoff = 139.14 cfs @ 12.26 hrs, Volume= 13.841 af, Depth= 2.46"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 5.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 100-YEAR Rainfall=5.56"

_	Area	(ac) C	N Des	cription				
67.500 70 Woods, Good, HSG C								
	67.	500	100.	00% Pervi	ous Area			
	Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description		
-	24.2	175	0.2230	0.12		Sheet Flow,		
	0.5	300	0.4200	10.43		Woods: Dense underbrush n= 0.800 P2= 2.72" Shallow Concentrated Flow,		
_	6.0	1,798		5.00		Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps Direct Entry, CHANNEL		
	30.7	2 2 2 3	Total					

30.7 2,273 Total

Summary for Subcatchment 8S: A8 (STA 660) PROPOSED DRAINAGE

Runoff = 203.78 cfs @ 12.26 hrs, Volume= 20.067 af, Depth= 2.73"

Area	(ac) C	N Des	cription		
61.	240	70 Woo	ds, Good,	HSG C	
5.	450 9		ed parking		
21.	600	74 >75 ⁰	% Grass c	over, Good	, HSG C
88.	290	73 Weig	ghted Aver	age	
82.	840		3% Pervio		
5.	450	6.17	% Impervi	ous Area	
Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
24.2	175	0.2230	0.12	(010)	Sheet Flow,
27.2	175	0.2200	0.12		Woods: Dense underbrush n= 0.800 P2= 2.72"
0.5	300	0.4200	10.43		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
6.0	1,798		5.00		Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps Direct Entry, CHANNEL
-	,	T ()	5.00		Direct Entry, CHANNEL
30.7	2,273	Total			

Summary for Pond 4P: A8 (STA 660) POND

Inflow Area =	88.290 ac,	6.17% Impervious, Inflow	Depth = 2.73" for 100-YEAR event	
Inflow =	203.78 cfs @	12.26 hrs, Volume=	20.067 af	
Outflow =	138.31 cfs @	12.48 hrs, Volume=	19.986 af, Atten= 32%, Lag= 13.1 min	۱
Primary =	138.31 cfs @	12.48 hrs, Volume=	19.986 af	

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 6.59' @ 12.48 hrs Surf.Area= 0.597 ac Storage= 3.934 af

Plug-Flow detention time= 36.3 min calculated for 19.986 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 33.8 min (888.3 - 854.5)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storag	ge Storage Description
#1	0.00'	5.969	af 200.00'W x 130.00'L x 10.00'H Prismatoid
Device #1	Routing Primary		Outlet Devices 57.0" Round Culvert
	·		L= 100.0' CMP, projecting, no headwall, Ke= 0.900 Inlet / Outlet Invert= 0.00' / -1.00' S= 0.0100 '/' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.011 Concrete pipe, straight & clean, Flow Area= 17.72 sf

Primary OutFlow Max=138.05 cfs @ 12.48 hrs HW=6.58' (Free Discharge) -1=Culvert (Inlet Controls 138.05 cfs @ 7.79 fps)

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: B1 (STA 675) EXISTING DRAINAGE

Runoff = 45.11 cfs @ 12.32 hrs, Volume= 4.439 af, Depth> 2.23"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 100-YEAR Rainfall=5.56"

_	Area	(ac) C	N Des	cription					
	23.930 70 Woods, Good, HSG C								
-	23.	930	100.	00% Pervi	ous Area				
	Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description			
-	32.0	300	0.3267	0.16		Sheet Flow,			
	0.5	300	0.4683	11.02		Woods: Dense underbrush n= 0.800 P2= 2.72" Shallow Concentrated Flow,			
_	2.5	738		5.00		Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps Direct Entry, CHANNEL			
	35.0	1 3 3 8	Total						

35.0 1,338 Total

Summary for Subcatchment 5S: B1(STA 675) PROPOSED DRAINAGE

Runoff = 69.11 cfs @ 12.31 hrs, Volume= 6.756 af, Depth> 2.57"

Area	(ac)	CN	Desc	Description					
10.	260	74	>75%	6 Grass co	over, Good	d, HSG C			
2.	990	98	Pave	d parking,	HSG A				
18.	319	70	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG C				
31.	569	74	Weig	hted Aver	age				
28.	579		90.5	3% Pervio	us Area				
2.	990		9.479	% Impervi	ous Area				
Tc	Length		lope	Velocity	Capacity	Description			
(min)	(feet)) ((ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)				
35.0						Direct Entry,			

Summary for Pond 4P: B1(STA 600) POND

Inflow Area =	31.569 ac,	9.47% Impervious, Inflow [Depth > 2.57"	for 100-YEAR event
Inflow =	69.11 cfs @	12.31 hrs, Volume=	6.756 af	
Outflow =	44.98 cfs @	12.57 hrs, Volume=	6.582 af, Atte	en= 35%, Lag= 15.9 min
Primary =	44.98 cfs @	12.57 hrs, Volume=	6.582 af	

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 5.34' @ 12.57 hrs Surf.Area= 0.275 ac Storage= 1.472 af

Plug-Flow detention time= 29.4 min calculated for 6.582 af (97% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 19.9 min (829.4 - 809.5)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Stora	ge Storage Description
#1	0.00'	2.755	af 120.00'W x 100.00'L x 10.00'H Prismatoid
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Primary	0.00'	33.0" Round Culvert L= 100.0' CMP, projecting, no headwall, Ke= 0.900 Inlet / Outlet Invert= 0.00' / -1.00' S= 0.0100 '/' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.011 Concrete pipe, straight & clean, Flow Area= 5.94 sf

Primary OutFlow Max=44.91 cfs @ 12.57 hrs HW=5.33' (Free Discharge) —1=Culvert (Inlet Controls 44.91 cfs @ 7.56 fps)

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: B3 (STA 700) EXISTING DRAINAGE

Runoff = 94.74 cfs @ 12.40 hrs, Volume= 10.462 af, Depth> 2.22"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 100-YEAR Rainfall=5.56"

_	Area	(ac) C	N Des	cription		
-	56.	550 7	0 Woo	ds, Good,	HSG C	
56.550 100.00% Pervious Area						
	Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
-	36.6	300	0.2333	0.14		Sheet Flow,
	0.5	300	0.3333	9.29		Woods: Dense underbrush n= 0.800 P2= 2.72" Shallow Concentrated Flow, Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps
_	4.4	1,328		5.00		Direct Entry, CHANNEL
	11 5	1 0 2 8	Total			

41.5 1,928 Total

Summary for Subcatchment 5S: B3 (STA 700) PROPOSED DRAINAGE

Runoff = 106.67 cfs @ 12.39 hrs, Volume= 11.707 af, Depth> 2.47"

Area	(ac)	CN	Desc	Description					
18.	.992	74	>75%	6 Grass co	over, Good	d, HSG C			
3.	.935	98	Pave	ed parking	HSG A				
33.	.848	70	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG C				
56.	.775	73	Weig	hted Aver	age				
52.	.840			7% Pervio					
3.	3.935 6.93% Impervious Area			% Impervi	ous Area				
Tc (min)	Leng (fee		Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)				
41.5						Direct Entry,			

Summary for Pond 4P: B3 (STA 700) POND

Inflow Area =		56.775 ac,	6.93% Impervious, Inflo	w Depth > 2.47" for 100-YEAR event
Inflow	=	106.67 cfs @	12.39 hrs, Volume=	11.707 af
Outflow	=	94.30 cfs @	12.55 hrs, Volume=	11.503 af, Atten= 12%, Lag= 9.2 min
Primary	=	94.30 cfs @	12.55 hrs, Volume=	11.503 af

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 5.00' @ 12.55 hrs Surf.Area= 0.275 ac Storage= 1.376 af

Plug-Flow detention time= 17.6 min calculated for 11.465 af (98% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 11.3 min (827.6 - 816.3)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storag	ge Storage Description
#1	0.00'	2.755	af 120.00'W x 100.00'L x 10.00'H Prismatoid
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Primary		52.0" Round Culvert L= 100.0' CMP, projecting, no headwall, Ke= 0.900 Inlet / Outlet Invert= 0.00' / -1.00' S= 0.0100 '/' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.011 Concrete pipe, straight & clean, Flow Area= 14.75 sf

Primary OutFlow Max=94.24 cfs @ 12.55 hrs HW=4.99' (Free Discharge) **1=Culvert** (Inlet Controls 94.24 cfs @ 6.39 fps)

Summary for Subcatchment 13S: B4 (STA 715) EXISTING CONDITION

Runoff = 275.69 cfs @ 12.51 hrs, Volume= 34.605 af, Depth> 2.47"

	Area	(ac)	CN	Desc	cription		
*	33.	310	71	B4			
*	45.	930	73	B4A			
*	28.	090	75	B5			
*	61.	120	73	B5A			
	168.		73		hted Aver		
	168.	450		100.	00% Pervi	ous Area	
	Тс	Length	1 8	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
	(min)	(feet)		(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	'
	39.4	300	0.	1933	0.13		Sheet Flow, B5A
							Woods: Dense underbrush n= 0.800 P2= 2.72"
	0.5	300	0.4	4067	10.27		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
							Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps
	5.6	1,683			5.00		Direct Entry,
	4.9	1,482			5.00		Direct Entry,
	50.4	3,765	Тс	otal			

Summary for Subcatchment 9S: B4 (STA 715) PROPOSED CONDITION

Runoff = 335.86 cfs @ 12.50 hrs, Volume= 42.099 af, Depth> 2.55"

	Area	(ac)	CN	Desc	cription		
*	36.	404	74	B4			
*	45.	920	73	B4A			
*	54.	229	77	B5			
*	61.	400	73	B5A			
	197.	953	74	Weig	ghted Aver	age	
	197.	953		100.	00% Pervi	ous Area	
	Tc (min)	Leng (fee		Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
	50.4						Direct Entry, B5A

Summary for Pond 15P: B4 COMBINED POND

Inflow Area =		197.953 ac,	0.00% Impervious, Inflow	Depth > 2.55" for 100-YEAR even	ent
Inflow =	=	335.86 cfs @	12.50 hrs, Volume=	42.099 af	
Outflow =	-	276.84 cfs @	12.74 hrs, Volume=	40.253 af, Atten= 18%, Lag= 14.	3 min
Primary =	•	276.84 cfs @	12.74 hrs, Volume=	40.253 af	

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 4.68' @ 12.74 hrs Surf.Area= 1.837 ac Storage= 8.600 af

Plug-Flow detention time= 40.0 min calculated for 40.253 af (96% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 24.9 min (846.2 - 821.4)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Stora	ge Storage Description
#1	0.00'	18.365	af 200.00'W x 400.00'L x 10.00'H Prismatoid
Device	Routing		Outlet Devices
#1	Primary		210.0" Round Culvert L= 200.0' CMP, projecting, no headwall, Ke= 0.900 Inlet / Outlet Invert= 0.00' / -1.00' S= 0.0050 '/' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.011 Concrete pipe, straight & clean, Flow Area= 240.53 sf

Primary OutFlow Max=276.52 cfs @ 12.74 hrs HW=4.68' (Free Discharge) **1=Culvert** (Barrel Controls 276.52 cfs @ 8.04 fps)

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: B5 (STA 750) EXISTING DRAINAGE

Runoff = 85.92 cfs @ 12.40 hrs, Volume= 9.530 af, Depth> 2.47"

Area	(ac) (CN D	escription		
1.	770	74 >	'5% Grass c	over, Good	, HSG C
5.	190	80 >	'5% Grass c	over, Good	, HSG D
0.	930		aved parking		
31.	310		oods, Good,		
7.	030	77 W	oods, Good,	, HSG D	
46.	230		eighted Ave		
-	300	-	.99% Pervic		
0.	930	2.	01% Impervi	ious Area	
Тс	Length	Slop	e Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet)	(ft/i	•	(cfs)	Description
37.7	300		, (,	<u> </u>	Sheet Flow,
••••					Grass: Bermuda n= 0.410 P2= 2.72"
0.5	300	0.320	0 9.11		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
					Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps
3.8	1,146		5.00		Direct Entry, CHANNEL
42.0	1,746	Total			

Summary for Subcatchment 5S: B5 (STA 750) PROPOSED DRAINAGE

Runoff = 103.33 cfs @ 12.40 hrs, Volume= 11.439 af, Depth> 2.65"

Area	(ac)	CN	Desc	cription			
15.	923	74	>75%	% Grass co	over, Good	, HSG C	
5.	622	80	>75%	% Grass co	over, Good	, HSG D	
3.	777	98	Pave	ed parking	, HSG A		
19.	877	70	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG C		
6.	620	77	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG D		
51.	819	75	Weig	ghted Aver	age		
48.	042		92.7	1% Pervio	us Area		
3.	777		7.29	% Impervi	ous Area		
Тс	Leng		Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description	
(min)	(fee	et)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)		
42.0						Direct Entry,	
Summary for Pond 4P: B7 (STA 750) POND

Inflow Area =	51.819 ac,	7.29% Impervious, Inflo	ow Depth > 2.65" for 100-YEAR event	
Inflow =	103.33 cfs @	12.40 hrs, Volume=	11.439 af	
Outflow =	85.79 cfs @	12.59 hrs, Volume=	11.234 af, Atten= 17%, Lag= 11.7 min	
Primary =	85.79 cfs @	12.59 hrs, Volume=	11.234 af	

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 5.74' @ 12.59 hrs Surf.Area= 0.275 ac Storage= 1.581 af

Plug-Flow detention time= 19.5 min calculated for 11.234 af (98% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 12.9 min (826.1 - 813.2)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Stora	ge Storage Description
#1	0.00'	2.755	af 120.00'W x 100.00'L x 10.00'H Prismatoid
Device #1	Routing Primary		Outlet Devices 46.0" Round Culvert L= 100.0' CMP, projecting, no headwall, Ke= 0.900 Inlet / Outlet Invert= 0.00' / -1.00' S= 0.0100 '/' Cc= 0.900
			n= 0.011 Concrete pipe, straight & clean, Flow Area= 11.54 sf

Primary OutFlow Max=85.70 cfs @ 12.59 hrs HW=5.73' (Free Discharge) -1=Culvert (Inlet Controls 85.70 cfs @ 7.43 fps)

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: B6 (STA 770) EXISTING DRAINAGE

Runoff = 107.45 cfs @ 12.36 hrs, Volume= 11.323 af, Depth> 2.39"

Area	(ac)	CN	Desc	cription		
1.	860	80	>75%	% Grass co	over, Good	, HSG D
1.	500	98	Pave	ed parking	, HSG A	
45.	840	70	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG C	
7.	630	77	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG D	
56.	830	72		ghted Aver		
55.	330		97.3	6% Pervio	us Area	
1.	500		2.64	% Impervi	ous Area	
Тс	Lengt		lope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet) ((ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
35.5	30	0 0.2	2518	0.14		Sheet Flow,
						Woods: Dense underbrush n= 0.800 P2= 2.72"
0.5	30	0.0	3848	9.99		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
						Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps
2.9	85	6		5.00		Direct Entry, CHANNEL
38.9	1,45	6 To	tal			

Summary for Subcatchment 5S: B6 (STA 770) PROPOSED DRAINAGE

Runoff = 133.02 cfs @ 12.36 hrs, Volume= 13.967 af, Depth> 2.74"

Area	(ac)	CN	Desc	cription			
20.	763	74	>75%	% Grass co	over, Good	, HSG C	
4.	948	80	>75%	% Grass co	over, Good	, HSG D	
7.	157	98	Pave	ed parking	, HSG A		
21.	671	70	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG C		
6.	591	77	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG D		
61.	130	76	Weig	ghted Aver	age		
53.	973		88.2	9% Pervio	us Area		
7.	157		11.7	1% Imper	ious Area		
Тс	Leng	th	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description	
(min)	(fee	et)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)		
38.9						Direct Entry,	

Summary for Pond 4P: B8 (STA 770) POND

Inflow Are	a =	61.130 ac, 11.71% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 2.74" for 100-YEAR event
Inflow	=	133.02 cfs @ 12.36 hrs, Volume= 13.967 af
Outflow	=	107.68 cfs @ 12.55 hrs, Volume= 13.745 af, Atten= 19%, Lag= 11.6 min
Primary	=	107.68 cfs @ 12.55 hrs, Volume= 13.745 af

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 7.08' @ 12.55 hrs Surf.Area= 0.275 ac Storage= 1.951 af

Plug-Flow detention time= 18.1 min calculated for 13.700 af (98% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 12.2 min (821.2 - 809.0)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storag	ge Storage Description
#1	0.00'	2.755	af 120.00'W x 100.00'L x 10.00'H Prismatoid
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Primary		48.0" Round Culvert L= 100.0' CMP, projecting, no headwall, Ke= 0.900 Inlet / Outlet Invert= 0.00' / -1.00' S= 0.0100 '/' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.011 Concrete pipe, straight & clean, Flow Area= 12.57 sf

Primary OutFlow Max=107.68 cfs @ 12.55 hrs HW=7.08' (Free Discharge) -1=Culvert (Inlet Controls 107.68 cfs @ 8.57 fps)

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: B8 (STA 795) EXISTING DRAINAGE

Runoff = 36.75 cfs @ 12.50 hrs, Volume= 4.636 af, Depth> 1.74"

Area	(ac)	CN D	escription		
1.	290	98 Pa	aved parking	I, HSG A	
20.	218	55 W	oods, Good,	HSG B	
0.	350	70 W	oods, Good,	HSG C	
10.	180	77 W	oods, Good,	HSG D	
32.	038		eighted Ave		
30.	748	95	.97% Pervic	ous Area	
1.	290	4.	03% Impervi	ious Area	
Tc	Lengt			Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet) (ft/f	t) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
45.2	30	0.137	3 0.11		Sheet Flow,
					Woods: Dense underbrush n= 0.800 P2= 2.72"
0.6	30	0.317	3 9.07		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
					Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps
2.3	70	2	5.00		Direct Entry, CHANNEL
48.1	1,30	2 Total			

Summary for Subcatchment 5S: B8 (STA 795) PROPOSED DRAINAGE

Runoff = 42.74 cfs @ 12.48 hrs, Volume= 5.256 af, Depth> 2.13"

Area	(ac)	CN	Desc	ription			
10.	662	61	>75%	Grass co	over, Good	, HSG B	
0.4	407	74	>75%	Grass co	over, Good	, HSG C	
1.0	605	80	>75%	Grass co	over, Good	, HSG D	
3.9	993	98	Pave	d parking	HSG A		
6.4	408	55	Wood	ds, Good,	HSG B		
0.4	469	70	Wood	ds, Good,	HSG C		
6.	054	77	Wood	ds, Good,	HSG D		
29.	598	69	Weig	hted Aver	age		
25.	605		86.51	% Pervio	us Area		
3.	993		13.49	9% Imperv	vious Area		
Tc (min)	Leng (fee		Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description	
48.1						Direct Entry,	

Summary for Pond 4P: B9 (STA 795) POND

Inflow Area =	29.598 ac, 13.49% Impervious, Inflow D	Depth > 2.13" for 100-YEAR event
Inflow =	42.74 cfs @ 12.48 hrs, Volume=	5.256 af
Outflow =	36.34 cfs @ 12.69 hrs, Volume=	5.100 af, Atten= 15%, Lag= 12.7 min
Primary =	36.34 cfs @ 12.69 hrs, Volume=	5.100 af

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 3.04' @ 12.69 hrs Surf.Area= 0.275 ac Storage= 0.836 af

Plug-Flow detention time= 27.3 min calculated for 5.083 af (97% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 16.9 min (845.0 - 828.1)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storag	ge Storage Description
#1	0.00'	2.755 a	af 120.00'W x 100.00'L x 10.00'H Prismatoid
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Primary		38.0" Round Culvert L= 100.0' CMP, projecting, no headwall, Ke= 0.900 Inlet / Outlet Invert= 0.00' / -1.00' S= 0.0100 '/' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.011 Concrete pipe, straight & clean, Flow Area= 7.88 sf

Primary OutFlow Max=36.34 cfs @ 12.69 hrs HW=3.03' (Free Discharge) **1=Culvert** (Inlet Controls 36.34 cfs @ 4.68 fps)

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: B9 (STA 810) EXISTING DRAINAGE

Runoff = 95.15 cfs @ 12.43 hrs, Volume= 10.916 af, Depth> 2.22"

Area	(ac)	CN Des	cription		
0.	880	98 Pav	ed parking	, HSG A	
5.	290	55 Wo	ods, Good,	HSG B	
48.	710	70 Wo	ods, Good,	HSG C	
4.	180	77 Wo	ods, Good,	HSG D	
59.	060	70 Wei	ghted Aver	rage	
58.	180	98.8	51% Pervio	us Area	
0.	880	1.49	9% Impervi	ous Area	
Тс	Length			Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet) (ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
37.7	300	0.2167	0.13		Sheet Flow,
					Woods: Dense underbrush n= 0.800 P2= 2.72"
0.6	300	0.2567	8.16		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
					Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps
5.4	1,621		5.00		Direct Entry, CHANNEL
43.7	2,22	Total			

Summary for Subcatchment 5S: B9 (STA 810) PROPOSED DRAINAGE

Runoff = 112.49 cfs @ 12.42 hrs, Volume= 12.808 af, Depth> 2.47"

Are	ea (ac)	CN	Description
	0.714	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
	13.706	74	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG C
	4.827	80	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG D
	5.189	98	Paved parking, HSG A
	5.290	55	Woods, Good, HSG B
;	31.221	70	Woods, Good, HSG C
	1.227	77	Woods, Good, HSG D
(62.174	73	Weighted Average
Į	56.985		91.65% Pervious Area
	5.189		8.35% Impervious Area
T (mir	ີc Leng າ) (fee		Slope Velocity Capacity Description (ft/ft) (ft/sec) (cfs)
43.	7		Direct Entry,

Summary for Pond 4P: B10 (STA 810) POND

Inflow Are	a =	62.174 ac,	8.35% Impervious, Inflo	w Depth > 2.47" for 100-YEAR event
Inflow	=	112.49 cfs @	12.42 hrs, Volume=	12.808 af
Outflow	=	95.24 cfs @	12.61 hrs, Volume=	12.589 af, Atten= 15%, Lag= 11.5 min
Primary	=	95.24 cfs @	12.61 hrs, Volume=	12.589 af

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 5.98' @ 12.61 hrs Surf.Area= 0.275 ac Storage= 1.646 af

Plug-Flow detention time= 18.1 min calculated for 12.589 af (98% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 11.8 min (829.8 - 818.0)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storag	ge Storage Description
#1	0.00'	2.755	af 120.00'W x 100.00'L x 10.00'H Prismatoid
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Primary		48.0" Round Culvert L= 100.0' CMP, projecting, no headwall, Ke= 0.900 Inlet / Outlet Invert= 0.00' / -1.00' S= 0.0100 '/' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.011 Concrete pipe, straight & clean, Flow Area= 12.57 sf

Primary OutFlow Max=95.12 cfs @ 12.61 hrs HW=5.96' (Free Discharge) -1=Culvert (Inlet Controls 95.12 cfs @ 7.57 fps)

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: B10 (STA 825) EXISTING DRAINAGE

Runoff = 38.19 cfs @ 12.26 hrs, Volume= 3.417 af, Depth> 2.15"

Area	(ac) C	N Desc	cription		
1.	870 5	5 Woo	ds, Good,	HSG B	
17.	220 7	'0 Woo	ds, Good,	HSG C	
19.	090 6	9 Weig	ghted Aver	age	
19.	090	100.	00% Pervi	ous Area	
Тс	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
28.0	300	0.4534	0.18		Sheet Flow,
					Woods: Dense underbrush n= 0.800 P2= 2.72"
0.6	300	0.2933	8.72		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
					Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps
1.6	480		5.00		Direct Entry, CHANNEL
30.2	1,080	Total			

Summary for Subcatchment 5S: B10 (STA 825) PROPOSED DRAINAGE

Runoff = 36.07 cfs @ 12.25 hrs, Volume= 3.208 af, Depth> 2.40"

Area	(ac)	CN	Desc	cription			
0.	000	61	>75%	% Grass co	over, Good	, HSG B	
4.	929	74	>75%	% Grass co	over, Good	, HSG C	
1.	280	98	Pave	ed parking	, HSG A		
1.	719	55	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG B		
8.	115	70	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG C		
16.	043	72	Weig	ghted Aver	age		
14.	763		92.0	2% Pervio	us Area		
1.	1.280 7.98% Impervious Area			ous Area			
Тс	Leng		Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description	
(min)	(fee	et)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)		
30.2						Direct Entry,	

Summary for Subcatchment 20S: C1 (STA 851) EX Drainage Area

Runoff = 23.14 cfs @ 12.23 hrs, Volume= 2.156 af, Depth> 2.62"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Type II 24-hr 100-YEAR Rainfall=5.56"

	Area	(ac) C	N Des	cription				
	9.	890 7	2 Woo	ds/grass d	comb., Goo	d, HSG C		
9.890 100.00% Pervious Area								
	Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description		
-	26.0	300	0.5500	0.19		Sheet Flow,		
	0.5	300	0.4000	10.18		Woods: Dense underbrush n= 0.800 P2= 2.70" Shallow Concentrated Flow,		
-	2.0	589		5.00		Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps Direct Entry,		
	28 5	1 1 2 0	Total					

28.5 1,189 Total

Summary for Subcatchment 21S: C1 (STA 851) Proposed Drainage Area

Runoff = 48.89 cfs @ 12.26 hrs, Volume= 4.793 af, Depth> 2.61"

Area (a	ac) C	N Desc	cription		
1.9	900 9	8 Pave	ed parking	, HSG A	
16.2	200 7	'2 Woo	ds/grass c	omb., Goo	d, HSG C
3.9	900 5	58 Woo	ds/grass c	omb., Goo	d, HSG B
22.0	000 7		ghted Aver		
20.1	00		6% Pervio		
1.9	900	8.64	% Impervi	ous Area	
Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
26.4	300	0.5300	0.19	• • •	Sheet Flow,
0.5	230	0.2600	8.21		Woods: Dense underbrush n= 0.800 P2= 2.70" Shallow Concentrated Flow, Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps
4.0	1,207		5.00		Direct Entry,
30.9	1,737	Total			

Summary for Pond 22P: C1 (STA 851) Pond - South Side

Inflow Area	=	22.000 ac,	8.64% Impervious, Inflow De	epth > 2.61" for 100-YEAR event
Inflow	=	48.89 cfs @	12.26 hrs, Volume=	4.793 af
Outflow	=	22.49 cfs @	12.62 hrs, Volume=	4.698 af, Atten= 54%, Lag= 21.6 min
Primary	=	22.49 cfs @	12.62 hrs, Volume=	4.698 af

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs / 2 Peak Elev= 5.42' @ 12.62 hrs Surf.Area= 0.312 ac Storage= 1.258 af

Plug-Flow detention time= 38.5 min calculated for 4.694 af (98% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 27.2 min (879.0 - 851.8)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Stora	ge Storage Description
#1	0.00'	2.176	af 70.00'W x 100.00'L x 8.00'H Prismatoid Z=3.0
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Primary	0.00'	20.0" Round Culvert L= 20.0' RCP, sq.cut end projecting, Ke= 0.500 Inlet / Outlet Invert= 0.00' / -0.10' S= 0.0050 '/' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.015 Concrete sewer w/manholes & inlets, Flow Area= 2.18 sf

Primary OutFlow Max=22.49 cfs @ 12.62 hrs HW=5.42' (Free Discharge) **1=Culvert** (Inlet Controls 22.49 cfs @ 10.31 fps)

Summary for Subcatchment 20S: C2 (STA 855) Existing Drainage Area

Runoff = 478.37 cfs @ 12.40 hrs, Volume= 58.225 af, Depth> 2.34"

Area ((ac) (CN Des	scription		
5.0	690	98 Pav	ed parking	, HSG A	
2.	580	98 Wa	ter Surface	, HSG A	
86.	760	58 Wo	ods/grass o	comb., Goo	d, HSG B
203.	090	72 Wo	ods/grass o	comb., Goo	d, HSG C
298.	120		ighted Avei		
289.8	850	97.	23% Pervio	us Area	
8.2	270	2.7	7% Impervi	ous Area	
Тс	Length			Capacity	Description
<u>(min)</u>	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
27.0	300	0.5000	0.18		Sheet Flow,
					Woods: Dense underbrush n= 0.800 P2= 2.70"
0.6	300	0.2800	8.52		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
					Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps
14.2	4,258		5.00		Direct Entry, channel
41.8	4,858	Total			

Summary for Subcatchment 21S: C2 (STA 855) Proposed Drainage Area

Runoff = 468.25 cfs @ 12.40 hrs, Volume= 56.992 af, Depth> 2.34"

Area ((ac) (CN Des	cription		
11.4	460	98 Pav	ed parking	, HSG A	
2.5	580	98 Wat	er Surface	, HSG A	
83.6	680			comb., Goo	
194.0	090	72 Woo	ods/grass o	comb., Goo	d, HSG C
291.8	810		ghted Aver		
277.7	770	95.1	9% Pervio	us Area	
14.0	040	4.81	% Impervi	ous Area	
_				_	
Тс	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
27.0	300	0.5000	0.18		Sheet Flow,
					Woods: Dense underbrush n= 0.800 P2= 2.70"
0.6	300	0.2800	8.52		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
					Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps
14.2	4,258		5.00		Direct Entry,
41.8	4,858	Total			

Summary for Subcatchment 20S: C3 (STA 869) Existing Drainage Area

Runoff = 39.34 cfs @ 12.29 hrs, Volume= 4.077 af, Depth> 2.26"

Area	(ac) (CN Des	cription					
12.	12.390 69 Pasture/grassland/range, Fair, HSG B							
0.	.530	79 Pas	ture/grassl	and/range,	Fair, HSG C			
8.	.680	<u>65 Wo</u>	ods/grass o	comb., Fair,	HSG B			
21.	.600	68 Wei	ghted Aver	rage				
21.	.600	100	.00% Pervi	ous Area				
Тс	Length			Capacity	Description			
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)				
28.5	300	0.1100	0.18		Sheet Flow,			
					Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 2.70"			
1.4	300	0.0480	3.53		Shallow Concentrated Flow,			
					Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps			
2.8	839		5.00		Direct Entry,			
32.7	1,439	Total						

Summary for Subcatchment 21S: C3 (STA 869) Proposed Drainage Area

Runoff = 38.38 cfs @ 12.32 hrs, Volume= 4.180 af, Depth> 2.52"

Area	a (ac)	C	N Desc	cription		
	2.240	9	8 Pave	ed parking	, HSG A	
1(0.840	6	9 Past	ure/grassl	and/range,	Fair, HSG B
(0.540	7				Fair, HSG C
(5.270	6	<u>5 Woo</u>	ds/grass c	omb., Fair,	HSG B
19	9.890	7		ghted Aver		
	7.650			4% Pervio		
	2.240		11.2	6% Imper	ious Area	
Тс	Leng	ıth	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(fee	et)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
31.6	30	00	0.0850	0.16		Sheet Flow,
						Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 2.70"
1.4	30	00	0.0480	3.53		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
						Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps
2.8	8	38		5.00		Direct Entry,
35.8	1,43	38	Total			

Summary for Subcatchment 20S: C4 (STA 895) Existing Drainage Area

Runoff = 26.93 cfs @ 12.32 hrs, Volume= 3.003 af, Depth> 1.85"

Area	a (ac)	CN	N Desc	cription					
1:	12.190		1 Past	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG B					
	1.470	98		ed parking					
	5.790	58	8 Woo	ds/grass c	omb., Goo	d, HSG B			
19	9.450	63		ghted Aver					
1	7.980		92.4	4% Pervio	us Area				
	1.470		7.56	% Impervi	ous Area				
_			-						
To			Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description			
(min)) (fee	et)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)				
28.5	5 1 ⁻	18	0.0170	0.07		Sheet Flow,			
						Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 2.70"			
0.4	. 16	52	0.1600	6.44		Shallow Concentrated Flow,			
						Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps			
5.6	5 1,68	33		5.00		Direct Entry,			
34.5	5 1,96	53	Total						

Summary for Subcatchment 21S: C4 (STA 895) Proposed Drainage Area

Runoff = 47.36 cfs @ 12.39 hrs, Volume= 5.780 af, Depth> 2.09"

_	Area	(ac) (N Des	cription		
	7.410		61 Past	ure/grassl	and/range,	Good, HSG B
	6.	140	98 Pave	ed parking	, HSG A	
_	19.	610	58 Woo	ods/grass o	omb., Goo	d, HSG B
	33.	160	66 Weig	ghted Aver	age	
	27.	020	81.4	8% Pervio	us Area	
	6.	140	18.5	2% Imperv	ious Area/	
	_		-		-	
	ŢĊ	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
_	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	31.3	300	0.0870	0.16		Sheet Flow,
						Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 2.70"
	0.9	284	0.1000	5.09		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
						Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps
_	8.6	2,591		5.00		Direct Entry,
	40.8	3,175	Total			

Summary for Pond 22P: C4 (STA 895) Pond

Inflow Area	a =	33.160 ac, 18.52% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 2.09" for 100-YEAR event
Inflow	=	47.36 cfs @ 12.39 hrs, Volume= 5.780 af
Outflow	=	22.43 cfs @ 12.87 hrs, Volume= 5.665 af, Atten= 53%, Lag= 28.8 min
Primary	=	22.43 cfs @ 12.87 hrs, Volume= 5.665 af

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs / 2 Peak Elev= 5.39' @ 12.87 hrs Surf.Area= 0.392 ac Storage= 1.450 af

Plug-Flow detention time= 40.0 min calculated for 5.665 af (98% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 29.1 min (902.3 - 873.2)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storag	ge Storage Description
#1	0.00'	1.698	af 50.00'W x 140.00'L x 6.00'H Prismatoid Z=4.0
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Primary		20.0" Round Culvert L= 20.0' RCP, sq.cut end projecting, Ke= 0.500 Inlet / Outlet Invert= 0.00' / -0.10' S= 0.0050 '/' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.015 Concrete sewer w/manholes & inlets, Flow Area= 2.18 sf

Primary OutFlow Max=22.43 cfs @ 12.87 hrs HW=5.39' (Free Discharge) -1=Culvert (Inlet Controls 22.43 cfs @ 10.28 fps)

Summary for Subcatchment 20S: C5 (STA 900) EX Drainage Area

Runoff = 93.94 cfs @ 12.46 hrs, Volume= 12.414 af, Depth> 2.60"

Area (ac) C	N Dese	cription		
9.4	410 9	8 Pave	ed parking	, HSG A	
22.5	580 6	61 > 759	% Grass c	over, Good	, HSG B
14.7	760 7			over, Good	, HSG C
10.2	210 7		ds, Good,		
0.3	<u>310 5</u>	5 Woo	ds, Good,	HSG B	
57.2	270 7	2 Weig	ghted Aver	age	
47.8	360	83.5	7% Pervio	us Area	
9.4	410	16.4	3% Imperv	ious Area/	
_				• •	-
	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
34.6	300	0.2700	0.14		Sheet Flow,
					Woods: Dense underbrush n= 0.800 P2= 2.70"
0.7	300	0.2100	7.38		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
					Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps
12.2	3,653		5.00		Direct Entry,
47.5	4,253	Total			

Summary for Subcatchment 21S: C5 (STA 900) PROPOSED Drainage Area

Runoff = 108.80 cfs @ 12.46 hrs, Volume= 14.325 af, Depth> 2.69"

Area	(ac)	CN	Desc	cription			
12.	980	98	Pave	ed parking	, HSG A		
23.	170	61	>75%	% Grass co	over, Good	, HSG B	
17.:	220	74	>75%	% Grass co	over, Good	, HSG C	
10.	210	70	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG C		
0.3	310	55	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG B		
63.	890	73	Weig	ghted Aver	age		
50.	910		79.6	8% Pervio	us Area		
12.	980		20.3	2% Imper	vious Area		
Тс	Leng		Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description	
(min)	(fee	et)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)		
47.5						Direct Entry,	

Summary for Pond 22P: C5 (STA 900) POND

Inflow Are	ea =	63.890 ac, 20.32% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 2.69" for 100-YEAR event	
Inflow	=	108.80 cfs @ 12.46 hrs, Volume= 14.325 af	
Outflow	=	92.51 cfs @ 12.67 hrs, Volume= 13.983 af, Atten= 15%, Lag= 12.6 min	
Primary	=	92.51 cfs @ 12.67 hrs, Volume= 13.983 af	

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 3.04' @ 12.67 hrs Surf.Area= 0.843 ac Storage= 2.325 af

Plug-Flow detention time= 34.4 min calculated for 13.983 af (98% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 21.1 min (883.0 - 861.9)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storag	ge Storage Description
#1	0.00'	3.158	af 150.00'W x 200.00'L x 4.00'H Prismatoid Z=3.0
Device #1	Routing Primary	0.00'	Outlet Devices120.0" Round CulvertL= 20.0' RCP, sq.cut end projecting, Ke= 0.500Inlet / Outlet Invert= 0.00' / -0.40' S= 0.0200 '/' Cc= 0.900n= 0.015 Concrete sewer w/manholes & inlets, Flow Area= 78.54 sf

Primary OutFlow Max=92.49 cfs @ 12.67 hrs HW=3.04' (Free Discharge) -1=Culvert (Barrel Controls 92.49 cfs @ 6.86 fps)